19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 1 of 304

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

In re:

PURDUE PHARMA L.P., et al.,

Debtors.¹

PURDUE PHARMA L.P., et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS, et al.,

Defendants.

Chapter 11

Case No. 19-23649 (SHL)

(Jointly Administered)

Adv. Pro. No. 19-08289

FORTY-FIRST AMENDED ORDER PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. § 105(a) GRANTING MOTION FOR A PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION

Upon the motion, dated September 18, 2019 ("September 18, 2019 Motion"), of Purdue Pharma L.P. and certain affiliated debtors, as debtors and debtors in possession (collectively, "Debtors"), that are plaintiffs in this adversary proceeding, for an order pursuant to section 105(a) of title 11 of the United States Code ("Bankruptcy Code") and Rule 7065 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure ("Bankruptcy Rules"), to (i) enjoin the governmental defendants in this adversary proceeding ("Governmental Defendants") from the

¹ The Debtors in these cases, along with the last four digits of each Debtor's registration number in the applicable jurisdiction, are as follows: Purdue Pharma L.P. (7484), Purdue Pharma Inc. (7486), Purdue Transdermal Technologies L.P. (1868), Purdue Pharma Manufacturing L.P. (3821), Purdue Pharmaceuticals L.P. (0034), Imbrium Therapeutics L.P. (8810), Adlon Therapeutics L.P. (6745), Greenfield BioVentures L.P. (6150), Seven Seas Hill Corp. (4591), Ophir Green Corp. (4594), Purdue Pharma of Puerto Rico (3925), Purdue Products L.P. (4140), Purdue Pharmaceutical Products L.P. (3902), Purdue Neuroscience Company (4712), Nayatt Cove Lifescience Inc. (7805), Button Land L.P. (7502), Rhodes Associates L.P. (N/A), Paul Land Inc. (7425), Quidnick Land L.P. (7584), Rhodes Pharmaceuticals L.P. (6166), Rhodes Technologies (7143), UDF LP (0495), SVC Pharma LP (5717) and SVC Pharma Inc. (4014). The Debtors' corporate headquarters is located at One Stamford Forum, 201 Tresser Boulevard, Stamford, CT 06901.

commencement or continuation of their active judicial, administrative, or other actions or proceedings against the Debtors that were or could have been commenced before the commencement of the case ("Governmental Actions") that are included in the chart annexed hereto as Appendix II, as well as the commencement or continuation of any other actions against the Debtors alleging substantially similar facts or causes of action as those alleged in the Governmental Actions, and (ii) enjoin the Governmental Defendants and the private defendants ("Private Defendants") in this adversary proceeding from the commencement or continuation of their active judicial, administrative, or other actions or proceedings, included in the chart annexed hereto as Appendix III, and the commencement or continuation of other actions alleging substantially similar facts or causes of action as those alleged in the actions identified in Appendix II and Appendix III, against current or former (a) owners (including any trusts and their respective trustees and beneficiaries), (b) directors, (c) officers, (d) employees, and (e) other similar associated entities of the Debtors that were or could have been commenced before the commencement of the case ("Related Parties," as identified in Appendix III,² and the claims

² The Related Parties are: The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories Inc.; Purdue Pharma Technologies Inc.; PLP Associates Holdings L.P.; PLP Associates Holdings Inc.; BR Holdings Associates L.P.; BR Holdings Associates Inc.; Rosebay Medical Company L.P.; Rosebay Medical Company, Inc.; Beacon Company; PRA Holdings Inc.; Pharmaceutical Research Associates Inc.; Purdue Holdings L.P.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Coventry Technologies L.P.; MNP Consulting Limited; Richard S. Sackler; the Estate of Jonathan D. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; the Estate of Beverly Sackler; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Marianna Sackler; Estate of Mortimer Sackler; Estate of Raymond Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family: Raymond Sackler Trust: Beverly Sackler, Richard S. Sackler, and Jonathan D. Sackler, as Trustees Under Trust Agreement Dated November 5, 1964; Beverly Sackler, Richard S. Sackler, and Jonathan D. Sackler, as Trustees Under Trust Agreement Dated November 5, 1974; Paulo Costa; Cecil Pickett; Ralph Snyderman; Judith Lewent; Craig Landau; Mark Timney; Stuart D. Baker; Frank Peter Boer; John Stewart; Russell Gasdia; Marv Kelly; Shelli Liston; Heather Weaver; Doug Powers; Lori Fuller; Rodney Davis; Brandon Worley; Donald Leathers; Wendy Kay; Michael Madden; LeAvis Sullivan; Jeffrey Ward; Beth Taylor; Leigh Varnadore; Paul Kitchin; Mark

against them described in this paragraph, the "Related-Party Claims"); and the Court having jurisdiction to decide the Motion and the relief requested therein under 28 U.S.C. §§ 157(a)-(b) and 1334(b); and there being due and sufficient notice of the Motion; and the Court having reviewed the Complaint, the September 18, 2019 Motion, the Debtors' brief in support of the September 18, 2019 Motion, the declarations in support of the September 18, 2019 Motion, and other evidence and argument submitted by the Debtors in support thereof; all pleadings filed in support of the September 18, 2019 Motion; and all objections filed in opposition or partial opposition to the September 18, 2019 Motion, as well as all filed letters in response to the September 18, 2019 Motion; and upon the record of and representations made at the hearing held by the Court on the September 18, 2019 Motion's request for entry of a preliminary injunction on October 11, 2019 (the "October 11, 2019 Hearing") and at the hearing held on November 6, 2019 (the "November 6, 2019 Hearing"); and, after due deliberation and for the reasons set forth on the record by the Court at the foregoing hearings, good and sufficient cause appearing having entered Orders on October 11, 2019 granting the Motion in part and on October 18, 2019 and November 6, 2019 amending such Order; and upon a motion dated March 4, 2020 by the Debtors to extend the Preliminary Injunction for 180 days, until October 5, 2020 (the "March 4, **2020 Motion**"); and upon the record of and representations made at the hearing held by the Court on the March 4, 2020 Motion (the "March 18, 2020 Hearing"); and after due deliberation and for the reasons set forth on the record by the Court at the March 18, 2020 Hearing, good and

Waldrop; Mark Radcliffe; Mark Ross; Patty Carnes; Carol Debord; Jeff Waugh; Shane Cook; James David Haddox; Aida Maxsam; Tessa Rios; Amy K. Thompson; Joe Coggins; Lyndsie Fowler; Mitchell "Chip" Fisher; Rebecca Sterling; Vanessa Weatherspoon; Chris Hargrave; Brandon Hassenfuss; Joe Read; Andrew T. Stokes; Nathan C. Grace; Jaclyn P. Gatling; Leslie Roberson; Barbara C. Miller; Briann Parson-Barnes; Becca Beck Harville; Lindsey Bonifacio; Tammy Heyward; James Speed; Damon Storhoff; Diana C. Muller; and Draupadi Daley.

sufficient cause appearing to enter an order on March 30, 2020 granting the relief requested in the March 4, 2020 Motion; and upon a motion dated September 16, 2020 by the Debtors to extend the Preliminary Injunction for 147 days, until March 1, 2020 (the "September 16, 2020 **Motion**"); and upon the record of and representations made at the hearing held by the Court on the September 30, 2020 Motion (the "September 30, 2020 Hearing"); and after due deliberation and for the reasons set forth on the record by the Court at the September 30, 2020 Hearing, good and sufficient cause appearing to enter an order on October 1, 2020 granting the relief requested in the September 16, 2020 Motion; and upon a motion dated February 14, 2021 by the Debtors to extend the Preliminary Injunction for 23 days, until March 24, 2021 (the "February 14, 2021 Motion"); and upon the record of and representations made at the hearing held by the Court on the February 14, 2021 Motion (the "March 1, 2021 Hearing"); and after due deliberation and for the reasons set forth on the record by the Court at the March 1, 2021 Hearing, good and sufficient cause appearing to enter an order on March 1, 2021 granting the relief requested in the February 14, 2021 Motion; and upon a motion dated March 12, 2021 by the Debtors to extend the Preliminary Injunction for 28 days, until April 21, 2021 (the "March 12, 2021 Motion"); and upon the record of and representations made at the hearing held by the Court on the March 12, 2021 Motion (the "March 24, 2021 Hearing"); and after due deliberation and for the reasons set forth on the record by the Court at the March 24, 2021 Hearing, good and sufficient cause appearing to enter an order on March 24, 2021 granting the relief requested in the March 12, 2021 Motion and overruling the objections of the Non-Consenting States [ECF No. 233], the Ad Hoc Committee on Accountability [ECF No. 232], and certain Tennessee Public Officials [ECF No. 231] to the March 12, 2021 Motion; and upon a motion dated April 7, 2021 by the Debtors to extend the Preliminary Injunction for 29 days, until May 20, 2021 (the "April 7, 2021

Motion"); and upon the record of and representations made at the hearing held by the Court on the April 7, 2021 Motion (the "April 21, 2021 Hearing"); and after due deliberation and for the reasons set forth on the record by the Court at the April 21, 2021 Hearing, good and sufficient cause appearing to enter an order on April 21, 2021 granting the relief requested in the April 7, 2021 Motion; and upon a motion dated May 6, 2021 by the Debtors to extend the Preliminary Injunction until and including June 16, 2021 (the "May 6, 2021 Motion"); and upon the record of and representations made at the hearing held by the Court on the May 6, 2021 Motion (the "May 20, 2021 Hearing"); and after due deliberation and for the reasons set forth on the record by the Court at the May 20, 2021 Hearing, good and sufficient cause appearing to enter an order dated May 20, 2021 granting the relief requested in the May 6, 2021 Motion; and upon a motion dated June 2, 2021 by the Debtors to extend the Preliminary Injunction until and including August 30, 2021 (the "June 2, 2021 Motion"); and upon the record of and representations made at the hearing held by the Court on the June 2, 2021 Motion (the "June 16, 2021 Hearing"); and after due deliberation and for the reasons set forth on the record by the Court at the June 16, 2021 Hearing, good and sufficient cause appearing to enter an order dated June 17, 2021 granting the relief requested in the June 2, 2021 Motion; and upon an oral motion dated August 27, 2021 by the Debtors to extend the Preliminary Injunction until and including September 1, 2021 (the "August 27, 2021 Motion"); and upon the record of and representations made and the hearing held by the Court on August 27, 2021 (the "August 27, 2021 Hearing"); and after due deliberation and for the reasons set forth on the record by the Court at the August 27, 2021 Hearing, good and sufficient cause appearing to enter an order dated August 30, 2021 granting the requested relief in the August 27, 2021 Motion; and upon an oral motion dated September 1, 2021 by the Debtors to extend the Preliminary Injunction until and including entry of the

Confirmation Order and expiration of the stay of the Confirmation Order provided by Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 3020(e) (the "September 1, 2021 Motion"); and upon the record of and representations made and the hearing held by the Court on September, 2021 (the "September 1, 2021 Hearing"); and after due deliberation and for the reasons set forth on the record by the Court at the September 1, 2021 Hearing, good and sufficient cause appearing to enter an order dated September 2, 2021 granting the requested relief in the September 1, 2021 Motion; and upon a motion dated December 20, 2021 by the Debtors to extend the Preliminary Injunction until and including February 1, 2022 (the "December 20, 2021 Motion"); and upon the record of and representations made at the hearing held by the Court on the December 20, 2021 Motion (the "December 29, 2021 Hearing"); and after due deliberation and for the reasons set forth on the record by the Court at the December 29, 2021 Hearing, good and sufficient cause appearing to enter an order dated December 30, 2021 granting the relief requested in the December 20, 2021 Motion; and upon a motion dated January 18, 2022 by the Debtors to extend the Preliminary Injunction until and including February 17, 2022 (the "January 18, 2022 **Motion**"); and upon the record of and representations made at the hearing held by the Court on the January 18, 2022 Motion (the "February 1, 2022 Hearing"); and after due deliberation and for the reasons set forth on the record by the Court at the February 1, 2022 Hearing, good and sufficient cause appearing to enter an order dated February 1, 2022 granting the relief requested in the January 18, 2022 Motion; and upon a motion dated February 3, 2022 by the Debtors to extend the Preliminary Injunction until and including March 3, 2022 (the "February 3, 2022) **Motion**"); and upon the record of and representations made at the hearing held by the Court on the February 3, 2022 Motion (the "February 17, 2022 Hearing"); and after due deliberation and for the reasons set forth on the record by the Court at the February 17, 2022 Hearing, good and

sufficient cause appearing to enter an order dated February 17, 2022 granting the relief requested in the February 3, 2022 Motion; and upon a motion dated February 16, 2022 by the Debtors to extend the Preliminary Injunction until and including March 23, 2022 (the "February 16, 2022 Motion"); and upon the record of and representations made at the hearing held by the Court on the February 16, 2022 Motion (the "March 2, 2022 Hearing"); and after due deliberation and for the reasons set forth on the record by the Court at the March 2, 2022 Hearing, good and sufficient cause appearing to enter an order dated March 2, 2022 granting the relief requested in the February 16, 2022 Motion; and such Orders having contemplated a procedure to amend the Orders further; and upon a motion dated March 10, 2022 by the Debtors to extend the Preliminary Injunction until and including April 27, 2022 (the "March 10, 2022 Motion"); and upon the record of and representations made at the hearing held by the Court on the March 10, 2022 Motion (the "March 23, 2022 Hearing"); and after due deliberation and for the reasons set forth on the record by the Court at the March 23, 2022 Hearing, good and sufficient cause appearing to enter an order dated March 23, 2022 granting the relief requested in the March 10, 2022 Motion; and upon a motion dated April 13, 2022 by the Debtors to extend the Preliminary Injunction until and including the day that is 30 days after the date on which the Second Circuit issues a decision in the appeals from the District Court's December 16, 2021 order vacating this Court's order confirming the Plan, consolidated and captioned as In re Purdue Pharma L.P. et al., No. 22-110-bk(L) (the "Second Circuit Appeal"), provided, however, if the Second Circuit has not issued a decision in the Second Circuit Appeal by July 15, 2022, any party in interest, for cause shown and upon proper notice, may move to shorten or terminate the Preliminary Injunction (the "April 13, 2022 Motion"); and upon the record of and representations made at the hearing held by the Court on the April 13, 2022 Motion (the "April 27, 2022 Hearing"); and

after due deliberation and for the reasons set forth on the record by the Court at the April 27, 2022 Hearing, good and sufficient cause appearing to enter an order dated April 29, 2022 granting the relief requested in the April 13, 2022 Motion; and upon the Court having reviewed the Initial Opposition of Plaintiff Lac La Ronge Indian Band to Debtors' Extension of the Preliminary Injunction filed on January 10, 2023, the Debtors' Reply in Further Support of Application of the Preliminary Injunction to Plaintiff Lac La Ronge Indian Band, and the evidence cited therein; and upon the record made at the hearing held by the Court on January 24, 2023 ("January 24, 2023 Hearing"); and after due deliberations and for the reasons stated by the Court on the record at the hearing held by the Court on February 1, 2023 (February 1, 2023) **Hearing**), good and sufficient cause appearing to enter an order dated February 7, 2023; and upon a motion dated June 15, 2023 (the "June 15, 2023 Motion") by the Debtors to extend the Preliminary Injunction through and including the date that is the earlier of (1) the date that an order confirming a plan of reorganization is entered and not subject to any stay, including the stay pursuant to Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 3020(e); and (2) the date 30 days after entry of an opinion or order vacating or reversing the May 30, 2023 judgment of the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit in the appeals consolidated and captioned as In re Purdue Pharma L.P. et al., No. 22-110-bk(L) (the "Second Circuit Decision"); and upon the record of and representations made at the hearing held by the Court on the June 15, 2023 Motion (the "June 29, 2023 Hearing"); and after due deliberation and for the reasons set forth on the record by the Court at the June 29, 2023 Hearing, good and sufficient cause appearing to enter an order dated July 12, 2023, granting the relief requested in the June 15, 2023 Motion; and upon the Court having reviewed the Debtors' motion to amend the Preliminary Injunction filed on May 19, 2024 ("May 19, 2024 Motion"), by the Debtors to extend (a) the Voluntary Injunction,

which enjoins the Debtors from certain conduct with respect to promotion of their opioid medications (the "Voluntary Injunction"), through the occurrence of the Effective Date of any confirmed plan of reorganization for the Debtors, and (b) the provisions of the Preliminary Injunction that toll statutes of limitations and similar time limitations ("Claim Tolling") through and including the date that is the earlier of (1) 30 days after the date that an order confirming a plan of reorganization is entered and not subject to any stay, including the stay pursuant to Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 3020(e); and (2) 60 days after entry of any opinion or order vacating or reversing the Second Circuit Decision; and after due deliberation, good and sufficient cause appearing to enter an order dated May 31, 2024, granting the relief requested in the May 19, 2024 Motion; and upon a motion dated June 27, 2024 (the "June 27, 2024 Motion") by the Debtors to extend the Claim Tolling through and including the date that is 90 days after entry of the Mediation Order (as defined herein); and the litigation injunction through and including the date that is 60 days after this Court's entry of the Mediation Order (as defined in the June 27, 2024 Motion); and upon the record of and representations made at the hearing held by the Court on the June 27, 2024 Motion (the "July 9, 2024 Hearing"); and after due deliberation and for the reasons set forth on the record by the Court at the July 9, 2024 Hearing, good and sufficient cause appearing to enter an order dated July 10, 2024, granting the relief requested in the June 27, 2024 Motion; and upon a motion dated August 23, 2024 (the "August 23, 2024 Motion") by the Debtors to (i) extend the mediation through September 27, 2024 at 11:59 p.m.; (ii) extend (a) the Preliminary Injunction through and including September 27, 2024, and (b) the provisions of the Preliminary Injunction that toll statutes of limitations and similar time limitations ("Claim Tolling"), through and including October 28, 2024; and (iii) adjourn the hearing and related deadlines on the Motion of the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 10 of 304

for Sole Standing to Commence and Prosecute Estate Causes of Action; and upon the record of and representations made at the hearing held by the Court on the August 23, 2024 Motion (the "September 5, 2024 Hearing"); and after due deliberation and for the reasons set forth on the record by the Court at the September 5, 2024 Hearing, good and sufficient cause appearing to enter an order dated September 6, 2024, granting the relief requested in the August 23, 2024 Motion; and such Orders having contemplated a procedure to amend the Orders further; and upon a motion dated September 13, 2024 (the "September 13, 2024 Motion") by the Debtors to (i) extend the mediation through November 1, 2024 at 11:59 p.m.; (ii) extend (a) the Preliminary Injunction through and including November 1, 2024, and (b) the provisions of the Preliminary Injunction that toll statutes of limitations and similar time limitations ("Claim Tolling"), through and including December 2, 2024; and (iii) adjourn the hearing and related deadlines on the Motion of the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors for Sole Standing to Commence and Prosecute Estate Causes of Action; and upon the record of and representations made at the hearing held by the Court on the September 23, 2024 Motion (the "September 23, 2024 **Hearing**"); and after due deliberation and for the reasons set forth on the record by the Court at the September 23, 2024 Hearing, good and sufficient cause appearing to enter an order dated September 27, 2024, granting the relief requested in the September 13, 2024 Motion; and such Orders having contemplated a procedure to amend the Orders further; and upon a motion dated October 21, 2024 (the "October 21, 2024 Motion") by the Debtors to (i) extend the mediation through and including December 6, 2024 at 11:59 p.m.; and (ii) extend (a) the Preliminary Injunction through and including December 6, 2024, and (b) Claim Tolling, through and including January 6, 2025; and upon the record of and representations made at the hearing held by the Court on the October 21, 2024 Motion (the "October 31, 2024 Hearing"), during which

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 11 of 304

the Debtors shortened their request to extend the Mediation, Preliminary Injunction, and related deadlines by four days; and after due deliberation and for the reasons set forth on the record by the Court at the October 31, 2024 Hearing, good and sufficient cause appearing to enter an order dated November 1, 2024, (i) extending the mediation through and including December 2, 2024 at 11:59 p.m.; and (ii) extending (a) the Preliminary Injunction through and including December 2, 2024, and (b) Claim Tolling, through and including January 2, 2025; and upon a motion dated November 17, 2024 (the "November 17, 2024 Motion") by the Debtors to (i) extend the mediation through and including January 9, 2025 at 11:59 p.m.; and (ii) extend (a) the Preliminary Injunction through and including January 9, 2025, and (b) Claim Tolling, through and including February 10, 2025; and upon the record of and representations made at the hearing held by the Court on the November 17, 2024 Motion (the "November 17, 2024 Hearing"); and after due deliberation and for the reasons set forth on the record by the Court at the November 26, 2024 Hearing, good and sufficient cause appearing to enter an order dated December 2, 2024, granting the relief requested in the November 17, 2024 Motion, as modified by the Court; and such Orders having contemplated a procedure to amend the Orders further; and the Court having entered Orders on November 20, 2019, December 9, 2019, January 2, 2020, February 17, 2020, March 4, 2020, April 14, 2020, May 18, 2020, July 20, 2020, August 31, 2020, October 1, 2020, October 31, 2020, March 1, 2021, September 21, 2021, August 19, 2022, October 4, 2022, December 5, 2022, February 7, 2023, and January 23, 2024 amending such Orders further and enjoining actions brought by Additional Plaintiffs; and upon the Court having reviewed the Debtors' motion to extend the Preliminary Injunction filed on December 11, 2024 ("December 11, 2024 Motion"), the September 18, 2019 Motion, March 4, 2020 Motion, September 16, 2020 Motion, the February 14, 2021 Motion, the March 12, 2021 Motion, the April 7, 2021 Motion,

the May 6, 2021 Motion, the June 2, 2021 Motion, the August 27, 2021 Motion, the September 1, 2021 Motion, the December 20, 2021 Motion, the January 18, 2022 Motion, the February 3, 2022 Motion, the February 16, 2022 Motion, the March 10, 2022 Motion, the April 13, 2022 Motion, the June 13, 2023 Motion, the May 19, 2024 Motion, the June 27, 2024 Motion, the August 23, 2024 Motion, the September 13, 2024 Motion, the October 21, 2024 Motion, and the November 17, 2024 Motion (together, the "Motions"), the Debtors' brief in support of the December 11, 2024 Motion, all pleadings filed in support of the December 11, 2024 Motion, all objections filed in opposition or partial opposition to the December 11, 2024 Motion; and upon the record made at the hearing held by the Court on December 20, 2024 on the December 11, 2024 Motion (the "December 20, 2024 Hearing"), and together with the October 11, 2019 Hearing, the November 6, 2019 Hearing, March 18, 2020 Hearing, September 30, 2020 Hearing, March 1, 2021 Hearing, the March 24, 2021 Hearing, the April 21, 2021 Hearing, the May 20, 2021 Hearing, the June 16, 2021 Hearing, the August 27, 2021 Hearing, the September 1, 2021 Hearing, the December 29, 2021 Hearing, the February 1, 2022 Hearing, the February 17, 2022 Hearing, the March 2, 2022 Hearing, the March 23, 2022 Hearing, the April 27, 2022 Hearing, the January 24, 2023 Hearing, the February 1, 2023 Hearing, the June 29, 2023 Hearing, the July 9, 2024 Hearing, the September 5, 2024 Hearing, the September 23, 2024 Hearing, the October 31, 2024 Hearing, and the November 26, 2024 Hearing (together, the "Hearings") and all of the proceedings herein; and after due deliberation and for the reasons stated by the Court at the December 20, 2024 Hearing the Court having determined that the Debtors have established good and sufficient legal and factual grounds to amend and extend such orders as provided therein and grant the Debtors' request to amend and extend the orders as provided in this Amended Order,

which amends and supersedes the prior orders without interruption. Now, therefore, the Court finds and concludes as follows:

- (a) The Defendants in this adversary proceeding are the Governmental Defendants and the Private Defendants that, along with the Additional Plaintiffs, are listed in the "Underlying Plaintiffs" column of each of the charts annexed hereto as Appendix II and Appendix III, with such Appendices being made a part of and incorporated in this Order. The Defendants in this adversary proceeding and the Additional Plaintiffs are all plaintiffs in judicial, administrative, or other actions or proceedings that seek to hold the Debtors and/or the Related Parties, as identified in Appendix III, liable in connection with claims and/or causes of action arising out of or otherwise related to the Debtors' prescription opioid business.
- (b) The Court has jurisdiction over this adversary proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157(a)-(b) and 1334(b). This adversary proceeding is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2).³
- (c) The Debtors have demonstrated that the continuation of the active litigation against them and the Related Parties, identified in Appendix II and Appendix III, respectively, would result in irreparable harm to the Debtors and their reorganization.
- (d) The representatives of the Raymond Sackler family and of the Mortimer Sackler family (collectively, the "Sackler Families") agreed on the record at the October 11, 2019 Hearing to toll all applicable statutes of limitations and similar time limits on the commencement of Additional Actions against any member of the Sackler Families, and

³ The Court does not hereby make any determination that the Governmental Actions and Related-Party Claims are core proceedings.

to treat as inoperative all deadlines (including deadlines for appeals) in any currently pending Related Party Claim against any member of the Sackler Families, for the duration of this preliminary injunction.

- (e) Accordingly, this Court finds it appropriate to extend the preliminary injunction as provided herein pursuant to section 105(a) of the Bankruptcy Code and Rule 7065 of the Bankruptcy Rules.
- (f) The legal and factual bases set forth in the Complaint, the Motions, other supporting papers, and at the Hearings establish just cause for the relief granted herein.
- (g) Arizona, California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, the District of Columbia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Iowa, Maine, Massachusetts, Minnesota, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Nevada, North Carolina, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, Wisconsin, the Ad Hoc Group of Non-Consenting States (as listed on the October 11, 2019 Verified Statement pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 2019 filed under Docket No. 296 of Case No. 19-23649)⁴, the ad hoc committee of government and other contingent litigation claimants and each of its members (as listed on the October 10, 2019 Verified Statement pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 2019 filed under Docket No. 279 of Case No. 19-23649), and the Multi-State Governmental Entities Group and each of its members⁵ (as listed on the October 30, 2019 Verified Statement

⁴ Maryland is a member of the Ad Hoc Group of Non-Consenting States but is not among the Consenting Parties and is instead bound to the terms of this Order.

Figure 2. The following members of the Multi-State Governmental Entities Group are not Consenting Parties and are instead bound to the terms of this Order: (1) Bryant C. Dunaway, in his official capacity as the District Attorney General for the Thirteenth Judicial District, Tennessee; (2) Jennings H. Jones, in his official capacity as the District Attorney General for the Sixteenth Judicial District, Tennessee; (3) Robert J. Carter, in his official capacity as the District Attorney General for the Seventeenth Judicial District, Tennessee; (4) Brent A. Cooper, in his official capacity as the District Attorney General for the Twenty-Second Judicial District, Tennessee;

pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 2019 filed under Docket No. 409 of Case No. 19-23649) (collectively, the "Voluntarily Bound Parties") have each consented and agreed, for the duration of the extended injunction period only, to continue to abide by the terms of the Fortieth Amended Order Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 105(a) Granting Motion for a Preliminary Injunction, without the need to have any order entered against them.

Based on these findings, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that the Governmental Defendants, other than those who are Voluntarily Bound Parties, the Private Defendants, and the Additional Plaintiffs that have been bound by the Third, Fourth, Fifth, Sixth, Seventh, Eighth, Ninth, Tenth, Eleventh, Twelfth, Thirteenth, Fourteenth, Fifteenth, Sixteenth, Seventeenth, Eighteenth, Nineteenth, Twentieth, Twenty-First, Twenty-Second, Twenty-Third, Twenty-Fourth, Twenty-Fifth, Twenty-Sixth, Twenty-Seventh, Twenty-Eighth, Twenty-Ninth, Thirtieth, Thirty-First, Thirty-Second, Thirty-Third, Thirty-Fourth, Thirty-Fifth, Thirty-Sixth, Thirty-Seventh, Thirty-Eighth, Thirty-Ninth, and Fortieth Amended Orders are prohibited and enjoined from (i) the commencement or continuation of their active judicial, administrative, or other actions or proceedings against the Debtors and/or Related Parties that were or could have been commenced before the commencement of the case under this title against the Debtors and/or the Related Parties arising from or in any way relating to the Debtors' prescription opioid business, including the actions reflected in Appendix II and Appendix III, as well as (ii) from commencing or continuing any other actions against the Debtors or Related Parties alleging substantially similar facts or causes of action as those alleged in actions reflected in Appendix II and Appendix III, in each case

and (5) Lisa S. Zavogiannis, in her official capacity as the District Attorney General for the Thirty-First Judicial District, Tennessee.

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 16 of 304

through and including January 24, 2025. The preliminary injunction period may be extended by further order of the Court. Nothing in this Order shall be taken to prejudice the position of any party that no extension of the injunction of litigation against Related Parties (including the Sackler Families) is warranted.

ORDERED, that the December 11, 2024 Motion constitutes a joint motion of the Debtors and UCC to extend the stay beyond the Initial Stay Period as provided in paragraph 2 of the Amended and Restated Case Stipulation Among the Debtors, the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors and Certain Related Parties, *In re: Purdue Pharma, L.P. et al.*, Case No. 19-23649 (RDD) (Nov. 20, 2019) [ECF No. 518] ("UCC Stipulation"). All obligations under the UCC Stipulation, inclusive of obligations of any Covered Party as defined therein, that remain in effect during the Stay Period, as defined therein, shall remain in full force and effect so long as the Preliminary Injunction, as amended and extended, remains in effect. For the avoidance of doubt, the Initial Stay Period as defined in the UCC Stipulation expired on April 8, 2020.

ORDERED that, with the exception of the stay of the commencement or continuation of litigation and the Stay Period ordered in the two decretal paragraphs immediately preceding, and the Voluntary Injunction in the paragraph immediately succeeding, all other decretal provisions of this Order shall extend through and including February 24, 2025.

ORDERED, that the Debtors in these chapter 11 cases continue to be subject to the Voluntary Injunction annexed hereto as Appendix I through the occurrence of the Effective Date of a confirmed plan of reorganization for the Debtors. The duration of this Order, and the content of the Voluntary Injunction, annexed hereto as Appendix I may be amended by further order of the Court.

ORDERED, that the Debtors need not give security in connection with this injunctive relief.

ORDERED, that this Order shall be promptly filed in the Clerk's Office and entered into the record.

ORDERED, that the Debtors are authorized to take all steps necessary or appropriate to carry out this Order.

ORDERED, that nothing in this Order shall prevent the Debtors from seeking a further extension of the requested injunction.

ORDERED, that if, while the preliminary injunction provided for in this Order is effective, either (i) any inactive litigation currently pending against the Debtors or Related Parties becomes active, or (ii) any new action is commenced against the Debtors or Related Parties (in either case, an "Additional Action"), the Debtors may promptly serve the plaintiff or plaintiffs in such Additional Action ("Applicable Plaintiff") with a copy of the Complaint, the Motions, the Debtors' memoranda of law in support of the Motions, and this Order (the "Service Documents"). The Debtors shall file a notice of such service on the docket promptly after service. If the Applicable Plaintiff in such Additional Action does not file and serve an objection within seven (7) days of service of the Service Documents, the Court may determine whether such Additional Action should be enjoined pursuant to this Order without further proceedings. If the Applicable Plaintiff files and serves an objection, the Debtors shall have the right to file and serve a response to the objection within seven (7) days of service of the objection, after which the Court may determine whether such Additional Action should be enjoined pursuant to this Order without further proceedings, or either party may seek to schedule and provide notice of a hearing.

ORDERED, that all applicable statutes of limitations and similar time limits on the commencement of Additional Actions, and all deadlines (including deadlines for appeals) in any currently pending Governmental Action, Related Party Claim or action brought by an Additional Plaintiff (including as agreed on the record at the October 11, 2019 Hearing by the representatives of the Sackler Families), shall be tolled or otherwise inoperative through and including February 24, 2025. This is without prejudice to any party's rights to assert that any currently pending Governmental Action, Related Party Claim or claim brought by an Additional Plaintiff is time barred, or that commencement of any Additional Action, or any other action taken by a party with respect to any Governmental Action, Related Party Claim or Additional Plaintiff after the entry of this Order would have been time barred or untimely had it been commenced or taken before the entry of this Order.

ORDERED, that nothing in this Order shall affect or abrogate the automatic stay as to the Debtors and their estates under section 362 of the Bankruptcy Code.

ORDERED, that the time for all defendants to answer the Complaint is extended to a date, to be set by further order of the Court, that will not be earlier than March 25, 2025. All claims and defenses of the parties, including those under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure made applicable to this proceeding by Rule 7012 of the Bankruptcy Rules, are expressly preserved.

ORDERED, that the Pre-Trial Conference in this adversary proceeding is hereby adjourned. The Court will, by further order, set the date and time of the Pre-Trial Conference for a date that will not be earlier than March 25, 2025.

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 19 of 304

ORDERED, that this Court shall retain jurisdiction to hear and determine all matters arising from or related to the implementation, interpretation, or enforcement of this Order.

Dated: December 23, 2024 White Plains, New York

/s/ Sean H. Lane
THE HONORABLE SEAN H. LANE
UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 20 of 304

Appendix I

Voluntary Injunction

I. **DEFINITIONS**

- A. "Bankruptcy Court" or "Court" shall mean the court presiding over the chapter 11 proceedings *In re Purdue Pharma L.P. et al.*, Case No. 19-23649-RDD (S.D.N.Y.).
- B. "Cancer-Related Pain Care" shall mean care that provides relief from pain caused by active cancer or ongoing cancer treatment, as distinguished from treatment provided during remission.
- C. "CDC Guideline Recommendations" shall mean the 12 enumerated Recommendations published by the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) for the prescribing of opioid pain medication for patients 18 and older in primary care settings as part of its 2016 Guideline for Prescribing Opioids for Chronic Pain (CDC Guidelines), as updated or amended by the CDC.
- D. "Company" shall mean the Debtors as defined in these chapter 11 proceedings *In re Purdue Pharma L.P. et al.*, Case No. 19-23649-RDD (S.D.N.Y.).
- E. "Direct Customer Data" shall mean transaction information that the Company collects relating to the Company's direct customers' orders, including direct customer's wholesale orders, order history, and customer files.
- F. "Downstream Customer Data" shall mean transaction information that the Company collects relating to the Company's direct customers' sales to downstream customers, including chargeback data tied to the Company providing certain discounts, "867 data," and IQVIA data.
- G. "End-of-Life Care" shall mean care for persons with a terminal illness or at high risk for dying in the near future in hospice care, hospitals, long-term care settings, or at home.
- H. "Health Care Provider" shall mean any U.S.-based physician, nurse practitioner, physician assistant, dentist, pharmacist, podiatrist, nurse, or other person engaged in the business of providing health care services and/or prescribing an Opioid Product and any medical facility, practice, hospital, clinic, or pharmacy engaged in providing health care services and/or prescribing an Opioid Product in the United States.
- I. "Including but not limited to," when followed by a list or examples, shall mean that list or examples are illustrative instances only and shall not be read to be restrictive.
- J. "In-Kind Support" shall mean payment or assistance in the form of goods, commodities, services, or anything else of value.
- K. "Initial Covered Sackler Persons" shall mean the Estate of Beverly Sackler, David A. Sackler, Ilene Sackler, the Estate of Jonathan D. Sackler, Jonathan D. Sackler, Kathe Sackler, Mortimer D.A. Sackler, Richard S. Sackler, Theresa Sackler, any trusts of which any of the foregoing are beneficiaries, and the trustees thereof (solely in their capacities

- as such), each Shareholder Party and each other entity or person that directly or indirectly owns equity in, or has voting control over, any of the Debtors, and in the event of the death of an Initial Covered Sackler Person who is a natural person, other than a natural person who is an Initial Covered Sackler Person solely in the capacity as a trustee, the estate of such person.
- L. "Lobby" and "Lobbying" shall have the same meaning as such terms have under U.S. federal law and the law governing the person or entity being lobbied.
- M. "Opioid(s)" shall mean all natural, semi-synthetic, or synthetic chemicals that interact with opioid receptors on nerve cells in the body and brain. The term "Opioids" shall not mean (i) methadone, buprenorphine, buprenorphine/naloxone (oral/sublingual), suboxone, and other substances when used exclusively to treat opioid or other substance use disorders, abuse, addiction, or overdose; (ii) raw materials and/or immediate precursors used in the manufacture or study of Opioids or Opioid Products, but only when such materials and/or immediate precursors are sold or marketed exclusively to DEA-licensed manufacturers or DEA-licensed researchers; or (iii) Opioids listed by the DEA as Schedule IV drugs pursuant to the federal Controlled Substances Act.
- N. "Opioid Product(s)" shall mean all natural, semi-synthetic, or synthetic chemicals that interact with opioid receptors on nerve cells in the body and brain, and that are approved by the U.S. Food & Drug Administration (FDA) and listed by the DEA as Schedule II or III drugs pursuant to the federal Controlled Substances Act (including but not limited to codeine, fentanyl, hydrocodone, hydromorphone, meperidine, methadone, morphine, oxycodone, oxymorphone, tapentadol, tramadol, and buprenorphine for the treatment of pain). The term "Opioid Products(s)" shall not mean (i) methadone, buprenorphine, buprenorphine/naloxone (oral/sublingual), suboxone, and other substances to treat opioid or other substance use disorders, abuse, addiction, or overdose; (ii) raw materials and/or immediate precursors used in the manufacture or study of Opioids or Opioid Products, but only when such materials and/or immediate precursors are sold or marketed exclusively to DEA-licensed manufacturers or DEA-licensed researchers; or (iii) Opioid Products listed by the DEA as Schedule IV drugs pursuant to the federal Controlled Substances Act.
- O. "Promote," "Promoting," and "Promotion" shall mean the dissemination of information by the Company to a Third Party that is either likely or intended to influence prescribing practices of Health Care Providers in favor of prescribing greater amounts, quantities, doses, and/or strengths of Opioid Products.
- P. "Section" shall mean, unless the context requires otherwise, a Section of this injunction.
- Q. "Suspicious Order" shall have the same meaning as provided by the Controlled Substances Act, 21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904, and the regulations promulgated thereunder and analogous state laws and regulations.
- R. "Third Party" shall mean any person or entity other than the Company or a government entity.

- S. "Treatment of Pain" shall mean the provision of therapeutic modalities to alleviate or reduce pain.
- T. "Unbranded Information" shall mean any information regarding an Opioid or Opioid Product that does not identify a specific product(s).

II. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

A. Ban on Promotion

- 1. The Company shall not Promote Opioids or Opioid Products, including by:
 - a. Employing or contracting with sales representatives or other persons to Promote Opioids or Opioid Products to Health Care Providers or patients;
 - b. Using speakers, key opinion leaders, thought leaders, lecturers, and/or speaking events for Promotion of Opioids or Opioid Products;
 - c. Sponsoring, or otherwise providing financial support or In-Kind Support to medical education programs;
 - d. Creating, sponsoring, operating, controlling, or otherwise providing financial support or In-Kind Support to any website, network and/or social or other media account for the Promotion of Opioids or Opioid Products;
 - e. Creating, sponsoring, distributing, or otherwise providing financial support or In-Kind Support for materials Promoting Opioids or Opioid Products, including but not limited to brochures, newsletters, pamphlets, journals, books, and guides;
 - f. Creating, sponsoring, or otherwise providing financial support or In-Kind Support for advertisements that Promote Opioids or Opioid Products, including but not limited to internet advertisements or similar content, and providing hyperlinks or otherwise directing internet traffic to advertisements;
 - g. Engaging in Internet search engine optimization or other techniques designed to Promote Opioids or Opioid Products by improving rankings or making content appear among the top results in an Internet search or otherwise be more visible or more accessible to the public on the Internet; and
 - h. Engaging in Internet marketing techniques that Promote Opioids or Opioid Products by identifying or generating sales leads, including through pop up ads or information obtained from web forms completed by prospective patients or consumers.

- 2. Notwithstanding Sections II.A.1 and II.C, the Company may:
 - a. Maintain corporate websites;
 - b. Maintain a website for any Opioid Product that contains principally the following content: the FDA-approved package insert, dosage strengths, dosage forms, packaging configurations, and medication guides; a statement directing patients or caregivers to speak with a licensed Health Care Provider; Risk Evaluation and Mitigation Strategy (REMS) materials; contact information to report an adverse event or product complaint; and/or information regarding savings programs, savings cards, vouchers, coupons, or rebate programs for the Company's Opioid Products;
 - c. Provide information or support the provision of information, as expressly required by (i) law, (ii) settlement agreement, (iii) court order, including order of the Bankruptcy Court, or (iv) any state or federal government agency, including providing all information necessary in order for the Company to comply with its regulatory obligations pursuant to the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act, and/or (v) provide information about legal proceedings involving the Company;
 - d. Engage Health Care Providers or other Third Parties to assist the Company in responding to, preparing for, and participating in, any initiatives, advisory committees, working groups, action plans, boards, meetings and/or hearings by any state or federal government or state or federal agencies or regulators, including the Food and Drug Administration;
 - e. Provide the following by mail, electronic mail, on or though the Company's corporate or product websites or through other electronic or digital methods: FDA-approved package insert, medication guide, approved labeling for Opioid Products, Risk Evaluation and Mitigation Strategy materials, or other prescribing information or guidelines for Opioid Products that are published by a state or federal government agency with jurisdiction;
 - f. Provide scientific and/or medical information in response to an unsolicited request by a Health Care Provider concerning Opioid Products by providing truthful, balanced, non-misleading, non-promotional scientific or medical information that is responsive to the specific request. Such responses should be handled by medical or scientific personnel at the Company who are independent from the sales or marketing departments;
 - g. Provide a response to any unsolicited question or request from a patient or caregiver by (i) directing the patient or caregiver to the FDA-approved

labeling and reviewing the prescribing information with the patient as relevant to their inquiry, and, to the extent the question cannot be answered solely by reference to a specific provision of the FDA-approved labeling, providing a response that is truthful, balanced, non-misleading and fully consistent with the FDA-approved labeling, if applicable; (ii) recommending that the patient or caregiver speak with a licensed Health Care Provider without naming any specific provider or healthcare institution; (iii) directing the patient or caregiver to speak with their insurance carrier regarding coverage of an Opioid Product; and/or (iv) directing the patient or caregiver to information concerning savings programs, vouchers, coupons, or rebate programs for the Company's Opioid Products;

- h. Provide information to a payor, formulary committee, distributor, or other similar entity with knowledge and expertise in the area of health care economics concerning the cost or availability of a Company Opioid Product, including the costs compared to the cost of an Opioid Product manufactured or distributed by another company. Such information may include information about the stocking of the Opioid Product; product attributes of the Opioid Product as described in the FDA-approved labeling; tier status; applicable prescribing guidelines that are consistent with the FDA-approved labeling; step-edits for Opioid Products; restrictions; and/or prior authorization status concerning an Opioid Product;
- i. Sponsor or provide financial support or In-Kind Support for an accredited or approved continuing medical education program required by either an FDA-approved Risk Evaluation and Mitigation Strategy program, other federal or state law or regulation, or settlement, through an independent Third Party, which shall be responsible for determining the program's content without the participation of the Company;
- j. Provide Unbranded Information in connection with managing pain in Endof-Life Care and/or Cancer-Related Pain Care relating to the use of Opioids for the Treatment of Pain, as long as the Unbranded Information identifies Company as the source of the information; and
- k. Provide information about, discuss, or comment on, issues regarding mechanisms for preventing opioid abuse and misuse, including (i) abuse deterrent formulations and the use of blister packaging for opioid medications; (ii) the prevention, education, and treatment of opioid use disorders or opioid abuse, addiction, or overdose, including medication-assisted treatment for opioid addiction; and/or (iii) rescue medications for opioid overdose.

- 3. The Company shall not engage in the following specific Promotional activity relating to any products that are indicated for the treatment of Opioid-induced side effects. For the avoidance of doubt, nothing in this Section prohibits the Company's provision or dissemination of information or activities relating to: (i) the treatment of opioid use disorders; (ii) the prevention, education, and treatment of opioid abuse, addiction, or overdose, including medication-assisted treatment for opioid addiction; and/or (iii) rescue medications for opioid overdose:
 - a. Employing or contracting with sales representatives or other persons to Promote products that are indicated for the treatment of Opioid-induced side effects to Health Care Providers or patients;
 - b. Creating, sponsoring, or otherwise providing financial support or In-Kind Support for advertisements that Promote products that are indicated for the treatment of Opioid-induced side effects, including but not limited to internet advertisements or similar content, and providing hyperlinks or otherwise directing internet traffic to advertisements; and
 - c. Engaging in any other Promotion of products that are indicated for the treatment of Opioid-induced side effects in a manner that encourages the utilization of Opioids or Opioid Products or normalizes the use of Opioids or Opioid Products for chronic pain.
- 4. Notwithstanding Section II.A.3 directly above, the Company may engage in other marketing activities for products that are indicated or used for the treatment of Opioid-induced side effects, so long as such activities do not Promote Opioids or Opioid Products. For the avoidance of doubt, nothing in Sections II.A.3 or 4 shall limit or otherwise restrict the ability of the Company to Promote products for occasional constipation or restrict the Company from Promoting (i) products relating to the treatment of opioid use disorders; (ii) products relating to the treatment of opioid abuse, addiction, or overdose, including medication-assisted treatment for opioid addiction; and/or (iii) rescue medications for opioid overdose.

5. Treatment of Pain

- a. The Company shall not engage in Promotion of the Treatment of Pain in a manner that encourages the use of Opioids or Opioid Products.
- b. The Company shall not Promote the concept that pain is undertreated in a manner that encourages the use of Opioids or Opioid Products.
- c. The Company shall not knowingly use Third Parties to engage in the Promotion of the Treatment of Pain or Promote the concept that pain is undertreated in manners that encourage the use of Opioids or Opioid Products.

- 6. To the extent that the Company engages in conduct permitted by Section II.A.2 above, the Company shall do so in a manner that is:
 - a. Consistent with the CDC Guidelines Recommendations, as applicable; and
 - b. Truthful, not misleading, accurate, and not deceptive.
- 7. For the avoidance of doubt, nothing in this injunction shall be construed or used to prohibit the Company in any way whatsoever from taking legal or factual positions in litigation, the bankruptcy proceedings, investigations, regulatory actions and initiatives, or other legal or administrative proceedings, or exercising its right to legally challenge the enactment of any federal, state, or local legislation, rule, or regulation, or in any way whatsoever prohibit or limit the Company's right to make public statements or respond to media reports or inquires relating to any legal, administrative, regulatory, or legislative proceedings.

B. No Financial Reward or Discipline Based on Volume of Opioid Sales

- 1. The Company shall not provide financial incentives to its sales and marketing employees, or take disciplinary actions against its sales and marketing employees, that are directly based on, or tied to, sales volume or sales quotas for Opioid Products, unless otherwise permitted by the Bankruptcy Court.
- 2. The Company shall not offer or pay any remuneration directly or through a Third Party, to or from any person in return for the prescribing, sale, use or distribution of Opioid Product. For the avoidance of doubt, this shall not prohibit the provision of rebates and/or chargebacks.

C. Ban on Funding/Grants to Third Parties to Promote Opioids

- 1. The Company shall not provide financial support or In-Kind Support to any Third Party for purposes of Promoting Opioids or Opioid Products. For avoidance of doubt, nothing in this Section prevents the Company from directly or indirectly supporting Third Parties as required by any Judgment, court order, including order of the Bankruptcy Court, settlement, or federal or state law or regulation.
- 2. The Company shall not operate, control, create, sponsor, or provide financial support or In-Kind Support to any medical society or patient advocacy group for the purpose of Promoting Opioids or Opioid Products. For avoidance of doubt, nothing in this Section prevents the Company from supporting any medical society or patient advocacy group as required by any Judgment, court order, including order of the Bankruptcy Court, settlement, or federal or state law or regulation.
- 3. For the purposes of Promoting Opioids or Opioid Products, the Company shall not provide links to any Third Party website or materials or otherwise distribute

materials created by a Third Party relating to any Opioids or Opioid Products. For avoidance of doubt, nothing in this Section prevents the Company from providing links to any Third Party website or materials or otherwise distributing materials created by a Third Parties that the Company supports as required by any Judgment, court order, including order of the Bankruptcy Court, settlement, or federal or state law or regulation.

- 4. The Company shall not knowingly use a Third Party, including Health Care Providers, to engage in any activity that the Company itself would be prohibited from engaging in pursuant to the injunction.
- 5. No director, officer, or management-level employee of the Company may concurrently serve as a director, board member, employee, agent, or officer of any entity that engages in Promotion relating to Opioids, Opioid Products, the Opioid-related Treatment of Pain, or products indicated to treat Opioid-related side effects.
- 6. The Company shall not advocate for the appointment of persons to the board, or hiring persons to the staff, of any entity that principally engages in the Promotion of Opioids and Opioid Products. For avoidance of doubt, nothing in this paragraph shall prohibit the Company from fully and accurately responding to unsolicited requests or inquiries about a person's fitness to serve as an employee or Board member at any such entity.
- 7. For the avoidance of doubt, nothing in Section II.C or this injunction shall be construed or used to prohibit the Company from providing financial or In-Kind Support to, or disseminating information about, Third Parties, including medical societies and patient advocate groups, who are principally involved in issues relating to (i) the treatment of opioid use disorders; (ii) the prevention, education, and treatment of opioid abuse, addiction, or overdose, including medication-assisted treatment for opioid addiction; and/or (iii) rescue medications for opioid overdose.

D. Lobbying Restrictions

- 1. The Company shall not directly, or by employing or controlling a Third Party, Lobby for the enactment of any federal, state, or local legislation or promulgation of any rule or regulation that:
 - a. Encourages or requires Health Care Providers to prescribe Opioids or sanctions Health Care Providers for failing to prescribe Opioids or failing to treat pain with Opioids;
 - b. Would have the effect of limiting access to any non-Opioid alternative pain treatments; or

- c. Pertains to the classification of any Opioid or Opioid Product as a scheduled drug under the Controlled Substances Act.
- 2. The Company shall not directly, or by employing or controlling a Third Party, Lobby against the enactment of any federal, state or local legislation or promulgation of any rule or regulation that supports:
 - a. The use of non-pharmacologic therapy and/or non-Opioid pharmacologic therapy to treat chronic pain over or instead of Opioid therapy, including but not limited to Third Party payment or reimbursement for such therapies;
 - b. The use and/or prescription of immediate release Opioids instead of extended release Opioids when Opioid therapy is initiated, including but not limited to Third Party reimbursement or payment for such prescriptions;
 - c. The prescribing of the lowest effective dose of an Opioid, including but not limited to Third Party reimbursement or payment for such prescription;
 - d. The limitation of initial prescriptions of Opioids to treat acute pain;
 - e. The prescribing and other means of distribution of naloxone to minimize the risk of overdose, including but not limited to Third Party reimbursement or payment for naloxone;
 - f. The use of urine testing before starting Opioid therapy and annual urine testing when Opioids are prescribed, including but not limited to Third Party reimbursement or payment for such testing;
 - g. Evidence-based treatment (such as using medication-assisted treatment with buprenorphine or methadone in combination with behavioral therapies) for Opioid Use Disorder, including but not limited to Third Party reimbursement or payment for such treatment; or
 - h. The implementation or use of Opioid drug disposal systems that have proven efficacy for the Company's Opioid Products.
- 3. The Company shall not directly, or by employing or controlling a Third Party, Lobby against the enactment of any federal, state, or local legislation or promulgation of any rule or regulation limiting the operation or use of PDMPs, including, but not limited to, provisions requiring Health Care Providers to review PDMPs when Opioid therapy is initiated and with every prescription thereafter.
- 4. Nothing in Section II.D or this Injunction, however, limits the Company from:

- a. Challenging the enforcement of, or suing to stop the enactment of, or for declaratory or injunctive relief with respect to any legislation, rules, or regulations, including legislation, rules, or regulations relating to any issues referred to in Section II.D.1;
- b. Communications made by the Company in response to a statute, rule, regulation, or order requiring such communication;
- c. Communications by a representative of the Company appearing before a federal or state legislative or administrative body, committee, or subcommittee, as result of a mandatory order, subpoena commanding that person to testify, or an unsolicited request from an elected or appointed official, federal or state legislative or administrative body, committee, or subcommittee;
- d. Responding to an unsolicited request for the input on the passage of legislation or the promulgation of any rule or regulation;
- e. Communications by the Company, including to elected or appointed officials, federal or state legislative or administrative bodies, committees, or subcommittees regarding (i) mechanisms for preventing opioid abuse and misuse, including abuse deterrent formulations and the use of blister packaging for opioid medications, (ii) the prevention, education, and treatment of opioid use disorders or opioid abuse, addiction, or overdose, including medication-assisted treatment for opioid addiction; and/or (iii) rescue medications for opioid overdose.
- 5. The Company shall require all of its officers, employees, and representatives engaged in Lobbying to certify in writing to them that they are aware of and will fully comply with the provisions of this injunction with respect to Lobbying.

E. Ban on High-Dose Opioids

1. The Company shall abide by any decision by the FDA on the pending Citizens Petition dated September 1, 2017 (docket number FDA-2017-P-5396) requesting a ban on specific high doses of prescription oral and transmucosal Opioids that, when taken as directed, exceed 90 morphine milligram equivalents per day.

F. Ban on Prescription Savings Programs

1. The Company shall not directly, or by employing or controlling a Third Party, Promote savings card, vouchers, coupons, or rebate programs to Health Care Providers for any Opioid Product. Nothing in this provision shall prohibit the Company from providing savings cards, vouchers, coupons, or rebate programs, including electronic point-of-dispense programs: (i) in response to requests from

- Health Care Providers, patients, or other caregivers or (ii) on its website or product-specific websites.
- 2. The Company shall not directly or through a Third Party provide financial support to any Third Party to avoid the prohibited conduct in Section II.F.1 above.

G. Self-Monitoring and Reporting of Direct and Downstream Customers

- 1. The Company shall operate an effective monitoring and reporting system that shall include processes and procedures that:
 - a. Reasonably analyze all collected Direct Customer Data to identify a Suspicious Order of a Company Opioid Product by a direct customer;
 - b. Reasonably utilize available Downstream Customer Data to identify whether a downstream customer poses a material risk of diversion of a Company Opioid Product;
 - c. Analyze all information that the Company receives that indicates an unreasonable risk of diversion activity of a Company Opioid Product or an unreasonable potential for diversion activity of a Company Opioid Product, by a direct customer or a downstream customer, including reports by employees and customers of the Company, Health Care Providers, law enforcement, state, tribal, or federal agencies, or the media; and
 - d. Unless otherwise required by law, upon a relevant state's request, report to the relevant state agency any direct customer or downstream customer in each state that the Company has identified as part of the monitoring required by (a)-(c), above, and any Company customer relationship in each state that was terminated by the Company because of an unreasonable risk of diversion or unreasonable risk for potential for diversion.
- 2. Upon request, the Company shall promptly provide reasonable assistance to law enforcement investigations of potential diversion and/or suspicious circumstances involving the Company's Opioid Products subject to, and without waiving, any applicable privilege objections.
- 3. If one or more of the nation's three largest pharmaceutical distributors establishes a system to aggregate data concerning transactions of Opioid Products and/or concerning reports of Suspicious Orders of Opioid Products, and the system is designed to use information provided by manufacturers of Opioid Products, the Company shall provide information to such system to the extent reasonably available and feasible, subject to, and without waiving, any applicable privilege objections.

4. The Company agrees that it will refrain from acting as a distributor of Opioid Products by providing an Opioid Product directly to a retail pharmacy or Health Care Provider or otherwise engaging in activity that requires it to be registered as a distributor under the Controlled Substances Act unless otherwise required by local, state, or federal law. Nothing in this provision, however, prevents the Company from acting as a distributor of medications relating to (i) the treatment of opioid use disorders; (ii) the treatment of opioid abuse, addiction, or overdose, including medication-assisted treatment for opioid addiction; and (iii) rescue medications for opioid overdose.

H. Appointment and Responsibilities of Monitor

- 1. The Company shall retain a Monitor. On February 21, 2020, the Debtors retained Thomas J. Vilsack to serve as Monitor. On February 3, 2021, Monitor Vilsack requested that he be discharged from further duties and responsibilities. On February 18, 2021, the Debtors retained Stephen Bullock, former Montana Governor and former Montana Attorney General, to replace Secretary Vilsack as Monitor.
- 2. The Monitor shall perform its duties according to the terms of this injunction and shall be vested with all rights and powers reasonably necessary to carry out such powers, duties, authority, and responsibilities enumerated herein.
- 3. The Monitor shall work with all diligence to confirm and oversee compliance with this injunction, and shall provide reports to the Company's Board of Directors and the Bankruptcy Court as outlined below.

4. The Monitor shall:

- a. subject to any legally recognized privilege and as necessary or to perform their duties hereunder, have full and complete access to the Company's personnel, books, records, and facilities, and to any other relevant information, as the Monitor may request. The Company shall develop such information as the Monitor may request and shall fully, completely and promptly cooperate with the Monitor. The Monitor may raise with the Court any issues relating to any failure of or delay in such cooperation for an expedited resolution by the Court;
- b. serve, without bond or other security, at the cost and expense of the Company, with the Monitor's fees subject to final approval by the Court. The Monitor shall have the authority to employ, upon Court approval, at the cost and expense of the Debtors' estates, such consultants, accountants, attorneys, and other representatives and assistants as are necessary to carry out the Monitor's and responsibilities. The Monitor shall serve throughout the term of this injunction and submission of a final report;

- c. have no obligation, responsibility or liability for the operations of the Company;
- d. file a report no less than every 90 days regarding compliance by the Company with the terms of this injunction; provided that elements of any such report may be filed under seal or subject to such other confidentiality restrictions contained in the Protective Order. The Court may, in response to such reports, provide further direction to the Monitor as it deems appropriate;
- e. sign onto the Protective Order entered by the Court in this matter, and any confidentiality agreement consistent with the Protective Order as deemed necessary by the parties, and each of the Monitor's consultants, accountants, attorneys and other representatives and assistants shall also sign onto the Protective Order entered by the Court, and any confidentiality agreement consistent with the Protective Order as deemed necessary by the parties; *provided*, *however*, that nothing shall restrict the Monitor from providing any information to the Court and the parties consistent with the terms of the Protective Order; and
- f. promptly seek an order requiring compliance or such other remedies as may be appropriate under the circumstances should the Company not comply with this injunction.

5. Disputes Regarding Compliance

- a. If an Attorney General should have a reasonable basis to believe the Company is not in compliance with the terms of this injunction, the Attorney General shall notify the Company, via the Company's General Counsel, in writing of the specific objection, including identifying the provisions of this injunction that the practice appears to violate, and give the Company thirty (30) days to respond to the notification and cure the conduct at issue, if necessary.
- b. The Attorney General shall provide notification to the Monitor at the same time as notification is provided to the Company. To the extent that the Company fails to cure the alleged conduct within the thirty (30) day period, the Monitor shall have ten (10) days to determine the appropriate action and response. After that ten (10) day period and unless otherwise ordered by the Monitor or Bankruptcy Court, any Attorney General may petition the Bankruptcy Court to enforce the terms of this injunction and/or to obtain any remedy as a result of alleged non-compliance with the Company.

I. Initial Covered Sackler Persons

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 34 of 304

a. The Initial Covered Sackler Persons shall not actively engage in the opioid business in the United States (other than by virtue of their ownership of beneficial interests in the Company), and shall not take any action that would interfere with the Company's compliance with its obligations under this injunction.

Appendix II

Chart of Governmental Actions

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 36 of 304

	Туре	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
State	Actions	State	(200)	Cuse Time	Cuse I (united
1.	AG	Alabama	The State of Alabama	The State of Alabama v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Montgomery Cnty. 03-CV-2019-901174
2.	AG	Alaska	State of Alaska	State of Alaska v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. AK, 3rd Jud. Dist. 3AN-17-09966
3.	AG	Arizona	State of Arizona, ex rel. Mark Brnovich, Attorney General	State of Arizona, ex rel. Mark Brnovich, Attorney General v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. Pima Cnty. C20072471
4.	AG	Arizona	State of Arizona, ex rel. Mark Brnovich, Attorney General	State of Arizona, ex rel. Mark Brnovich, Attorney General v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	U.S. Supreme Court No. 22O151
5.	AG	Arkansas	State of Arkansas, ex rel. Leslie Rutledge	State of Arkansas, ex rel. Leslie Rutledge v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Pulaski Cnty. 60CV-18-2018
6.	AG	California	The People of the State of California	The People of the State of California v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Los Angeles Cnty. Super. Ct. 19STCV19045
7.	AG	Colorado	The State of Colorado ex rel. Philip J. Weiser, Attorney General	The State of Colorado ex rel. Phil Weiser, Attorney General v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Dist. Ct. Denver 2018CV33300
8.	AG	Connecticut	State of Connecticut	State of Connecticut v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Hartford State Super. Ct. NO. X07 HHD-CV-19-6105325-S
9.	AG	DC	District of Columbia	District of Columbia v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. District of Columbia 2019 CA 003680 B
10.	AG	Delaware	State of Delaware, ex rel. Kathy Jennings	State of Delaware, ex rel. Kathy Jennings v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. of Delaware C.A. No. N18C-01-223 MMJ (CCLD)
11.	AG	Florida	State of Florida, Office of the Attorney General, Department of Legal Affairs	State of Florida, Office of the Attorney General, Department of Legal Affairs v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Pasco Cnty. Case No. 2018-CA-001438
12.	AG	Georgia	State of Georgia	State of Georgia v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. Gwinnett Cnty. 19-A-00060-4
13.	AG	Guam	Territory of Guam	Territory of Guam v. Purdue Pharma, L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. Guam, Hagatna CV1020-19

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 37 of 304

			Underlying Plaintiff(s)		Court /
	Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Name	Case Number
14.	AG	Hawaii	State of Hawaii, ex rel. Clare E.	State of Hawaii, ex rel. Clare E.	1st Cir. Ct. of Hawaii
			Connors, Attorney General	Connors, Attorney General v.	09-1-0862-06 JHA
1.5	1.0	T 1 1	Con CIII TI I Am	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Al C Pitit C
15.	AG	Idaho	State of Idaho, Through Attorney	State of Idaho, Through	Ada County District Court
			General Lawrence G. Wasden	Attorney General Lawrence G. Wasden vs. Purdue Pharma	CV01-19-10061
				L.P., et al.	
16.	AG	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois	The People of the State of	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty.
10.	AG	IIIIIIOIS	The reopie of the state of fillions	Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P.,	2019-CH-04406
				et al.	2019-011-04400
17.	AG	Indiana	State of Indiana	State of Indiana v. Purdue	Cir. / Superior Ct. Marion Cnty.
17.	710	marana	State of Indiana	Pharma L.P., et al.	49D10-1811-PL-045447
18.	AG	Iowa	State of Iowa, Thomas J. Miller,	State of Iowa, Thomas J.	Polk Cnty. Dist. Ct.
			Attorney General of Iowa	Miller, Attorney General of	EQCE 084514
				Iowa v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et	
				al.	
19.	AG	Kansas	State of Kansas, ex rel. Derek	State of Kansas, ex rel. Derek	Shawnee Cnty. Dist. Ct.
			Schmidt, Attorney General	Schmidt, Attorney General v.	2019-cv-000369
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	
20.	AG	Louisiana	State of LA f/k/a Louisiana Dept.	State of LA f/k/a Louisiana	19th Judicial District Court, Parish
			of Health	Dept. of Health v. Purdue	of East Baton Rouge
				Pharma L.P, et al.	661638
21.	AG	Maine	State of Maine	State of Maine v. Purdue	State of Maine Kennebec County
				Pharma L.P., Purdue Pharma	Superior Court
				Inc., Richard Sackler, Jonathan	CV-19-112
				Sackler, Mortimer D.A. Sackler	
22.	AG	Maryland	Consumer Protection Division	and Kathe Sackler Consumer Protection Division	Consumer Protection Division of
22.	AG	Iviaryiand	Office of the Attorney General	Office of the Attorney General	the Office of the Attorney General
			(Md.)	v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	(Md.) / Office of Administrative
			(Mu.)	v. i didde i naima E.i ., et ai.	Hearings
					CPD Case No.: 311366
					OAH Case No. 1923474
23.	AG	Massachusetts	Commonwealth of Massachusetts	Commonwealth of	Super. Ct. Suffolk Cnty.
-				Massachusetts v. Purdue	1884-cv-01808 (BLS2)
				Pharma L.P., et al.	, ,

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 38 of 304

	Туре	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
24.	AG	Minnesota	State of Minnesota by its Attorney General, Keith Ellison	State of Minnesota by its Attorney General, Keith Ellison v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	4th Jud. Dist. Ct., Hennepin Cnty. Court File No. 27-CV-18-10788
25.	AG	Mississippi	State of Mississippi	State of Mississippi v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Hinds Cnty. 25CH1:15-cv-001814
26.	AG	Missouri	State of Missouri, ex rel. Eric Schmitt, in his official capacity as Missouri Attorney General	State of Missouri, ex rel. Eric Schmitt, in his official capacity as Missouri Attorney General v. Purdue Pharma L.P.	Cir. Ct. St. Louis City 1722-CC10626
27.	AG	Montana	State of Montana	State of Montana v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Lewis & Clark Cty. ADV-2017-949
28.	AG	Nevada	State of Nevada	State of Nevada v. McKesson Corp., et al.	Dist. Ct. Clark County A-19-796755-B
29.	AG	New Hampshire	State of New Hampshire	State of New Hampshire v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Merrimack Super. Ct. 217-2017-CV-00402
30.	AG	New Jersey	Gurbir S. Grewal, Attorney General and Paul Rodriguez, Acting Director of the New Jersey Division of Consumer Affairs	Gurbir S. Grewal, et al. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. NJ Chancery Div., Essex Cty. ESX-C-245-17
31.	AG	New Mexico	State of New Mexico, ex rel., Hector Balderas, Attorney General	State of New Mexico, ex rel., Hector Balderas, Attorney General v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Santa Fe Dist. D-101-CV-201702541
32.	AG	New York	The People of the State of New York, by Letitia James, Attorney General of the State of New York	The People of the State of New York, by Letitia James, Attorney General of the State of New York v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400016/2018
33.	AG	North Carolina	State of North Carolina, ex rel. Joshua H. Stein, Attorney General	State of North Carolina, ex rel. Joshua H. Stein, Attorney General v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. Wake Cnty. 18-cv-6051
34.	AG	North Dakota	State of North Dakota, ex rel. Wayne Stenehjem, Attorney General	State of North Dakota, ex rel. Wayne Stenehjem, Attorney General v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Dist. Ct. Burleigh Cnty. 08-2018-CV-01300

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 39 of 304

	Т	C4-4-	Underlying Plaintiff(s)	Care Name	Court /
35.	AG Type	Ohio State	(Last, First) State of Ohio, ex rel. David Yost,	Case Name State of Ohio, ex rel. David	Case Number C.P. Ross Cnty.
33.	AG	Onio	Ohio Attorney General	Yost, Ohio Attorney General v.	17CI000261
			Onio Attorney General	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	1701000201
36.	AG	Oregon	State of Oregon, ex rel. Ellen F.	State of Oregon, ex rel. Ellen F.	Cir. Ct. Multnomah Cnty.
			Rosenblum, Attorney General for	Rosenblum, Attorney General	18CV40526
			the State of Oregon	for the State of Oregon v.	
27	1.0		Control 1 Fill F	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C' C' M 1
37.	AG	Oregon	State of Oregon, ex rel. Ellen F.	State of Oregon, ex rel. Ellen F.	Cir. Ct. Multnomah Cnty.
			Rosenblum, Attorney General for the State of Oregon	Rosenblum, Attorney General for the State of Oregon v.	19CV22185
			the State of Oregon	Richard S. Sackler, et al.	
38.	AG	Pennsylvania	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania	Commonwealth of	Commonwealth Ct. of PA
50.		1 omisjivama	by Attorney General Josh Shapiro	Pennsylvania by Attorney	257-md-19
			of comments of the contract of	General Josh Shapiro v. Purdue	
				Pharma L.P., et al.	
39.	AG	Puerto Rico	The Commonwealth of Puerto	The Commonwealth of Puerto	Super. Ct. San Juan
			Rico	Rico v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et	SJ2018CV01659
				al.	
40.	AG	Rhode Island	State of Rhode Island, by and	State of Rhode Island, by and	Providence/Bristol County Super.
			through Peter Neronha, Attorney	through Peter Neronha,	Ct.
			General	Attorney General v. Purdue	PC-18-4555
41.	AG	South Carolina	State of South Carolina, ex rel.	Pharma L.P., et al. State of South Carolina, ex rel.	C.P. Richland Cnty.
41.	AG	South Caronna	Alan Wilson Attorney General	Alan Wilson Attorney General	2017-CP-4004872
			Than Wilson Mainey General	v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2017 C1 4004072
42.	AG	South Dakota	State of South Dakota, ex rel.	State of South Dakota, ex rel.	Cir. Ct. Hughes Cnty.
			Jason Ravnsborg, South Dakota	Jason Ravnsborg, South Dakota	32CIV18-000065
			Attorney General	Attorney General v. Purdue	
				Pharma L.P., et al.	
43.	AG	Tennessee	State of Tennessee, ex rel. Herbert	State of Tennessee, ex rel.	Cir. Ct. Knox Cnty.
			H. Slatery III, Attorney General	Herbert H. Slatery III, Attorney	1-173-18
			and Reporter	General and Reporter v. Purdue	
				Pharma L.P., a foreign limited	
44.	AG	Texas	State of Texas	partnership State of Texas v. Purdue	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct.
тт.	710	TCAds	State of Texas	Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-77003
45.	AG	Utah	Utah Division of Consumer	In the Matter of Purdue Pharma	Div. Consumer Protection
			Protection	L.P., et al.	DCP Case No. 107102

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 40 of 304

	Туре	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
46.	AG	Vermont	State of Vermont	State of Vermont v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. Chittenden Civ. Div. 757-9-18-CRCV
47.	AG	Virginia	Commonwealth of Virginia, ex rel. Mark R. Herring, Attorney General	Commonwealth of Virginia, ex rel. Mark R. Herring, Attorney General v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Tazewell Cnty. CL18-1076
48.	AG	Washington	State of Washington	State of Washington v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. King Cty. 17-2-25505-0 SEA
49.	AG	West Virginia	State of West Virginia, ex rel. Patrick Morrisey, Attorney General	State of West Virginia, ex rel. Patrick Morrisey, Attorney General v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Boone Cnty. 19-C-62
50.	AG	Wisconsin	State of Wisconsin	State of Wisconsin v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Dane Cnty. 2019CX000009
51.	AG	Wyoming	State of Wyoming, ex rel. Bridget Hill, Attorney General	State of Wyoming, ex rel. Bridget Hill, Attorney General v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	1st Jud. Ct. Laramie Cnty. 190-576
Triba	ıl (MDL)	·			
52.	Tribal	MDL	Puyallup Tribe of Indians	Puyallup Tribe of Indians v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45660 Master Case No. 17-md-2804
53.	Tribal	MDL	The Blackfeet Tribe of the Blackfeet Indian Reservation	The Blackfeet Tribe of the Blackfeet Indian Reservation v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corp., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:18-op-45749 Master Case No. 17-md-2804
54.	Tribal	MDL	The Muscogee (Creek) Nation	The Muscogee (Creek) Nation v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:18-op-45459 Master Case No. 17-md-2804
Triba	ıl (State Court)	•			
55.	Tribal	Oklahoma	Apache Tribe of Oklahoma	Apache Tribe of Oklahoma v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	D. Ct. Caddo Cnty. CJ-2019-69
56.	Tribal	New York	Lac La Ronge Indian Band	Lac La Ronge Indian Band v. Richard S. Sackler, et al.	Sup. Ct. Net York Cnty. 160667-2022

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 41 of 304

		G	Underlying Plaintiff(s)	a 1	Court /
-	Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Name	Case Number
Loca	l Government (Sto	ate Court)			
57.	Municipality	Arizona	Bullhead City	Bullhead City v. Allergan PLC, et al.	Mohave Cnty. Super. Ct. Case No. S8015cv201900591
58.	Municipality	Arizona	City of Glendale	City of Glendale v. Allergan PLC, et al.	Maricopa Cnty. Super. Ct. Case No. CV2019-010792
59.	Municipality	Arizona	City of Prescott	City of Prescott v. Allergan PLC, et al.	Yavapai Cnty. Super. Ct. Case No. P1300cv201900393
60.	Municipality	Arizona	City of Surprise	City of Surprise v. Allergan PLC, et al.	Maricopa Cnty. Super. Ct. Case No. CV2019-003439
61.	Municipality	Arizona	County of Apache	County of Apache v. Allergan PLC, et al.	Apache Cnty. Super. Ct. Case No. S0100cv201900101
62.	Municipality	Arizona	County of La Paz	County of La Paz v. Allergan PLC, et al.	La Paz Cnty. Super. Ct. Case No. S1500cv201900053
63.	Municipality	Arizona	Pinal County	Pinal County v. Allergan PLC, et al.	Sup. Ct. Pinal Cnty. S1100CV201901448
64.	Prosecuting Attorney	Arkansas	State of Arkansas, ex rel. Scott Ellington; City of Little Rock; City of Fort Smith; City of Springdale; City of Jonesboro; City of North Little Rock; City of Conway; City of Rogers; City of Pine Bluff; City of Bentonville; City of Hot Springs; City of Benton; City of Texarkana; City of Sherwood; City of Jacksonville; City of Monticello; County of Arkansas; County of Ashley; County of Baxter; County of Benton;	State of Arkansas, ex rel. Scott Ellington v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct., Crittenden Cnty. CV-2018-268

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 42 of 304

		Underlying Plaintiff(s)		Court /
Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Name	Case Number
		County of Boone;		
		County of Bradley;		
		County of Calhoun;		
		County of Carroll;		
		County of Chicot;		
		County of Clark;		
		County of Clay;		
		County of Cleburne;		
		County of Cleveland;		
		County of Columbia;		
		County of Conway;		
		County of Craighead;		
		County of Crawford;		
		County of Cross;		
		County of Dallas;		
		County of Desha;		
		County of Faulkner;		
		County of Franklin;		
		County of Fulton;		
		County of Garland;		
		County of Grant;		
		County of Greene;		
		County of Hempstead;		
		County of Hot Spring;		
		County of Howard;		
		County of Independence;		
		County of Izard;		
		County of Jackson;		
		County of Johnson;		
		County of Lafayette;		
		County of Lawrence;		
		County of Lee;		
		County of Lincoln;		
		County of Little River;		
		County of Logan;		
		County of Lonoke;		
		County of Madison;		
		County of Miller;		

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 43 of 304

	TE.	G	Underlying Plaintiff(s)	C. N	Court /
	Туре	State	(Last, First)	Case Name	Case Number
			County of Mississippi;		
			County of Monroe;		
			County of Montgomery;		
			County of Ouachita;		
			County of Perry;		
			County of Phillips;		
			County of Pike;		
			County of Poinsett;		
			County of Polk;		
			County of Pope;		
			County of Prairie;		
			County of Randolph;		
			County of St. Francis;		
			County of Saline; County of Scott;		
			County of Scott, County of Searcy;		
			County of Sebastian;		
			County of Sevier;		
			County of Sevier,		
			County of Sharp, County of Stone;		
			County of Union;		
			County of Van Buren;		
			County of Washington;		
			County of White;		
			County of Woodruff;		
			County of Yell		
65.	Municipality	California	City of El Monte, and The People	City of El Monte, and The	El Monte County Super. Ct.
			of the State of California, by and	People of the State of	19STCV10532
			through El Monte City Attorney	California, by and through El	
			Rick Olivarez	Monte City Attorney Rick	
			34.4.2	Olivarez v. Purdue Pharma	
				L.P., et al.	

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 44 of 304

	Туре	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
66.	Municipality	California	City of Santa Ana;	City of Santa Ana, et al. v.	Super. Ct. Orange Cnty.
00.	withincipality	Camonna	City of San Clemente;	Actavis Pharma, Inc., et al.	30-2019-01101802
			City of Encinitas;	Actavis i naima, me., et al.	30-2019-01101802
			City of La Habra;		
			City of La Mesa;		
			City of Oxnard;		
			City of Placentia;		
			The People of the State of		
			California, by and through Santa		
			Ana City Attorney Sonia R.		
			Carvalho, San Clemente City		
			Attorney Scott C. Smith, Encinitas		
			City Attorney Glenn Sabine, La		
			Habra City Attorney Richard D.		
			Jones, La Mesa City Attorney		
			Glenn Sabine, Oxnard City		
			Attorney Stephen Fischer, and		
			Placentia City Attorney Christian		
			Bettenhausen		
67.	Municipality	California	County of Kern, and The People of	County of Kern, and The	Kern Cnty. Super. Ct.
			the State of California, by and	People of the State of	BCV-19-100861
			through Kern County Counsel	California, by and through Kern	
			Margo Raison	County Counsel Margo Raison	
				v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	
68.	Municipality	California	The People of the State of	The People of the State of	Orange Co. Super Ct.
			California, acting by and through	California, acting by and	30-2014-00725287-CU-BT-CXC
			Santa Clara County Counsel James	through Santa Clara County	(Short version: 14-725287)
			R. Williams, Orange County	Counsel James R. Williams,	
			District Attorney Tony	Orange County District	
			Rackauckas, Los Angeles County	Attorney Tony Rackauckas,	
			Counsel Mary C. Wickham, and	Los Angeles County Counsel	
			Oakland City Attorney Barbara	Mary C. Wickham, and	
			J. Parker	Oakland City Attorney Barbara	
				J. Parker v. Purdue Pharam	
				L.P., et al.	

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 45 of 304

			Underlying Plaintiff(s)		Court /
	Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Name	Case Number
69.	Municipality	Connecticut	The City of Ansonia;	The City of Ansonia, The City	Hartford State Super. Ct.
			The City of Danbury;	of Danbury, The City of Derby,	HHD-CV-18-6098036-S
			The City of Derby;	and The City of Norwalk v.	
			The City of Norwalk	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	
70.	Municipality	Connecticut	City of New Britain	City of New Britain v. Purdue	Hartford State Super. Ct.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	HHD-CV-18-6087132-S
71.	Municipality	Connecticut	The Borough of Naugatuck;	The City of Bridgeport, et al. v.	Hartford State Super. Ct.
			The City of Bridgeport;	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	HHD-CV-18-6088462-S
			The City of Bristol;		
			The City of Milford;		
			The City of Shelton;		
			The City of Torrington;		
			The City of West Haven;		
			The Town of Beacon Falls;		
			The Town of East Hartford;		
			The Town of Fairfield;		
			The Town of Newtown;		
			The Town of North Haven;		
			The Town of Oxford;		
			The Town of Southbury;		
			The Town of Southington;		
			The Town of Thomaston;		
			The Town of Tolland'		
			The Town of Woodbury		
72.	Municipality	Connecticut	The City of New Haven	The City of New Haven v.	Hartford State Super. Ct.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	HHD-CV-17-6086134-S
73.	Municipality	Connecticut	The City of New London	The City of New London v.	Hartford State Super. Ct.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	HHD-CV-18-6094421-S
74.	Municipality	Connecticut	The City of Waterbury	The City of Waterbury v.	Hartford State Super. Ct.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	HHD-CV-17-6088121-S

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 46 of 304

	Туре	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
75.	Municipality	Connecticut	The Town of Berlin; The Town of Bethlehem; The Town of Coventry; The Town of Middlebury; The Town of New Milford; The Town of Prospect; The Town of Roxbury; The Town of Seymour; The Town of Stratford; The Town of Wolcott	The Town of Berlin; The Town of Bethlehem; The Town of Coventry v. Purdue Pharma L.P., The Town of Middlebury; The Town of New Milford; The Town of Prospect; The Town of Roxbury; The Town of Seymour; The Town of Stratford; and The Town of Wolcott; et al.	Hartford State Super. Ct. HHD-CV-18-6099290-S
76.	Municipality	Connecticut	Town of Wallingford	Town of Wallingford v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Hartford State Super. Ct. HHD-CV-18-6094422-S
77.	Municipality	Illinois	County of Lake; Michael Nerheim, Lake County State's Attorney; Mark C. Curran, Jr., Lake County Sheriff; Dr. Howard Cooper, Lake County Coroner; The County of Lake in the Name of the People of the State of Illinois	County of Lake, et al. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-003728
78.	Municipality	Illinois	City of Sesser	City of Sesser v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2019-L-008147
79.	Municipality	Illinois	City of Granite City, Illinois	City of Granite City, Illinois v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corp., et al.	Cir. Ct. Madison Cnty. 2018-L-000587 Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-010351
80.	Municipality	Illinois	The City of Burbank	The City of Burbank v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-012659
81.	Municipality	Illinois	The City of Countryside	The City of Countryside v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-012640
82.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois and Boone County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois and Boone County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Boone Cnty. 2018-L-000007 Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-004539

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 47 of 304

	Туре	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
83.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois and Bureau County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois and Bureau County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-004542
84.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois and Champaign County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois and Champaign County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Champaign Cnty. 2018-L-000006 Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-005935
85.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois and Cook County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois and Cook County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Cook County 2017-L-013180
86.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and DeKalb County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and DeKalb County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. DeKalb Cnty. 2018-L-000072 Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-013655
87.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and DuPage County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and DuPage County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-004542
88.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Henry County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Henry County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Henry Cnty. 2018-L-000016 Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-012690
89.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois and Jersey County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois and Jersey County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-003908
90.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Kane County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Kane County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-002943

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 48 of 304

	_	-	Underlying Plaintiff(s)		Court /
0.4	Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Name	Case Number
91.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois and Kankakee County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois and Kankakee County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Kankakee Cnty. 2017-L-000104 Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-004538
92.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Kendall County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Kendall County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Kendall Cnty. 2018-L-000078 Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-012741
93.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois and LaSalle County	The People of the State of Illinois and LaSalle County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. LaSalle Cnty. 2019-L-000052 Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2019-L-008722
94.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois and Macon County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois and Macon County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-002916
95.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Macoupin County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Macoupin County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Macoupin Cnty. 2018-L-000030 Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-013247
96.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and McHenry County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and McHenry County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-002948
97.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and McLean County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois and McLean County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al.	Cir. Ct. McLean Cnty. 2019-L-0000108
98.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Piatt County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Piatt County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Piatt Cnty. 2018-L-000007 Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-012689

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 49 of 304

	TD.	G	Underlying Plaintiff(s)		Court /
0.0	Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Name	Case Number
99.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois,	The People of the State of	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty.
			and Will County, Illinois	Illinois, and Will County,	2018-L-004546
				Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	
100.	M	Illinois	The Willers of De Head Deale	The Village of Bedford Park v.	Cir. Ct. Carala Carta
100.	Municipality	IIIInois	The Village of Bedford Park	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty.
101	Municipality	Illinois	Village of Bridgeview	Village of Bridgeview v.	2018-L-008819 Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty.
101.	Municipanty	IIIInois	village of Bridgeview	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-L-009526
102.	Municipality	Illinois	The Village of Evergreen Park	The Village of Evergreen Park	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty.
102.	Municipanty	IIIIIIOIS	The village of Evergreen Park	v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-L-012652
103.	Municipality	Illinois	Village of Hodgkins	V. Furdue Fliatina E.F., et al. Village of Hodgkins v. Purdue	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty.
103.	Municipanty	IIIIIIOIS	village of riodgkins	Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-L-009848
104.	M	Illinois	The Village of Lyons	The Village of Lyons v. Purdue	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty.
104.	Municipality	IIIInois	The Village of Lyons	Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-L-008746
105.	Municipality	Illinois	The Village of Summit	The Village of Summit v.	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty.
103.	Municipanty	IIIIIIOIS	The Village of Summit	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-L-008803
106.	Municipality	Louisiana	City of Vineland	City of Vineland v. Teva	Super. Ct. Cumberland Cnty.
100.	Municipanty	Louisiana	City of vinerand	Pharmaceutical Industries, Ltd.	CUM-L-00422-22
				et al.	CUM-L-00422-22
107.	Municipality	Maryland	Anne Arundel County, Maryland	Anne Arundel County,	Cir. Ct. Anne Arundel Cnty.
107.	Withincipanty	iviai y iaiiu	Affile Affilider County, Waryland	Maryland v. Purdue Pharma	C-02-CV-18-000021
				L.P., et al.	C-02-C V-18-000021
108.	Municipality	Maryland	Mayor & City Council of	Mayor & City Council of	Cir. Ct. Baltimore City
100.	Municipanty	iviai yianu	Baltimore	Baltimore v. Purdue Pharma	25C1800515
			Battimore	L.P., et al.	2501000515
109.	Municipality	Massachusetts	City of Boston;	City of Boston, The Boston	Super. Ct. Suffolk Cnty.
10).	manierpanty	Tytassachasetts	The Boston Public Health	Public Health Commission, The	1884CV02860B
			Commission;	Boston Housing Authority v.	10010 (02000)
			The Boston Housing Authority	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	
110.	Municipality	Massachusetts	City of Cambridge	City of Cambridge v. Purdue	Super. Ct. Middlesex Cnty.
	y		,	Pharma L.P., et al.	19-1044
111.	Municipality	Massachusetts	City of Chicopee	City of Chicopee v. Purdue	Super. Ct. Hampden Cnty.
	y		,F	Pharma L.P., et al.	1979CV00074
112.	Municipality	Massachusetts	City of Framingham	City of Framingham v. Purdue	Super. Ct. Middlesex Cnty.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	18-3483
113.	Municipality	Massachusetts	City of Gloucester	City of Gloucester v. Purdue	Super. Ct. Essex Cnty.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	1877CV01773

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 50 of 304

			Underlying Plaintiff(s)		Court /
	Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Name	Case Number
114.	Municipality	Massachusetts	City of Haverhill	City of Haverhill v. Purdue	Super. Ct. Essex Cnty.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	1899CV01762A
115.	Municipality	Massachusetts	City of Salem	City of Salem v. Purdue	Super. Ct. Essex Cnty.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	1899CV01767A
116.	Municipality	Massachusetts	City of Worcester	City of Worcester v. Purdue	Super. Ct. Suffolk Cnty.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	No. 1984CV00543
117.	Municipality	Massachusetts	Town of Canton	Town of Canton v. Purdue	Super. Ct. Norfolk Cnty.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	18-1582
118.	Municipality	Massachusetts	Town of Lynnfield	Town of Lynnfield v. Purdue	Super. Ct. Essex Cnty.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	1899CV01769D
119.	Municipality	Massachusetts	Town of Natick	Town of Natick v. Purdue	Super. Ct. Middlesex Cnty.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	19-646
120.	Municipality	Massachusetts	Town of Randolph	Town of Randolph v. Purdue	Super. Ct. Norfolk Cnty.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	1982CV00400
121.	Municipality	Massachusetts	Town of Springfield	Town of Springfield v. Purdue	Super. Ct. Hampden Cnty.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	18-938
122.	Municipality	Massachusetts	Town of Wakefield	Town of Wakefield v. Purdue	Super. Ct. Middlesex Cnty.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	18-3458
123.	Municipality	Missouri	Butler County;	Jefferson County, et al. v.	22 nd Judicial Cir. Ct., St. Louis City
			Cape Girardeau County;	Dannie E. Williams, M.D., et	1922-CC00203
			Christian County;	al.	
			City of Independence;		
			City of Joplin;		
			Crawford County;		
			Dent County;		
			Dunklin County;		
			Franklin County;		
			Greene County;		
			Iron County;		
			Jasper County;		
			Jefferson County;		
			Madison County;		
			Perry County;		
			Ste. Genevieve County;		
			Stone County;		
			Taney County;		
			Texas County;		
			Washington County		

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 51 of 304

	T	G	Underlying Plaintiff(s)	G V	Court /
101	Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Name	Case Number
124.	Municipality	Missouri	Polk County, Missouri	Polk County, Missouri v.	Cir. Ct. Polk Cnty.
10.5	3.5 1.1 11.	27 1	G'. AT 1	Allergan PLC, et al.	1922-CC11660
125.	Municipality	Nevada	City of Henderson	City of Henderson v. Purdue	Eighth Jud. Dist. Ct. Clark Cnty.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	A-19-800695-B
126	3.6 1.4) I	C'. CI V	C'. CI V D 1	Dept. 11
126.	Municipality	Nevada	City of Las Vegas	City of Las Vegas v. Purdue	Eighth Jud. Dist. Ct. Clark Cnty.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	A-19-800697-B Dept. 27
127.	Municipality	Nevada	City of North Las Vegas	City of North Las Vegas v.	Eighth Jud. Dist. Ct. Clark Cnty.
12/.	Municipanty	Nevada	City of North Las Vegas	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	A-19-800699-B
				Fuldue Filalilla L.F., et al.	Д-19-800099-В Dept. 11
128.	Municipality	Nevada	City of Reno	City of Reno v. Purdue Pharma	Eighth Jud. Dist Ct. Washoe Cnty.
120.	Municipanty	INEVaua	City of Kello	L.P., et al.	CV18-01895
129.	Municipality	New Jersey	City of Trenton	City of Trenton v. Purdue	Super. Ct. NJ, Mercer Cnty.
12).	withinerpainty	110W Selsey	City of Trenton	Pharma L.P., et al.	MER-L-001167-19
130.	Municipality	New Jersey	County of Ocean, NJ	County of Ocean, NJ v. Purdue	Super. Ct. NJ, Ocean Cnty.
150.	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i			Pharma L.P., et al.	OCN-L-0014740-19
131.	Municipality	New York	The City of Albany	The City of Albany v. Purdue	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty.
	1 ,			Pharma L.P., et al.	400004/2019
132.	Municipality	New York	City of Alma, GA;	City of Alma, GA, et al. v.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty.
			Village of Amityville, NY;	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	400031/2019
			Town of Babylon, NY;		
			Village of Babylon, NY;		
			Village of Babylon, NY;		
			Bacon County, GA;		
			City of Bayonne, NJ;		
			Village of Bellport, NY;		
			City of Blackshear, GA;		
			Town of Brookhaven, NY;		
1			City of Brunswick, GA;		
1			Chatham County, GA; Town of Clarkstown, NY;		
1			City of Clifton, NJ;		
			Town of Clinton, NJ;		
1			Dade County, GA;		
1			City of Demorest, GA;		
			East Hampton Village, NY;		
			Village of East Rockaway, NY;		
	J		village of East Rockaway, NY;		

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 52 of 304

TE.	G	Underlying Plaintiff(s)	C. N	Court /
Туре	State	(Last, First)	Case Name	Case Number
		City of Elizabeth, NJ;		
		Village of Farmingdale, NY;		
		Village of Floral Park, NY;		
		Village of Garden City, NY;		
		Village of Greenport, NY;		
		Town of Haverstraw, NY;		
		Town of Hempstead, NY;		
		Town of Huntington, NY;		
		Village of Island Park, NY;		
		Village of Islandia, NY;		
		Town of Islip, NY;		
		Village of Lake Grove, NY;		
		Village of Lawrence, NY;		
		Village of Lindenhurst, NY;		
		Village of Lloyd Harbor, NY;		
		City of Long Beach, NY;		
		Village of Lynbrook, NY;		
		Village of Massapequa Park, NY;		
		Village of Mill Neck, NY;		
		Village of Millerton, NY;		
		Village of New Hyde Park, NY;		
		Village of Nissequoge, NY;		
		Town of North Hempstead, NY;		
		Village of Northport, NY;		
		Village of Old Westbury, NY;		
		Town of Orangetown, NY;		
		Town of Oyster Bay, NY;		
		Borough of Paramus, NJ;		
		Village of Patchogue, NY;		
		Pierce County, GA;		
		City of Pooler, GA;		
		Village of Poquott, NY;		
		Village of Port Washington North,		
		NY;		
		City of Richmond Hill, GA;		
		Town of Riverhead, NY;		
		Village of Saltaire, NY;		
		Town of Smithtown, NY;		

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 53 of 304

	Туре	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
	Турс	State	Town of Southampton, NY; Town of Southold, NY; Village of Stewart Manor, NY; Village of Suffern, NY; Village of Valley Stream, NY; Village of the Branch, NY; Town of Wappinger, NY; Village of Wappinger Falls, NY; Village of West Hampton Dunes, NY; Village of West Haverstraw, NY; Village of Westbury, NY; Village of Hempstead, NY	Case Ivalite	Case Number
133.	Municipality	New York	The City of Buffalo	The City of Buffalo v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Erie Cnty. 811359-2019
134.	Municipality	New York	City of Ithaca	City of Ithaca v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty 400002/2018
135.	Municipality	New York	The City of Mount Vernon	The City of Mount Vernon v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400016/2019
136.	Municipality	New York	City of New York	City of New York v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty 400006/2018
137.	Municipality	New York	City of Plattsburgh	City of Plattsburgh v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400003/2019
138.	Municipality	New York	City of Schenectady	City of Schenectady v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400005/2019
139.	Municipality	New York	City of Troy	City of Troy v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400006/2019
140.	Municipality	New York	City of Yonkers	City of Yonkers v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400020/2019
141.	Municipality	New York	The County of Cattaraugus	The County of Cattaraugus v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400027/2019
142.	Municipality	New York	The County of Cayuga	The County of Cayuga v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400013/2019
143.	Municipality	New York	The County of Chautauqua	The County of Chautauqua v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Chautauqua Cnty. KI-2018-57
144.	Municipality	New York	The County of Chenango	The County of Chenango v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400021/2019

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 54 of 304

			Underlying Plaintiff(s)		Court /
	Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Name	Case Number
145.	Municipality	New York	The County of Clinton	The County of Clinton v.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	400003/2018
146.	Municipality	New York	The County of Cortland	The County of Cortland v.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	400019/2018
147.	Municipality	New York	The County of Essex	The County of Essex v. Purdue	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty
				Pharma L.P., et al.	400019/2019
148.	Municipality	New York	The County of Franklin	The County of Franklin v.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	400012/2018
149.	Municipality	New York	The County of Genesee	The County of Genesee v.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	400011/2018
150.	Municipality	New York	The County of Hamilton	The County of Hamilton v.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	400005/2018
151.	Municipality	New York	The County of Livingston	The County of Livingston v.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	400013/2018
152.	Municipality	New York	The County of Madison	The County of Madison v.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	400028/2019
153.	Municipality	New York	County of Nassau	County of Nassau v. Purdue	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	400008/2017
154.	Municipality	New York	County of Niagara	County of Niagara v. Purdue	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	400012/2017
155.	Municipality	New York	The County of Otsego	The County of Otsego v.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	400004/2019
156.	Municipality	New York	The County of Putnam	The County of Putnam v.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	400014/2019
157.	Municipality	New York	County of Rensselaer	County of Rensselaer v. Purdue	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	400011/2017
158.	Municipality	New York	County of Saratoga	County of Saratoga v. Purdue	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty
				Pharma L.P., et al.	400009/2018
159.	Municipality	New York	County of Schoharie	County of Schoharie v. Purdue	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	400010/2017
160.	Municipality	New York	The County of Schuyler	The County of Schuyler v.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	400014/2018
161.	Municipality	New York	The County of Steuben	The County of Steuben v.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	400004/2018
162.	Municipality	New York	County of Suffolk	County of Suffolk v. Purdue	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	400001/2017

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 55 of 304

	Туре	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
163.	Municipality	New York	The County of Tioga	The County of Tioga v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400022/2019
164.	Municipality	New York	County of Tompkins	County of Tompkins v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty 400001/2018
165.	Municipality	New York	The County of Warren	The County of Warren v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400030/2019
166.	Municipality	New York	County of Westchester	County of Westchester v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400010/2018
167.	Municipality	New York	The Town of Amherst	The Town of Amherst v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Erie Cnty. 803887-2018
168.	Municipality	New York	The Town of Cheektowaga	The Town of Cheektowaga v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Erie Cnty. 806151-2018
169.	Municipality	New York	The Town of Lancaster	The Town of Lancaster v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Erie Cnty. 809160-2018
170.	Municipality	New York	The Town of Tonawanda	The Town of Tonawanda v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Erie Cnty. 810783-2018
171.	Municipality	New York	The Town of Wappinger, New York	The Town of Wappinger, New York v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Dutchess Cnty. 2019-54549
172.	Municipality	New York	The Village of Wappingers Falls, New York	The Village of Wappingers Falls, New York v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Dutchess Cnty. 2019-53838
173.	Municipality	Ohio	The County of Medina, Ohio; The State of Ohio ex rel. Prosecuting Attorney of Medina County, S. Forrest Thompson	The County of Medina, Ohio; The State of Ohio ex rel. Prosecuting Attorney of Medina County, S. Forrest Thompson v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Medina Cnty. 19-CIV-0838
174.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Cleveland County	Board of County Commissioners of Cleveland County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	D. Ct. Cleveland Cnty. CJ-2019-592
175.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Greer County	Board of County Commissioners of Greer County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	D. Ct. Greer Cnty. CJ-2019-12

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 56 of 304

	Т	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s)	Cara Nama	Court / Case Number
176.	Type Municipality	State Oklahoma	(Last, First) Board of County Commissioners	Case Name Board of County	D. Ct. Hughes Cnty.
170.	Municipanty	Okialiollia	of Hughes County	Commissioners of Hughes	CJ-2019-36
			of frugiles County	County v. Purdue Pharma L.P.,	CJ-2019-30
				et al.	
177.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners	Board of County	D. Ct. McCurtain Cnty.
			of McCurtain County	Commissioners of McCurtain	CJ-2019-54
				County v. Purdue Pharma L.P.,	
				et al.	
178.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners	Board of County	D. Ct. Noble Cnty.
			of Noble County	Commissioners of Noble	CJ-2019-05
				County v. Purdue Pharma L.P.,	
179.	Municipality	Oklahoma	City of Burns Flat	et al. City of Burns Flat v. Purdue	D. Ct. Washita Cnty.
1/9.	Municipanty	Okialiollia	City of Burns Flat	Pharma L.P., et al.	CJ-2019-29
180.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Wampum Borough	Wampum Borough v. Purdue	C.P. Philadelphia Cnty.
100.	withinespainty	1 chiisyivama	Wampam Boroagn	Pharma L.P., et al.	July Term 2018 No. 01963
181.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	City of Lock Haven	City of Lock Haven v. Purdue	C.P. Clinton Cnty.
	1 2	·		Pharma L.P., et al.	1126-2018
182.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	City of Philadelphia	City of Philadelphia v. Allergan	C.P. Philadelphia
				PLC, et al.	Jan. Term 2018, No. 002718
183.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	City of Pittsburgh	City of Philadelphia v. Allergan	C.P. Allegheny Cnty.
104	3.6	D 1 '	G 14 CDA C 1	PLC, et al.	18-006153
184.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Commonwealth of PA, acting by	Commonwealth of PA, acting by and through Philadelphia	C.P. Delaware Cnty. CV-2017-008095
			and through Philadelphia District Attorney Lawrence S. Krasner	District Attorney Lawrence S.	CV-2017-008095
			Attorney Lawrence S. Krasner	Krasner v. Purdue Pharma L.P.,	Phila. Ct. Com. Pl.
				et al.	January Term 2018, No. 05594
185.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Commonwealth of PA, acting by	Commonwealth of PA, acting	C.P. Lehigh Cnty.
	1 3		James Martin;	by James Martin; People of	2018-C-0716
			People of Lehigh County and	Lehigh County and Lehigh	
			Lehigh County, PA	County, PA v. Purdue Pharma	
				L.P., et al.	
186.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania,	Commonwealth of	C.P. Chester Cnty.
			acting by and through Deborah S.	Pennsylvania, acting by and	2020-04553
			Ryan, the District Attorney of	through Deborah S. Ryan, the	
			Chester County	District Attorney of Chester County v. AmerisourceBergen	
				Drug Corp., et al.	
				Drug Corp., et al.	

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 57 of 304

	Туре	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
187.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, acting by and through Francis T. Chardo, the District Attorney of Dauphin County	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, acting by and through Francis T. Chardo, the District Attorney of Dauphin County v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corp., et al.	C.P. Dauphin Cnty., 12th Jud. Dist. 2019-CV-7795-CV
188.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, acting by and through Jack Daneri, the District Attorney of Erie County	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, acting by and through Jack Daneri, the District Attorney of Erie County v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corp., et al.	C.P. Erie Cnty., 6th Jud. Dist. 12837-19
189.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, Acting by and through Jack Stollsteimer, the District Attorney of Delaware County	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, Acting by and through Jack Stollsteimer, the District Attorney of Delaware County v. AmerisourceBergen	C.P. Delaware Cnty. CV-2020-002026
190.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, acting by and through John T. Adams, the District Attorney of Berks County	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, acting by and through John T. Adams, the District Attorney of Berks County v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corp., et al.	C.P. Berks Cnty. 19-18232
191.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, acting by and through John W. Peck, the District Attorney of Westmoreland County	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, acting by and through John W. Peck, the District Attorney of Westmoreland County v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corp., et al.	C.P. Westmoreland Cnty. 2561-2020
192.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, Acting by and through Matthew D. Weintraub, the District Attorney of Bucks County	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, Acting by and through Matthew D. Weintraub, the District Attorney of Bucks County v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corp., et al.	C.P. Bucks Cnty. 2020-00639

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 58 of 304

	Туре	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
193.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, acting by and through Terence P. Houck, the District Attorney of Northampton County	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, acting by and through Terence P. Houck, the District Attorney of Northampton County v. AmerisourceBergen Drug	C.P. Northampton County C-48-CV-2020-3440
194.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, Acting by and through Ryan P. Sayers, the District Attorney of Clearfield County	Corp., et al. District Attorney of Clearfield County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al	C.P. Clearfield Cnty. 2020-1056-CO
195.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Armstrong County, PA	Armstrong County, PA v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Armstrong Cnty. 2017-1570-CV
196.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of Allegheny	County of Allegheny v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Allegheny Cnty. 18-006155
197.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Beaver County, Pennsylvania	Beaver County, Pennsylvania v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Beaver Cnty. 11326-2017
198.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of Bradford	County of Bradford v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Bradford Cnty. 2018-CV-0059
199.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Bucks County	Bucks County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Bucks Cnty. No. 2018-03144
200.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Cambria County, Pennsylvania	Cambria County, Pennsylvania v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Cambria Cnty 2017-4131
201.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of Carbon	County of Carbon v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Carbon Cnty. No. 18-0990
202.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of Clarion	County of Clarion v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Clarion Cnty. 285-CD-2018
203.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Clearfield County	Clearfield County, Pennsylvania v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Clearfield Cnty. 2018-1484-CD
204.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Clinton County	Clinton County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Clinton Cnty. 752-18
205.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of Cumberland	County of Cumberland v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Cumberland Cnty. 2018-02147
206.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Dauphin County, PA	Dauphin County, PA v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Dauphin Cnty. 2018-CV-716-CV

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 59 of 304

	Туре	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
207.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Delaware County	Delaware County, Pennsylvania	C.P. Delaware Cnty.
				v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	No. 2017-008095
208.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of Erie	County of Erie v. Purdue	C.P. Erie Cnty.
200	25 11 11		0.7	Pharma L.P., et al.	11577-18
209.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of Fayette	County of Fayette v. Purdue	C.P. Fayette Cnty.
210	N 4	D	For the Country	Pharma L.P., et al.	2017-2676 C.P. Franklin Cnty.
210.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Franklin County	Franklin County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2019-2445
211.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of Greene	County of Greene v. Purdue	C.P. Greene Cnty.
211.	WithinCipality	1 Chiisyivama	County of Greene	Pharma L.P., et al.	791-2017
212.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Lackawanna County, Pennsylvania	Lackawanna County,	C.P. Lackawanna Cnty.
212.	- Wanterpanty	1 omisjivama	Euckawainia County, 1 chinsylvainia	Pennsylvania v. Purdue Pharma	17-cv-5156
				L.P., et al.	
213.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Lawrence County, Pennsylvania	Lawrence County,	C.P. Beaver Cnty
	1			Pennsylvania v. Purdue Pharma	11180-2017
				L.P., et al.	
214.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Lower Makefield Township	Lower Makefield Township v.	C.P. Bucks Cty.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2020-02718
215.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Mercer County	Mercer County v. Purdue	C.P. Mercer Cnty.
		<u> </u>		Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-1596
216.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	People of Northampton County	People of Northampton County	C.P. Northampton Cnty.
			and Northampton County, PA	and Northampton County, PA	2017-11557
217.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Pike County, Pa.	v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al. Pike County, Pa. v. Purdue	C.P. Pike Cnty.
217.	Municipanty	Pennsyivama	Pike County, Pa.	Pharma L.P., et al.	No. 602-2018
218.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Schuylkill County, Pennsylvania	Schuylkill County, Pa. v.	C.P. Schuylkill Cnty.
210.	Withinesparity	1 chiisyivama	Senayikin County, Tennisyivania	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	S-1241-18
219.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of Monroe	County of Monroe v. Purdue	C.P. Monroe Cnty.
	1 3			Pharma L.P., et al.	3972-CV-18
220.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of Tioga	County of Tioga v. Purdue	C.P. Tioga Cnty.
	1		. 0	Pharma L.P., et al.	563-CV-2018
221.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of Washington	County of Washington v.	C.P. Washington Cnty.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2017-6268
222.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of Westmoreland	County of Westmoreland v.	C.P. Westmoreland Cnty
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2017-5975
223.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of York	County of York v. Purdue	C.P. York Cnty.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	2017-003372

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 60 of 304

	Туре	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
224.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	The Municipality of Norristown and The Township of West Norriton	The Municipality of Norristown and The Township of West Norriton v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Montgomery Cnty. 2019-12178
225.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Mahoning Township	Mahoning Township v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Philadelphia Cnty. 18-0603466
226.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Newtown Township	Newtown Township v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Bucks Cnty. 2019-03043
227.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Warrington Township	Warrington Township v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Bucks Cnty. 2019-04956
228.	Municipality	South Carolina	City of Charleston	City of Charleston v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Charleston Cnty. 2019-CP-10-04294
229.	Municipality	South Carolina	City of North Charleston	City of North Charleston v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Charleston Cnty. 2019-CP-10-03978
230.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Abbeville	County of Abbeville v. Rite Aid of South Carolina Inc., et al.	C.P. Abbeville Cnty. 2019-CP-01-00154
231.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Aiken	County of Aiken v. Rite Aid of South Carolina Inc., et al.	C.P. Aiken Cnty. 2019-CP-02-01086
232.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Allendale	County of Allendale v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Allendale Cnty. 2018-CP-03-00125
233.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Anderson	County of Anderson v. Rite Aid of South Carolina Inc., et al.	C.P. Anderson Cnty. 2018-CP-04-01108
234.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Bamberg	County of Bamberg v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Bamberg Cnty. 2018-CP-05-00189
235.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Barnwell	County of Barnwell v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Barnwell Cnty. 2018-CP-06-00329
236.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Beaufort	County of Beaufort v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Beaufort Cnty. 2018-CP-07-01245
237.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Calhoun	County of Calhoun v. Rite Aid of South Carolina Inc., et al.	C.P. Calhoun Cnty. 2019-CP-09-00065
238.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Cherokee	County of Cherokee v. Rite Aid of South Carolina, Inc., et al.	C.P. Cherokee Cnty. 2018-CP-11-00503
239.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Chesterfield	County of Chesterfield v. Rite Aid of South Carolina, Inc., et al.	C.P. Chesterfield Cnty. 2018-CP-13-00410

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 61 of 304

	Т	64-4-	Underlying Plaintiff(s)	Com Nome	Court /
240.	Type Municipality	South Carolina	(Last, First) County of Clarendon	Case Name County of Clarendon v. Rite	Case Number C.P. Clarendon Cnty.
240.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Clarendon	Aid of South Carolina Inc., et	2019-CP-14-00236
				al.	2019-CP-14-00230
241.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Colleton	County of Colleton v. Purdue	C.P. Colleton Cnty.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-CP-15-00438
242.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Dillon	County of Dillon v. Rite Aid of	C.P. Dillon Cnty.
				South Carolina Inc., et al.	2019-CP-17-00213
243.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Dorchester	County of Dorchester v. Purdue	C.P. Dorchester Cnty.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-CP-18-01122
244.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Edgefield	County of Edgefield v. Rite Aid	C.P. Edgefield Cnty.
				of South Carolina Inc., et al.	2019-CP-19-00120
245.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Fairfield	County of Fairfield v. Rite Aid	C.P. Fairfield Cnty.
				of South Carolina, Inc., et al.	2018-CP-20-00272
246.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Florence	County of Florence v. Rite Aid	C.P. Florence Cnty.
				of South Carolina Inc., et al.	2019-CP-21-01213
247.	Municipality	South Carolina	Greenville County	Greenville County v. Purdue	C.P. Greenville Cnty.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-CP-23-01294
248.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Greenwood	County of Greenwood v. Rite	C.P. Cherokee Cnty.
				Aid of South Carolina, Inc., et	2018-CP-24-00775
				al.	
249.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Hampton	County of Hampton v. Purdue	C.P. Hampton Cnty.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-CP-25-00258
250.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Horry	County of Horry v. Rite Aid of	C.P. Horry Cnty.
				South Carolina Inc., et al.	2019-CP-26-02684
251.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Jasper	County of Jasper v. Purdue	C.P. Jasper Cnty.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-CP-27-00332
252.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Kershaw	County of Kershaw v. Purdue	C.P. Kershaw Cnty.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-CP-28-00553
253.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Lancaster	County of Lancaster v. Rite Aid	C.P. Lancaster Cnty.
				of South Carolina Inc., et al.	2019-CP-29-00540
254.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Laurens	Coun-02ty of Laurens v. Rite	C.P. Laurens Cnty.
				Aid of South Carolina, Inc., et	2018-CP-30-00606
				al.	
255.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Lee	County of Lee v. Rite Aid of	C.P. Lee Cnty.
				South Carolina, Inc., et al.	2018-CP-31-00207
256.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Lexington	County of Lexington v. Purdue	C.P. Lexington Cnty.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-CP-32-02207

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 62 of 304

	Tymo	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
257.	Type Municipality	South Carolina	County of Marion	County of Marion v. Rite Aid of South Carolina Inc., et al.	C.P. Marion Cnty. 2019-CP-33-00299
258.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of McCormick	County of McCormick v. Rite Aid of South Carolina Inc., et al.	C.P. McCormick Cnty. 2019-CP-35-00031
259.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Oconee	County of Oconee v. Rite Aid of South Carolina, Inc., et al.	C.P. Oconee Cnty. 2018-CP-37-00458
260.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Orangeburg	County of Orangeburg v. Rite Aid of South Carolina, Inc., et al.	C.P. Orangeburg Cnty. 2018-CP-38-00841
261.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Pickens	County of Pickens v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Pickens Cnty. 18-CP-39-00675
262.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Saluda	County of Saluda v. Rite Aid of South Carolina Inc., et al.	C.P. Clarendon Cnty. 2019-CP-41-00111
263.	Municipality	South Carolina	Spartanburg County	Spartanburg County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Spartanburg Cnty. 2018-CP-42-00760
264.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Sumter	County of Sumter v. Rite Aid of South Carolina Inc., et al.	C.P. Sumter Cnty. 2019-CP-43-00891
265.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Union	County of Union v. Rite Aid of South Carolina, Inc., et al.	C.P. Union Cnty. 2018-CP-44-00288
266.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Williamsburg	County of Williamsburg v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Williamsburg Cnty. 2018-CP-45-00276
267.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of York	County of York v. Rite Aid of South Carolina, Inc., et al.	C.P. York Cnty. 2018-CP-46-02446
268.	Municipality	South Carolina	Town of Mount Pleasant	Town of Mount Pleasant v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Charleston Cnty. 2019-CP-10-04302
269.	District Attorney General / Municipality	Tennessee	Bryant C. Dunaway, in his official capacity as the District Attorney General for the Thirteenth Judicial District, TN and on behalf of all political subdivisions therein, Including Clay County, City of Celine, Cumberland County, City of Crab Orchard, City of Crossville, Town of Pleasant Hill, Dekalb County, Town of Alexandria, Town of Dowelltown, Town of Liberty, City of	Bryant C. Dunaway, et al. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Cumberland Cnty. CCI-2018-CV-6331

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 63 of 304

		Underlying Plaintiff(s)		Court /
Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Name	Case Number
		Smithville, Overton County, Town		
		of Livingston, Pickett County,		
		Town of Byrdstown, Putnam		
		County, City of Algood, Town of		
		Baxter, City of Cookeville, Town		
		of Monterey, White County, Town		
		of Doyle, City of Sparta;		
		Jenning H. Jones, in his official		
		capacity as the District Attorney		
		General for the Sixteenth Judicial		
		District, TN and on behalf of all		
		political subdivisions therein,		
		including Cannon County, Town		
		of Auburntown, Town of		
		Woodbury, Rutherford County,		
		City of Eaglevill, City of La		
		Vergne, City of Murfreesboro,		
		Town of Smyrna;		
		Robert J. Carter, in his official		
		capacity as the District Attorney		
		General for the Seventeenth		
		Judicial District, TN and on behalf		
		of all political subdivisions		
		therein, including Bedord County,		
		Town of Bell Buckle, Town of		
		Normandy, City of Shelbyville,		
		Town of Wartrace, Lincoln		
		County, City of Ardmore, City of		
		Fayetteville, Town of Petersburg,		
		Marshall County, Town of Chapel		
		Hill, Town of Cornersville, City of		
		Lewisburg, Moore County, City of		
		Lynchburg;		
		Brent A. Cooper, in his official		
		capacity as the District Attorney		

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 64 of 304

	Туре	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
			General for the Twenty-Second Judicial District, TN and on behalf of all political subdivisions therein, including Giles County, City of Elkton, Town of Lynnville, City of Minor Hill, City of Pulaski, Lawrence County, Town of Ethridge, City of Iron City, City of Lawrenceburg, City of Loretto, City of St. Joseph, Maury County, City of Columbia, City of Mount Pleasant, City of Spring Hill, Wayne County, City of Clifton, City of Collinwood, City of Waynesboro; Lisa S. Zavogiannis, in her official capacity as the District Attorney General for the Thirty-First Judicial District, TN and on behalf of all political subdivisions therein, including Van Buren County, Town of Spencer, Warren County, Town of Centertown, City of McMinnville, Town of Morrison, Town of Viola; Baby Doe, by and through his Mother.		
270.	District Attorney General / Municipality	Tennessee	Jared Effler, in his official capacity as the District Attorney General for the Eigth Judicial District, TN; Charme Allen, in her official capacity as the District Attorney General for the Sixth Judicial District; Dave Clark, in his official	Jared Effler, et al. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Eastern Section at Knoxville Court of Appeals No. E2018-01994-COA-R3-CV

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 65 of 304

	Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
	Туре	State	capacity as the District Attorney General for the Seventh Judicial District, TN; Russell Johnson, in his official capacity as the District Attorney General for the Ninth Judicial District, TN; Stephen Crump, in his official capacity as the District Attorney General for the Tenth Judicial District, TN; Jimmy Dunn, in his official capacity as the District Attorney General for the Fourth Judicial	Case Name	
			District, TN; Mike Taylor, in his official capacity as the District Attorney General for the Twelfth Judicial District, TN Baby Doe #1;		
271.	District Attorney General / Municipality	Tennessee	Baby Doe #2 Barry Staubus, in his official capacity as the District Attorney General for the Second Judicial District and on behalf of all political subdivisions therein; Tony Clark, in his official capacity as the District Attorney General for the First Judicial District and on behalf of all political subdivisions therein;	Barry Staubus, et al. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Sullivan Cnty. No. C-41916

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 66 of 304

	Туре	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
			Dan Armstrong, in his official capacity as the District Attorney General for the Third Judicial District and on behalf of all political subdivisions therein;		
252			Baby Doe, by and through his Guadian Ad Litem		
272.	Municipality	Tennessee	Shelby County, by the Shelby Board of Commissioners	Shelby County, by the Shelby Board of Commissioners v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Shelby Cnty. No. CT-004500-17
273.	Municipality	Texas	City of Houston, Texas	City of Houston, Texas v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2019-43219
274.	Municipality	Texas	County of Bee	County of Bee v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-76897
275.	Municipality	Texas	County of Bexar	County of Bexar v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77066
276.	Municipality	Texas	County of Burnet	County of Burnet v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77090
277.	Municipality	Texas	County of Cameron	County of Cameron v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77093
278.	Municipality	Texas	County of Cass	County of Cass v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-76905
279.	Municipality	Texas	County of Cooke	County of Cooke v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-76907
280.	Municipality	Texas	County of Coryell	County of Coryell v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Coryell Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77097
281.	Municipality	Texas	County of Dallas	County of Dallas v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77098
282.	Municipality	Texas	County of Delta	County of Delta v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corp., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77104
283.	Municipality	Texas	County of Dimmit	County of Dimmit v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-76933
284.	Municipality	Texas	County of Ector	County of Ector v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-76934

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 67 of 304

	Туре	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
285.	Municipality	Texas	County of El Paso	County of El Paso v. Purdue	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct.
265.	Municipanty	Texas	County of El Laso	Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-76970
286.	Municipality	Texas	County of Falls	County of Falls v. Purdue	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct.
200.	withinespainty	Texus	County of Fund	Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-77106
287.	Municipality	Texas	County of Fannin	County of Fannin v. Purdue	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct.
	1 3			Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-76974
288.	Municipality	Texas	County of Grayson	County of Grayson v. Purdue	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-76994
289.	Municipality	Texas	County of Harrison	County of Harrison v. Purdue	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-77108
290.	Municipality	Texas	County of Hidalgo	County of Hidalgo v. Purdue	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-77109
291.	Municipality	Texas	County of Hopkins	County of Hopkins v. Purdue	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-77111
292.	Municipality	Texas	County of Houston	County of Houston v. Purdue	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct.
202	36 11 11			Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-77021
293.	Municipality	Texas	Johnson County	Johnson County v. Purdue	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct.
20.4	3.6	T.	G	Pharma, L.P. et al.	2018-87346
294.	Municipality	Texas	County of Kendall	County of Kendall v. Purdue	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct.
205	M1'1'	Т	Complete	Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-77023
295.	Municipality	Texas	County of Kerr	County of Kerr v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77114
296.	Municipality	Texas	County of Liberty	County of Liberty v. Purdue	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct.
290.	Municipanty	Texas	County of Liberty	Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-77116
297.	Municipality	Texas	County of Limestone	County of Limestone v. Purdue	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct.
277.	withinespainty	1 CAUS	County of Ennestone	Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-77025
298.	Municipality	Texas	County of Marion	County of Marion v. Purdue	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct.
	y			Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-77026
299.	Municipality	Texas	County of McMullen	County of McMullen v. Purdue	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct.
	1 ,			Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-77067
300.	Municipality	Texas	County of Milam	County of Milam v. Purdue	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct.
			-	Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-77141
301.	Municipality	Texas	County of Nacogdoches	County of Nacogdoches v.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-77027
302.	Municipality	Texas	County of Nueces;	County of Nueces and Nueces	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct.
			Nueces County Hospital District	County Hospital District v.	2018-77083
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 68 of 304

	Туре	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
303.	Municipality	Texas	County of Orange	County of Orange v. Purdue	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-77036
304.	Municipality	Texas	County of Panola	County of Panola v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77037
305.	Municipality	Texas	County of Parker	County of Parker v. Purdue	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct.
303.	Withincipanity	Texas	County of Farker	Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-77143
306.	Municipality	Texas	County of Potter	County of Potter v. Purdue	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-77039
307.	Municipality	Texas	County of Robertson	County of Robertson v. Purdue	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct.
			-	Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-77043
308.	Municipality	Texas	County of San Patricio	County of San Patricio v.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-77075
309.	Municipality	Texas	County of Shelby	County of Shelby v. Purdue	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-77062
310.	Municipality	Texas	County of Travis	County of Travis v. Purdue	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-77144
311.	Municipality	Texas	County of Trinity	County of Trinity v. Purdue	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-77080
312.	Municipality	Texas	County of Van Zandt	County of Van Zandt v.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct.
				AmerisourceBergen Drug	2018-77150
				Corp., et al.	
313.	Municipality	Texas	County of Waller	County of Waller v. Purdue	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-77153
314.	Municipality	Texas	County of Wood	County of Wood v. Purdue	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-77081
315.	Municipality	Utah	Cache County, Utah;	Cache County, Utah; Rich	1st Dist. Ct. Cache Cnty.
			Rich County, Utah	County, Utah v. Purdue Pharma	190100112
				L.P., et al.	
316.	Municipality	Utah	Davis County	Davis County v. Purdue	2nd Dist. Ct. Davis Cnty.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	180700870
317.	Municipality	Utah	Grand County	Grand County v. Purdue	7th Jud. Dist. Ct. Grand Cnty.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	180700040
318.	Municipality	Utah	Iron County	Iron County v. Purdue Pharma	5th Jud. Dist. Ct. Iron Cnty.
				L.P., et al.	180500149
319.	Municipality	Utah	Millard County	Millard County v. Purdue	4th Jud. Dist. Ct. Millard Cnty.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	180700044

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 69 of 304

	Туре	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
320.	Municipality	Utah	Salt Lake County	Salt Lake County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	3rd Jud. Dist. Ct. Salt Lake Cnty. 180902421
321.	Municipality	Utah	San Juan County	San Juan County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	7th Jud. Dist. Ct. Grand Cnty. 180700040
322.	Municipality	Utah	Sanpete County	Sanpete County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	6th Jud. Dist. Ct. Sanpete Cnty. 180600095
323.	Municipality	Utah	Emery County; Juab County; Piute County Sevier County; Wayne County;	Sevier County, Utah; Juab County, Utah; Emery County, Utah; Wayne County, Utah; and Piute County, Utah v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	6th Jud. Dis. Ct. Sevier Cnty. 190600050
324.	Municipality	Utah	Summit County, Utah	Summit County, Utah v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	3rd Dist. Ct. Summit Cnty. 180500119
325.	Municipality	Utah	Tooele County, Utah	Tooele County, Utah v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	3rd Dist. Ct. Tooele Cnty. 180300423
326.	Municipality	Utah	Uintah County, Utah; Duscesne County, Utah; Daggett County, Utah; Tri-County Health Department	Uintah County, Utah; Duscesne County, Utah; Daggett County, Utah; and Tri-County Health Department v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	8th Dist. Ct. Uintah Cnty. 180800056
327.	Municipality	Utah	Wasatch County, Utah	Wasatch County, Utah v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	4th Dist. Ct. Wasatch Cnty. 180500079
328.	Municipality	Utah	Washington County, Utah; Kane County, Utah; Beaver County, Utah; Garfield County, Utah	Washington County, Utah; Kane County, Utah; Beaver County, Utah; Garfield County, Utah v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	5th Dist. Ct. Washington Cnty. 190500179
329.	Municipality	Utah	Weber County, Utah	Weber County, Utah v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2nd Dist. Ct. Weber Cnty. 180903087
330.	Municipality	Virginia	City of Martinsville, Virginia	City of Martinsville, Virginia v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Martinsville Cnty. CL18000240-00
331.	Municipality	Virginia	Dinwiddie County, Virginia	Dinwiddie County, Virginia v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Dinwiddie Cnty. CL19000317-00
332.	Municipality	Virginia	The County Board of Arlington County, Virginia	The County Board of Arlington County, Virginia v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Arlington Cnty. CL19001081-00
333.	Municipality	Virginia	Mecklenburg County	Mecklenburg County, Virginia v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Mecklenburg County CL19000558-00

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 70 of 304

			Underlying Plaintiff(s)		Court /
	Туре	State	(Last, First)	Case Name	Case Number
334.	Municipality	Washington	City of Seattle	City of Seattle v. Purdue	King County Superior Court
				Pharma L.P., et al.	17-2-25504-1SEA
335.	Municipality	West Virginia	Brooke County Commission;	Brooke County Commission,	Cir. Ct. Marshall Cnty.
			Hancock County Commission;	Hancock County Commission,	17-C-248H
			Harrison County Commission;	Harrison County Commission,	17-C-249H
			Lewis County Commission;	Lewis County Commission,	17-C-250H
			Marshall County Commission;	Marshall County Commission,	17-C-251H
			Ohio County Commission;	Ohio County Commission,	17-C-252H
			Tyler County Commission;	Tyler County Commission, and	17-C-253H
			Wetzel County Commission	Wetzel County Commission v.	17-C-254H
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	17-C-255H
				Consolidated before MLP	Cir. Ct. Kanawha County
				In re Opioid Litigation	19-C-9000
336.	Municipality	West Virginia	The County Commission of	The County Commission of	Cir. Ct. Marshall County
			Mason County;	Mason County; The County	
			The County Commission of	Commission of Barbour	19-C-4H
			Barbour County;	County; Mayor Chris Tatum on	19-C-5H
			Mayor Chris Tatum on behalf of	behalf of The Village of	19-C-6H
			The Village of Barboursville;	Barboursville; The County	19-C-7H
			The County Commission of Taylor	Commission of Taylor County;	19-C-8H
			County;	The County Commission of	19-C-9H
			The County Commission of	Webster County; and Mayor	
			Webster County;	Don E. McCourt, on behalf of	
			Mayor Don E. McCourt, on behalf	the Town of Addison a/k/a The	
			of the Town of Addison a/k/a The	Town of Webster Springs v.	
			Town of Webster Springs	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	
				Consolidated before MLP	Cir. Ct. Kanawha County
				In re Opioid Litigation	19-C-9000
				III le Optoid Linganon	17-0-7000

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 71 of 304

	Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
337.	Type Municipality	West Virginia	Mayor Peggy Knotts Barney, on	Mayor Peggy Knotts Barney,	Cir. Ct. Marshall Cnty.
337.	iviamerpanty	vvest v iigiiia	behalf of the City of Grafton;	on behalf of the City of	19-C-151
			Mayor Philip Bowers, on behalf of	Grafton, and Mayor Philip	19-C-152
			the City of Philippi	Bowers, on behalf of the City	3, 3, 3, 3, 3
			7 11	of Philippi v. Purdue Pharma	
				L.P., et al.	
					Cir. Ct. Kanawha County
				Consolidated before MLP	19-C-9000
				In re Opioid Litigation	
338.	Municipality	West Virginia	Monongalia County Commission;	Monongalia County	Cir. Ct. Marshall Cnty.
			Marion County Commission;	Commission; Marion County	18-C-222H
			Doddridge County Commission;	Commission; Doddridge	18-C-233H
			Randolph County Commission;	County Commission; Randolph	18-C-234H
			and Upshur County Commission	County Commission; and	18-C-235H
				Upshur County Commission v.	18-C-236H
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	
				Consolidated before MLP	Cir. Ct. Kanawha County
				In re Opioid Litigation	19-C-9000
339.	Municipality	West Virginia	Roane County Commission;	Roane County Commission;	Cir. Ct. Marshall Cnty.
	1 ,		The City of Spencer;	The City of Spencer; Jackson	19-C-96H
			Jackson County Commission;	County Commission; The City	19-C-108H
			The City of Ripley;	of Ripley; The Town of	
			The Town of Ravenswood;	Ravenswood; Wood County	
			Wood County Commission;	Commission; The City of	
			The City of Williamstown;	Williamstown; Wirt County	
			Wirt County Commission;	Commission; The Town of	
			The Town of Elizabeth;	Elizabeth; Pleasants County	
			Pleasants County Commission;	Commission; City of St.	
			City of St. Mary's; Ritchie County	Mary's; Ritchie County	
			Commission; Town of Harrisville	Commission; Town of	
			10wii 01 Harrisville	Harrisville v. Mylan Pharmaceuticals Inc., et al.	
				i naimaceuncais mc., et al.	Cir. Ct. Kanawha County
				Consolidated before MLP	19-C-9000
				In re Opioid Litigation	17-0-7000
L				III IC Opioiu Linganon	

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 72 of 304

	Туре	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
Local	Government (M.	DL)			
340.	Municipality	MDL	Bedford County	Bedford County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:20-op-45184 Master Case No. 17-md-2804
341.	Municipality	MDL	Broward County, Florida	Broward County, Florida v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:18-45332 Master Case No. 17-md-2804
342.	Municipality	MDL	Buchanan County, Virginia	Buchanan County, Virginia v. Purdue Pharma, L.P.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45253 Master Case No. 17-md-2804
343.	Municipality	MDL	Cabell County Commission; City of Huntington, West Virginia	Cabell County Commission and City of Huntington, West Virginia v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corp., et al.	N.D. Ohio. 1:17-op-45053 (Cabell) 1:17-op-45054 (Huntington) Master Case No. 17-md-2804
344.	Municipality	MDL	City of Chicago	City of Chicago v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:17-op-45169 Master Case No. 17-md-2804
345.	Municipality	MDL	City of Cleveland	City of Cleveland v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corp., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:18-op-45132 Master Case No. 17-md-2804
346.	Municipality	MDL	City of Dothan, Alabama	City of Dothan, Alabama v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45886 Master Case No. 17-md-2804
347.	Municipality	MDL	City of Henderson, Kentucky	City of Henderson, Kentucky, on behalf of themselves and all other similarly situated home rule cities v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:20-op-45062 Master Case No. 17-md-2804
348.	Municipality	MDL	City of Owensboro, Kentucky	City of Owensboro v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:21-op-45076
349.	Municipality	MDL	City of Stuart, Florida	City of Stuart, Florida v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:20-op-45124 Master Case No. 17-md-2804
350.	Municipality	MDL	Clinton County, Missouri	Clinton County, Missouri v. Allergan PLC, et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:20-op-45130 Master Case No. 17-md-2804

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 73 of 304

	Tyma	Stata	Underlying Plaintiff(s)	Cosa Nama	Court /
351.	Type Municipality	State MDL	(Last, First) County of Alameda;	Case Name County of Alameda, et al. v.	N.D. Ohio
331.	Municipanty	MDL	City of Costa Mesa;	Richard Sackler, et al. V.	1:20-op-45055
			City of Costa Mesa, City of Anaheim;	Kichard Sackier, et al.	Master Case No. 17-md-2804
			City of Anahemi, City of Santa Ana;		Waster Case No. 17-md-2804
			City of Santa Alia, City of San Clemente;		
			City of San Ciemente; City of Encinitas;		
			City of La Habra;		
			City of La Habia, City of La Mesa;		
			City of Canwesa; City of Oxnard;		
			City of Oxhard, City of Placentia;		
			The People of the State of		
			California, by and through		
			Alameda County Counsel Donna		
			Ziegler, Costa Mesa City Attorney		
			Kimberly Hall Barlow, and		
			Anaheim City Attorney Robert		
			Fabela, Santa Ana City Attorney		
			Sonia R. Carvalho, San Clemente		
			City Attorney Scott C. Smith,		
			Encinitas City Attorney Leslie		
			Devaney, La Habra City Attorney		
			Richard D. Jones, La Mesa City		
			Attorney Glenn Sabine, Oxnard		
			City Attorney Stephen Fischer,		
			and Placentia City Attorney		
			Christian Bettenhausen		
352.	Municipality	MDL	County of Summit, Ohio;	County of Summit, Ohio, et al.	N.D. Ohio
332.	Municipanty	MDL	Summit County Public Health;	v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	1:18-op-45090
			The City of Akron;	v. I uldue I lialilia L.I., et al.	Master Case No. 17-md-2804
			State of Ohio ex rel., Prosecuting		Waster Case No. 17-IIId-2804
			Attorney for Summit County,		
			Sherri Bevan Walsh;		
			Director of Law for the City of		
			Akron, Eve Belfance		
353.	Municipality	MDL	County of Monroe	County of Monroe v. Purdue	N.D. Ohio
333.	iviumcipanty	MIDL	County of Monroe	Pharma L.P., et al.	1:18-op-45158
				Filaima L.P., et al.	Master Case No. 17-md-2804
					Master Case No. 1/-ma-2804

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 74 of 304

	Туре	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
354.	Municipality	MDL	County of Multnomah	County of Multnomah v. Purdue Pharma, LP et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:18-op-45377 Master Case No. 17-md-2804
355.	Municipality	MDL	Hardin County Fiscal Court, on behalf of Hardin County; Breckinridge County Fiscal Court, on behalf of Breckinridge County; Green County Fiscal Court, on behalf of Green County; Meade County Fiscal Court, on behalf of Meade County; Ohio County Fiscal Court, on behalf of Ohio County, on behalf of themselves and all other similarly situated counties (Fiscal Courts)	Hardin County Fiscal Court, et al. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:20-op-45063 Master Case No. 17-md-2804
356.	Municipality	MDL	Pike County, Missouri	Pike County, Missouri v. Allergan PLC, et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:20-op-45131 Master Case No. 17-md-2804
357.	Municipality	MDL	The City of Pascagoula, Mississippi	The City of Pascagoula, Mississippi v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45934 Master Case No. 17-md-2804
358.	Municipality	MDL	The City of Wichita, Kansas	The City of Wichita, Kansas v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45781 Master Case No. 17-md-2804
359.	Municipality	MDL	The County Commissioner of Carroll County Maryland	The County Commissioner of Carroll County Maryland v. Actavis PLC, et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:20-op-45052 Master Case No. 17-md-2804
360.	Municipality	MDL	The County of Cuyahoga, Ohio; State of Ohio ex rel., Prosecuting Attorney of Cuyahoga County, Michael C. O'Malley	The County of Cuyahoga, Ohio, and State of Ohio ex rel., Prosecuting Attorney of Cuyahoga County, Michael C. O'Malley v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:17-op-45004 Master Case No. 17-md-2804
361.	Municipality	MDL	The County of Lake, Ohio	The County of Lake, Ohio v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:18-op-45032 Master Case No. 17-md-2804

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 75 of 304

			Underlying Plaintiff(s)		Court /
	Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Name	Case Number
362.	Municipality	MDL	The County of Trumbull, Ohio	The County of Trumbull, Ohio	N.D. Ohio
				v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	1:18-op-45079
					Master Case No. 17-md-2804
363.	Municipality	MDL	Town of Abita Springs, Louisiana	Town of Abita Springs,	N.D. Ohio
				Louisiana v. Purdue Pharma,	1:20-op-45006
				Inc. et al.	Master Case No. 17-md-2804
364.	District	MDL	Warren Montgomery, Duly	Warren Montgomery, Duly	N.D. Ohio
	Attorney		Elected 22 nd Judicial District	Elected 22 nd Judicial District	1:20-op-45007
	General /		Attorney for the Parishes of St.	Attorney for the Parishes of St.	Master Case No. 17-md-2804
	Municipality		Tammany and Washington	Tammany and Washington v.	
				Purdue Pharma, Inc. et al.	
365.	Municipality	MDL	Westmoreland County, Virginia;	Westmoreland County,	N.D. Ohio
			Richmond County, Virginia;	Virginia; Richmond County,	1:19-op-45993
			Warren County, Virginia; and all	Virginia; Warren County,	Master Case no. 17-md-2804
			other similarly situated	Virginia, et al. v. Purdue	
				Pharma LP., et. al.	
Local		deral Court Pending			
366.	Municipality	Alabama	Alexander City, Alabama	Alexander City, Alabama v.	M.D. Ala.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	3:19-cv-00630
367.	Municipality	Alabama	City of Ashland, Alabama	City of Ashland, Alabama v.	N.D. Ala.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2:19-cv-01812
368.	Municipality	Alabama	City of Brent, Alabama	City of Brent, Alabama v.	N.D. Ala.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	7:19-cv-01565
369.	Municipality	Alabama	City of Brundidge, Alabama	City of Brundidge, Alabama v.	M.D. Ala.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2:19-cv-00861
370.	Municipality	Alabama	City of Center Point, Alabama	City of Center Point, Alabama	N.D. Ala.
				v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2:19-cv-01812
371.	Municipality	Alabama	City of Chickasaw, Alabama	City of Chickasaw, Alabama v.	S.D. Ala.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	1:20-cv-00117
372.	Municipality	Alabama	City of Eufaula, Alabama	City of Eufaula, Alabama v.	M.D. Ala.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2:19-cv-00862
373.	Municipality	Alabama	City of Fairfield, Alabama	City of Fairfield, Alabama v.	N.D. Ala.
	·			Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2:20-cv-00202
374.	Municipality	Alabama	City of Geneva, Alabama	City of Geneva, Alabama v.	M.D. Ala.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	1:19-cv-00763
375.	Municipality	Alabama	City of Headland, Alabama	City of Headland, Alabama v.	M.D. Ala.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	1:19-cv-00886

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 76 of 304

	Туре	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
376.	Municipality	Alabama	City of Lanett, Alabama	City of Lanett, Alabama v.	M.D. Ala.
370.	WithinCipality	Alabama	City of Lancu, Alabama	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	3:19-cv-00885
377.	Municipality	Alabama	City of Leeds, Alabama	City of Leeds, Alabama v.	N.D. Ala.
3,7,	1viamoipunty	Tracama	City of Beeds, Filadama	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2:20-cv-00201
378.	Municipality	Alabama	City of Level Plains, Alabama	City of Level Plains, Alabama	M.D. Ala.
	1 7			v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	1:20-cv-00137
379.	Municipality	Alabama	City of Linden, Alabama	City of Linden, Alabama v.	S.D. Ala.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2:20-cv-00129
380.	Municipality	Alabama	City of Luverne, Alabama	City of Luverne, Alabama v.	M.D. Ala.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2:20-cv-00136
381.	Municipality	Alabama	City of Oxford, Alabama	City of Oxford, Alabama v.	N.D. Ala.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	1:19-cv-01401
382.	Municipality	Alabama	City of Pell City, Alabama	City of Pell City, Alabama v.	N.D. Ala.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	4:20-cv-00203
383.	Municipality	Alabama	City of Satsuma, Alabama	City of Satsuma, Alabama v.	S.D. Ala.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	1:20-cv-00127
384.	Municipality	Alabama	City of Uniontown, Alabama	City of Uniontown, Alabama v.	S.D. Ala.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	1:20-cv-00128
385.	Municipality	Alabama	Coosa County, Alabama	Coosa County, Alabama v.	M.D. Ala.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2:19-cv-00752
386.	Municipality	Alabama	Crenshaw County, Alabama	Crenshaw County, Alabama v.	M.D. Ala.
207	3.6 111	411		Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2:19-cv-00753
387.	Municipality	Alabama	Escambia County, Alabama	Escambia County, Alabama v.	S.D. Ala.
200	3.6 1.4	A1.1	C C + 111	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	1:20-cv-00134
388.	Municipality	Alabama	Geneva County, Alabama	Geneva County, Alabama v.	M.D. Ala.
200	M:1:4	Alabama	Perry County, Alabama	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	1:20-cv-00135 S.D. Ala.
389.	Municipality	Alabama	Perry County, Alabama	Perry County, Alabama v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2:20-cv-00113
390.	Municipality	Alabama	Russell County, Alabama	Russell County, Alabama v.	M.D. Ala.
390.	WithinCipality	Alaballia	Russen County, Alabama	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	3:19-cv-00646
391.	Municipality	Alabama	Town of Dauphin Island, Alabama	Town of Dauphin Island,	S.D. Ala.
391.	iviumcipanty	Alabailla	Town of Daupinii Island, Alabania	Alabama v. Purdue Pharma	1:20-cv-00135
				L.P., et al.	1.20 01-00133
392.	Municipality	Alabama	Town of Faunsdale, Alabama	Town of Faunsdale, Alabama v.	S.D. Ala.
3,2.	1.1amorpanty	1 IIuouiiiu	15 mi of i danisadio, i idodina	Purdue Pharma L.P., etal.	2:20-cv-00142

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 77 of 304

	Туре	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
393.	Municipality	Alabama	Town of Sweet Water, Alabama	Town of Sweet Water, Alabama v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	S.D. Ala. 2:20-cv-00143
394.	Municipality	Alabama	Town of Vance, Alabama	Town of Vance, Alabama v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ala. 7:19-ev-01564
395.	Municipality	California	City of Santa Ana and the People of the State of California, by and through Santa Ana City Attorney Sonia R. Carvalho	City of Santa Ana and the People of the State of California, by and through Santa Ana City Attorney Sonia R. Carvalho v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Cal. 3:19-ev-02324
396.	Municipality	California	City of Fullerton and the People of the State of California by and through Fullerton City Attorney Richard D. Jones	City of Fullerton and the People of the State of California by and through Fullerton City Attorney Richard D. Jones v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Cal. 3:19-ev-02321
397.	Municipality	California	City of Irvine and the People of the State of California by and through Irvine City Attorney Jeffrey Melching	City of Irvine and the People of the State of California by and through Irvine City Attorney Jeffrey Melching v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Cal. 3:19-ev-02323
398.	Municipality	California	City of San Clemente and the People of the State of California by and through San Clemente City Attorney Scott C. Smith	City of San Clemente and the People of the State of California, by and through San Clemente City Attorney Scott C. Smith v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Cal. 4:19-cv-02326
399.	Municipality	California	City of Costa Mesa and the People of the State of California by and through Costa Mesa City Attorney Kimberly Hall Barlow	City of Costa Mesa and the People of the State of California by and through Costa Mesa City Attorney Kimberly Hall Barlow v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Cal. 4:19-cv-02320

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 78 of 304

	Туре	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
400.	Municipality	California	City of Westminster and the People of the State of California by and through Westminster City Attorney Richard D. Jones	City of Westminster and the People of the State of California by and through Westminster City Attorney Richard D. Jones v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Cal. 3:19-cv-02325
401.	Municipality	California	County of Alameda and the People of the State of California by and through County Counsel Donna Ziegler	County of Alameda and the People of the State of California by and through County Counsel Donna Ziegler v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Cal. 3:19-ev-02307
402.	Municipality	California	The City and County of San Francisco, California and the People of the State of California, acting by and through San Francisco City Attorney Dennis J. Herrera	The City and County of San Francisco, California and the People of the State of California, acting by and through San Francisco City Attorney Dennis J. Herrera v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Cal. 3:18-ev-07591
403.	Municipality	Delaware	City of Dover, a municipal corporation of the State of Delaware; City of Seaford, a municipal corporation of the State of Delaware; Kent County, a political subdivision of the State of Delaware	City of Dover, a municipal corporation of the State of Delaware; City of Seaford, a municipal corporation of the State of Delaware; and Kent County, a political subdivision of the State of Delaware v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	D. Del. 1:19-cv-00581
404.	Municipality	Florida	City of Ocala, Florida	City of Ocala, Florida v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	M.D. Fla. 5:19-cv-00440
405.	Municipality	Hawaii	County of Hawai'i	County of Hawai'i v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	D. Haw. 1:19-cv-00581

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 79 of 304

	-	2	Underlying Plaintiff(s)	a 11	Court /
10.5	Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Name	Case Number
406.	Municipality /	Hawaii	County of Kaua'i, a political	County of Kaua'i, a political	D. Haw.
	Class Action		subdivision of the State of Hawaii,	subdivision of the State of	1:19-cv-00377
			for themselves individually, and	Hawaii, for themselves	
			on behalf of all similarly situated	individually, and on behalf of	
			persons, and on behalf of the	all similarly situated persons,	
			general public, as a class	and on behalf of the general	
				public, as a class v. CVS Health	
407.	Municipality	Louisiana	T	Corporation, et al. Town of Abita Springs,	E.D. La.
407.	Municipality	Louisiana	Town of Abita Springs, Louisiana	Louisiana v. Purdue Pharma	E.D. La. 2:19-cv-14521
				Inc., et al.	2:19-cv-14321
408.	Municipality	Louisiana	Warren Montgomery, Duly	Warren Montgomery, Duly	E.D. La.
408.	Municipanty	Louisiana	Elected 22nd Judicial District	Elected 22nd Judicial District	2:19-cv-14516
			Attorney for the Parishes of St.	Attorney for the Parishes of St.	2.19-60-14310
			Tammany and Washington	Tammany and Washington v.	
			Tammany and Washington	Purdue Pharma Inc., et al.	
409.	Municipality	Maine	City of Rockland, State of Maine	City of Rockland, State of	D. Me.
407.	withincipality	Widine	City of Rockland, State of Manie	Maine v. Purdue Pharma L.P.,	2:19-cv-00373
				et al.	2.17 64 00373
410.	Municipality	Maine	Knox County, State of Maine	Knox County, State of Maine,	D. Me.
				individually, and on behalf of	2:19-cv-00371
				all others similarly situated v.	
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	
411.	Municipality	Maryland	Howard County	Howard County, Maryland v.	D. Md.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	1:19-cv-02116
412.	Municipality	Michigan	Charter Township of Harrison	Charter Township of Harrison	E.D. Mich.
				v. The Pain Center USA,	2:19-cv-11681
				PLLC, et al.	
413.	Municipality	Michigan	City of Sterling Heights	City of Sterling Heights v. The	E.D. Mich.
				Pain Center USA, PLLC, et al.	2:19-cv-11685
414.	Municipality	Michigan	City of Warren	City of Warren v. The Pain	E.D. Mich.
				Center USA, PLLC, et al.	2:19-cv-11687
415.	Municipality	Minnesota	City of Coon Rapids, Minnesota	City of Coon Rapids,	D. Minn.
				Minnesota v. Purdue Pharma	0:19-cv-02379
				L.P., et al.	
416.	Municipality	Missouri	Camden County, Missouri	Camden County, Missouri v.	E.D. Mo.
				Williams et al.	4:19-cv-02930

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 80 of 304

	Туре	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
417.	Municipality	Missouri	St. François County	St. François County v. Dannie	E.D. Mo.
717.	WithinCipanity	Wiissouii	St. Trancois County	E. Williams, M.D., et al.	4:19-cv-01722
418.	Municipality	Nevada	Clark County	Clark County v. Purdue Pharma	D. Nev.
710.	WithinCipanty	rvevada	Clark County	L.P., et al.	2:19-cv-01616
419.	Municipality	New Hampshire	Carroll County	Carroll County v. Teva	D. N.H.
117.	iviamorpanty	1 tew Hampshire	Carron County	Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc., et	1:19-cv-01097
				al.	1.13 64 61637
420.	Municipality	New Hampshire	Coos County	Coos County v. Teva	D. N.H.
	1.1minospunsy	1 vo w 11 umponite		Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc., et	1:19-cv-01095
				al.	
421.	Municipality	New Jersey	County of Burlington, NJ	County of Burlington, NJ v.	D. N.J.
	1 ,	Ĭ	, c	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	1:19-cv-13684
422.	Municipality	New Jersey	Cumberland County	Cumberland County v. Purdue	D. N.J.
	1 ,			Pharma L.P., et al.	1:19-cv-19134
423.	Municipality	New Jersey	Township of Brick	Township of Brick v. Purdue	D. N.J.
				Pharma Înc., et al.	3:19-cv-17998
424.	Municipality	New York	City of Amsterdam	City of Amsterdam v. Purdue	N.D.N.Y.
				Pharma L.P.	1:19-cv-00896
425.	Municipality	New York	City of Auburn	City of Auburn v. Purdue	W.D.N.Y.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	6:19-cv-06490
426.	Municipality	New York	City of Ogdensburg	The City of Ogdensburg v.	N.D.N.Y
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	8:19-cv-00782
427.	Municipality /	New York	City of Poughkeepsie	The City of Poughkeepsie,	S.D.N.Y.
	Class Action			individually, and on behalf of	7:19-cv-06800
				all others similarly situated v.	
				Purdue Pharma L.P.	
428.	Municipality	New York	City of Rochester	City of Rochester v. Purdue	E.D.N.Y.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	2:18-cv-03800
429.	Municipality	New York	City of Saratoga Springs	The City of Saratoga Springs v.	N.D.N.Y.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	1:19-cv-00789
430.	Municipality	Ohio	The County of Fayette, Ohio;	The County of Fayette, Ohio;	S.D. Ohio
			The State of Ohio ex rel.	The State of Ohio ex rel.	2:19-cv-04347
			Prosecuting Attorney of Fayette	Prosecuting Attorney of Fayette	
			County, Jess Weade	County, Jess Weade v. Purdue	
				Pharma L.P., et al.	

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 81 of 304

	Туре	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
431.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Atoka County	Board of County Commissioners of Atoka County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	E.D. Okla. 6:19-cv-00279
432.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Caddo County	Board of County Commissioners of Caddo County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D. Okla. 5:19-cv-00710
433.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Cimarron County	Board of County Commissioners of Cimarron County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D. Okla. 5:19-cv-00776
434.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Coal County	Board of County Commissioners of Coal County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	E.D. Okla. 6:19-cv-00405
435.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Grady County	Board of County Commissioners of Grady County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D. Okla. 5:19-cv-00703
436.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Haskell County	Board of County Commissioners of Haskell County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	E.D. Okla. 6:19-cv-00280
437.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Jackson County	Board of County Commissioners of Jackson County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D. Okla. 5:19-cv-01108
438.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Jefferson County	Board of County Commissioners of Jefferson County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D. Okla. 5:19-ev-00721
439.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Kay County	Board of County Commissioners of Kay County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D. Okla. 5:19-cv-00884
440.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Latimer County	Board of County Commissioners of Latimer County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	E.D. Okla. 6:19-cv-00282

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 82 of 304

	T	Gr. 4	Underlying Plaintiff(s)	C. N	Court /
	Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Name	Case Number
441.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners	Board of County	E.D. Okla.
			of LeFlore County	Commissioners of LeFlore	6:19-cv-00362
				County v. Purdue Pharma L.P.,	
110	3.6 1.	0111	D 1 CC + C ::	et al.	W.D. Old
442.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners	Board of County	W.D. Okla.
			of Lincoln County	Commissioners of Lincoln	5:19-cv-01109
				County v. Purdue Pharma L.P.,	
442	M1'1'	01.1.1	D. 1 CC t- C	et al.	W.D. Old
443.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners	Board of County	W.D. Okla.
			of Logan County	Commissioners of Logan	5:19-cv-00984
				County v. Purdue Pharma L.P.,	
444	M1'1'	Oklahoma	D. 1 CC t- C	et al.	E.D. Okla.
444.	Municipality	Okianoma	Board of County Commissioners	Board of County	
			of Love County	Commissioners of Love County	6:19-cv-00320
115	3.6 1.	0111	D 1 CC + C ::	v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D. Old
445.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners	Board of County	W.D. Okla.
			of Major County	Commissioners of Major	5:19-cv-00879
				County v. Purdue Pharma L.P.,	
446.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners	et al. Board of County	E.D. Okla.
440.	Municipanty	Okianoma		Commissioners of Okfuskee	6:19-cv-00300
			of Okfuskee County		6:19-cv-00300
				County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	
447.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners	Board of County	W.D. Okla.
44/.	Municipanty	Okianoma	of Oklahoma County	Commissioners of Oklahoma	W.D. Okia. 5:19-cv-00926
			of Oktanoma County		3:19-cv-00920
				County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	
448.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners	Board of County	W.D. Okla.
440.	Municipanty	Okianoma	of Pottawatomie County	Commissioners of	5:19-cv-00880
			of I offawatofffic County	Pottawatomie County v. Purdue	3.19-64-00880
				Pharma L.P., et al.	
449.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners	Board of County	W.D. Okla.
44 7.	iviumcipanty	OKIGHOIHA	of Texas County	Commissioners of Texas	W.D. Okia. 5:19-cv-00987
			of Texas County	County v. Purdue Pharma L.P.,	J.17-CV-00707
				et al.	
<u> </u>	1			Ct ai.	

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 83 of 304

	Туре	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
450.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Woods County	Board of County Commissioners of Woods County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D. Okla. 5:19-cv-00878
451.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Woodward County	Board of County Commissioners of Woodward County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D. Okla. 5:19-ev-01110
452.	Municipality	Oklahoma	City of Anadarko	City of Anadarko v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D. Okla. 5:19-ev-00815
453.	Municipality	Oklahoma	City of Bethany	City of Bethany v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D. Okla. 5:19-cv-00804
454.	Municipality	Oklahoma	City of Fort Cobb	City of Fort Cobb v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D. Okla. 5:19-cv-00816
455.	Municipality	Oklahoma	City of Jenks	City of Jenks v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Okla. 4:19-cv-00380
456.	Municipality	Oklahoma	City of Seminole	City of Seminole v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	E.D. Okla. 6:19-cv-00291
457.	Municipality	Oklahoma	City of Shawnee	City of Shawnee v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D. Okla. 5:19-ev-00711
458.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Adams County	Adams County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	E.D. Pa. 2:19-cv-04438
459.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	City of Allentown, Pennsylvania	City of Allentown, Pennsylvania v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corp., et al.	E.D. Pa. 5:19-ev-03884
460.	Municipality	Puerto Rico	Municipality of Adjuntas, Puerto Rico	Municipality of Adjuntas, Puerto Rico v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	D. P.R. 3:20-cv-01291
461.	Municipality	Puerto Rico	Municipality of Barceloneta, Puerto Rico	Municipality of Barceloneta Puerto Rico v. Purdue Pharma, L.P. et al	D.P.R. 3:20-cv-01488
462.	Municipality	Puerto Rico	Municipality of Cidra, Puerto Rico	Municipality of Cidra, Puerto Rico v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	D. P.R. 3:10-cv-01290
463.	Municipality	Texas	County of Angelina	County of Angelina v. Allergan PLC, et al.	S.D. Tex. 4:19-cv-03590

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 84 of 304

	Туре	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
464.	Municipality	Texas	County of Burleson	County of Burleson v. Purdue	S.D. Tex.
104.	Withinesparity	TCAUS	County of Burieson	Pharma L.P., et al.	4:19-cv-03845
465.	Municipality	Texas	County of Duval	County of Duval v. Purdue	S.D. Tex.
103.	Withinespainty	Texus	County of Buvui	Pharma L.P., et al.	4:19-cv-02504
466.	Municipality	Texas	County of Freestone	County of Freestone v. Purdue	S.D. Tex.
	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i		county of freeze and	Pharma L.P., et al.	4:19-cv-03983
467.	Municipality	Texas	Ellis County	Ellis County v. Purdue Pharma	S.D Tex.
				L.P., et al.	4:19-cv-02256
468.	Municipality	Texas	County of Jim Hogg	County of Jim Hogg v. Purdue	S.D. Tex.
			1	Pharma L.P., et al.	4:19-cv-02816
469.	Municipality	Texas	County of Kleberg	County of Kleberg v. Purdue	S.D. Tex.
	1 ,			Pharma L.P., et al.	4:19-cv-02815
470.	Municipality	Texas	Rockwall County	Rockwall County v. Purdue	S.D. Tex.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	4:19-cv-02181
471.	Municipality	Texas	County of Williamson	County of Williamson v.	S.D. Tex.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	4:19-cv-03299
472.	Municipality	Virginia	Amherst County, Virginia	Amherst County, Virginia v.	W.D. Va.
				Mallinckrodt PLC, et al.	6:19-cv-00077
473.	Municipality	Virginia	Botetourt County, Virginia	Botetourt County, Virginia v.	W.D. Va.
				Mallinckrodt PLC, et al.	7:19-cv-00759
474.	Municipality	Virginia	Charlotte County	Charlotte County, Virginia v.	W.D. Va.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	4:19-cv-00029
475.	Municipality	Virginia	City of Emporia	City of Emporia, Virginia v.	E.D. Va.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	3:19-cv-00513
476.	Municipality	Virginia	City of Fredericksburg	City of Fredericksburg,	E.D. Va.
				Virginia v. Purdue Pharma	3:19-cv-00457
				L.P., et al.	
477.	Municipality	Virginia	City of Portsmouth	City of Portsmouth v.	E.D. Va.
				McKesson Corporation, et al.	2:19-cv-00331
478.	Municipality	Virginia	City of Radford	City of Radford v. Purdue	W.D. Va.
1=0			G! 2333 1	Pharma L.P., et al.	7:19-cv-00525
479.	Municipality	Virginia	City of Waynesboro	The City of Waynesboro,	W.D. Va.
				Virginia v. Purdue Pharma	5:19-cv-00058
400	3.6 1.4	17		L.P., et al.	WDW
480.	Municipality	Virginia	Culpeper County	Culpeper County, Virginia v.	W.D. Va.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	3:19-cv-00037

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 85 of 304

	Туре	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
481.	Municipality	Virginia	Cumberland County	Cumberland County, Virginia	W.D. Va.
101.	Withinespassey	, iigiiiu	Cambonana County	v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	6:19-cv-00054
482.	Municipality	Virginia	Greensville County	Greensville County, Virginia v.	E.D. Va.
1021				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	3:19-cv-00459
483.	Municipality	Virginia	Loudoun County	Loudoun County, Virginia v.	E.D. Va.
			·	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	1:19-cv-00778
484.	Municipality	Virginia	Patrick County	Patrick County, Virginia v.	W.D. Va.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	4:19-cv-00032
485.	Municipality	Virginia	Prince George County	Prince George County, Virginia	E.D. Va.
				v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	3:19-cv-00458
486.	Municipality	Virginia	Shenandoah County	Shenandoah County, Virginia	W.D. Va.
				v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	5:19-cv-00056
487.	Municipality	Virginia	Wise County Board of Supervisors	Wise County Board of	W.D. Va.
				Supervisors v.	2:19-cv-00039
				AmerisourceBergen Drug	
				Corporation, et al.	
	l (Federal Court l	Pending Transfer to			
488.	Tribal	Oklahoma	Citizen Potawatomi Nation	Citizen Potawatomi Nation v.	W.D. Okla.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	5:19-cv-00955
489.	Tribal	Oklahoma	Delaware Nation	Delaware Nation v. Purdue	W.D. Okla.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	5:19-cv-00956
490.	Tribal	Oklahoma	Pawnee Nation of Oklahoma	Pawnee Nation of Oklahoma v.	N.D. Okla.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	4:19-cv-00556
491.	Tribal	Oklahoma	Sac & Fox Nation	Sac & Fox Nation v. Purdue	W.D. Okla.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	5:19-cv-00957
492.	Tribal	Oklahoma	The Osage Nation	The Osage Nation v. Purdue	N.D. Okla.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	4:19-cv-00485
493.	Tribal	Oklahoma	Thlopthlocco Tribal Town	Thlopthlocco Tribal Town v.	N.D. Okla.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	4:19-cv-00557
	Government (Fed	,			
494.	Municipality	New York	County of Broome	County of Broome v. Purdue	S.D.N.Y.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	7:24-cv-03191
495.	Municipality	New York	County of Columbia	County of Columbia v. Purdue	S.D.N.Y.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	7:24-cv-03293
496.	Municipality	New York	County of Dutchess	County of Dutchess v. Purdue	S.D.N.Y.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	7:24-cv-03106

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 86 of 304

	Туре	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
497.	Municipality	New York	County of Erie	County of Erie v. Purdue	S.D.N.Y.
497.	Municipanty	New Tolk	County of Effe	Pharma L.P., et al.	7:24-cv-03008
498.	Municipality	New York	The County of Fulton	The County of Fulton v. Purdue	S.D.N.Y.
470.	Municipanty	New Tork	The County of Fution	Pharma L.P., et al.	7:24-cv-03257
499.	Municipality	New York	The County of Greene	The County of Greene v.	S.D.N.Y.
433.	Municipanty	New Tork	The County of Greene	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	7:24-cv-03126
500.	Municipality	New York	County of Herkimer	County of Herkimer v. Purdue	S.D.N.Y.
300.	Municipanty	New Tolk	County of Herkinier	Pharma L.P., et al.	7:24-cv-03138
501.	Municipality	New York	The County of Lewis	The County of Lewis v. Purdue	S.D.N.Y.
301.	Municipanty	New York	The County of Lewis	Pharma L.P., et al.	7:24-cv-03140
502	M:-:1:4	N Vl-	The Country of Moure		S.D.N.Y.
502.	Municipality	New York	The County of Monroe	The County of Monroe v.	
502	3.6 1.4	NT N7 1	C + CM +	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	7:24-cv-02962
503.	Municipality	New York	County of Montgomery	County of Montgomery v.	S.D.N.Y.
504	3.5	27 77 1	TI G	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	7:24-cv-03305
504.	Municipality	New York	The County of Ontario	The County of Ontario v.	S.D.N.Y.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	7:24-cv-03004
505.	Municipality	New York	County of Orange	County of Orange v. Purdue	S.D.N.Y.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	7:24-cv-03076
506.	Municipality	New York	County of Oswego	County of Oswego v. Purdue	S.D.N.Y.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	7:24-cv-03112
507.	Municipality	New York	County of St. Lawrence	County of St. Lawrence v.	S.D.N.Y.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	7:24-cv-03193
508.	Municipality	New York	County of Schenectady	County of Schenectady v.	S.D.N.Y.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	7:24-cv-02986
509.	Municipality	New York	County of Seneca	County of Seneca v. Purdue	S.D.N.Y.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	7:24-cv-03077
510.	Municipality	New York	County of Sullivan	County of Sullivan v. Purdue	S.D.N.Y.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	7:24-cv-03083
511.	Municipality	New York	The County of Ulster	The County of Ulster v. Purdue	S.D.N.Y.
				Pharma L.P., et al.	7:24-cv-03259
512.	Municipality	New York	The County of Washington	The County of Washington v.	S.D.N.Y.
	1 ,			Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	7:24-cv-03170
513.	Municipality	New York	The County of Wyoming	The County of Wyoming v.	S.D.N.Y.
				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	7:24-cv-03088

Appendix III

Chart of Related Party Actions

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 88 of 304

				Underlying				
			-	Plaintiff(s)				
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number		
	State Actions							
1.	The Purdue Frederick	AG	Alabama	The State of Alabama	The State of Alabama v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Montgomery Cnty.		
	Company Inc.				ruidue Fhaima L.F., et al.	03-CV-2019-901174		
2.	The Purdue Frederick	AG	Alaska	State of Alaska	State of Alaska v. Purdue	Super. Ct. AK, 3rd Jud.		
	Company				Pharma L.P., et al.	Dist. 3AN-17-09966		
3.	The Purdue Frederick	AG	Arizona	State of Arizona, ex rel.	State of Arizona, ex rel.	Super. Ct. Pima Cnty.		
	Company Inc. (d/b/a The			Mark Brnovich,	Mark Brnovich, Attorney General v. Purdue Pharma	C20072471		
	Purdue Frederick Company); Richard Sackler;			Attorney General	L.P., et al.			
	Theresa Sackler;							
	Kathe Sackler;							
	Jonathan Sackler;							
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler;							
	David Sackler;							
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt							
4.	Richard Sackler;	AG	Arizona	State of Arizona, ex rel.	State of Arizona, ex rel.	U.S. Supreme Court		
	Theresa Sackler;			Mark Brnovich,	Mark Brnovich, Attorney	No. 22O151		
	Kathe Sackler; Jonathan Sackler;			Attorney General	General v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.			
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;				L.F., et al.			
	Beverly Sackler;							
	David Sackler;							
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;							
	The Purdue Frederick							
	Company Inc. (d/b/a The Purdue Frederick Company);							
	Purdue Holdings L.P.;							
	PLP Associates Holdings L.P.;							
	BR Holdings Associates L.P.;							
	Rosebay Medical Company							
	L.P.;							
	Beacon Company							

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 89 of 304

				Underlying		
	Related Parties	Action Tyme	State	Plaintiff(s)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
5.	The Purdue Frederick	Action Type AG	Arkansas	(Last, First) State of Arkansas, ex	State of Arkansas, ex rel.	Cir. Ct. Pulaski Cnty. 60CV-18-2018
	Company Inc.			rel. Leslie Rutledge	Leslie Rutledge v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	
6.	Dr. Richard S. Sackler The Purdue Frederick	AG	California	The People of the State of California	The People of the State of California v. Purdue Pharma	Los Angeles Cnty. Super. Ct.
	Company Inc.				L.P., et al.	19STCV19045
7.	MNP Consulting Limited; Richard Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Russell Gasdia; Mark Timney; Craig Landau; James David Haddox	AG	Colorado	The State of Colorado ex rel. Philip J. Weiser, Attorney General	The State of Colorado ex rel. Philip J. Weiser, Attorney General v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Dist. Ct. Denver 2018CV33300
8.	Richard Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Frank Peter Boer; Paulo Costa; Cecil Pickett; Ralph Snyderman; Judy Lewent; John Stewart; Mark Timney Purdue Holdings L.P.; PLP Associates Holdings L.P.; BR Holdings Associates L.P.; Rosebay Medical Company, L.P.;	AG	Connecticut	State of Connecticut	State of Connecticut v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Hartford State Super. Ct. NO. X07 HHD-CV-19- 6105325-S

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 90 of 304

				Underlying		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	Beacon Company	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
9.	Richard S. Sackler, M.D.	AG	DC	District of Columbia	District of Columbia v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. District of Columbia 2019 CA 003680 B
10.	Richard Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Theresa Sackler; David Sackler	AG	Delaware	State of Delaware, ex rel. Kathleen Jennings	State of Delaware, ex rel. Kathleen Jennings v. Richard Sackler, et al.	Super. Ct. of Delaware C.A. No. N19C-09-062 MMJ (CCLD)
11.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	AG	Delaware	State of Delaware, ex rel. Kathy Jennings	State of Delaware, ex rel. Kathy Jennings v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. of Delaware C.A. No. N18C-01-223 MMJ (CCLD)
12.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	AG	Florida	State of Florida, Office of the Attorney General, Department of Legal Affairs	State of Florida, Office of the Attorney General, Department of Legal Affairs v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Pasco Cnty. Case No. 2018-CA- 001438
13.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	AG	Georgia	State of Georgia	State of Georgia v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. Gwinnett Cnty. 19-A-00060-4
14.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	AG	Guam	Territory of Guam	Territory of Guam v. Purdue Pharma, L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. Guam, Hagatna CV1020-19
15.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Jonathan Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Theresa Sackler	AG	Hawaii	State of Hawaii, ex rel. Clare E. Connors, Attorney General	State of Hawaii, ex rel. Clare E. Connors, Attorney General v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	1st Cir. Ct. of Hawaii 09-1-0862-06 JHA

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 91 of 304

				Underlying		
			-	Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
16.	Richard Sackler;	AG	Idaho	State of Idaho, Through	State of Idaho, Through	Ada County District Court
	Theresa Sackler;			Attorney General	Attorney General Lawrence	CV01-19-10061
	Kathe Sackler;			Lawrence G. Wasden	G. Wasden v. Purdue Pharma	
	Jonathan Sackler;				L.P., et al.	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	David Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt					
17.	Richard Sackler;	AG	Illinois	The People of the State	The People of the State of	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty.
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;			of Illinois	Illinois v. Purdue Pharma	2019-CH-04406
	Kathe Sackler;				L.P., et al.	
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Jonathan Sackler;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David Sackler					
18.	The Purdue Frederick	AG	Indiana	State of Indiana	State of Indiana v. Purdue	Marion Cir. / Super. Ct.,
	Company Inc.				Pharma L.P., et al.	Civ. Div. 10
						49D10-1811-PL-045447
19.	Richard Sackler;	AG	Indiana	State of Indiana	State of Indiana v. Richard	Marion Cir. / Super. Ct.,
	Theresa Sackler;				Sackler, et. al.	Civ. Div. 13
	Kathe Sackler;					49D13-1905-PL-020498
	Jonathan Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	David Sackler;					
•	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt		-	~ ~ ~ ==		2 1 2 2 2
20.	The Purdue Frederick	AG	Iowa	State of Iowa, Thomas	State of Iowa, Thomas J.	Polk Cnty. Dist. Ct.
	Company Inc.;			J. Miller, Attorney	Miller, Attorney General of	EQCE 084514
	The P.F. Laboratories Inc.;			General of Iowa	Iowa v. Purdue Pharma L.P.,	
21	Richard S. Sackler	1.0	17	Ct. CT.	et al.	
21.	The Purdue Frederick	AG	Kansas	State of Kansas, ex rel.	State of Kansas, ex rel.	Shawnee Cnty. Dist. Ct.
	Company Inc.			Derek Schmidt,	Derek Schmidt, Attorney	2019-cv-000369
				Attorney General	General v. Purdue Pharma	
					L.P., et al.	

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 92 of 304

	D.I.A. I D. A.	A street Trans	C4-4-	Underlying Plaintiff(s)	Con Contin	Constitution Name Land
22.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Action Type AG	State Louisiana	(Last, First) State of LA f/k/a Louisiana Dept. of Health	State of LA f/k/a Louisiana Dept. of Health v. Purdue Pharma L.P, et al.	Court/Case Number 19th Judicial District Court, Parish of East Baton Rouge Suit No. 661638
23.	Richard Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe Sackler	AG	Maine	State of Maine	State of Maine v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Kennebec County Superior Court CV-19-112
24.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler	AG	Maryland	Consumer Protection Division Office of the Attorney General (MD)	Consumer Protection Division Office of the Attorney General v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Consumer Protection Division of the Office of the Attorney General (Md.) / Office of Administrative Hearings CPD Case No.: 311366 OAH Case No. 1923474
25.	Richard Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Peter Boer; Paulo Costa; Cecil Pickett; Ralph Synderman; Judith Lewent; Craig Landau; John Stewart; Mark Timney; Russell J. Gasdia	AG	Massachusetts	Commonwealth of Massachusetts	Commonwealth of Massachusetts v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. C.A. No. 1884-cv-01808 (BLS2)

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 93 of 304

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
26.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler;	AG	Minnesota	State of Minnesota by its Attorney General, Keith Ellison	State of Minnesota by its Attorney General, Keith Ellison v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	4th Jud. Dist. Ct., Hennepin Cnty. Court File No. 27-CV-18- 10788
	Theresa Sackler					
27.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	AG	Mississippi	State of Mississippi	State of Mississippi v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Hinds Cnty. 25CH1:15-cv-001814
28.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	AG	Missouri	State of Missouri, ex rel. Eric Schmitt, in his official capacity as Missouri Attorney General	State of Missouri, ex rel. Eric Schmitt, in his official capacity as Missouri Attorney General v. Purdue Pharma L.P.	Cir. Ct. St. Louis City 1722-CC10626
29.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	AG	Montana	State of Montana	State of Montana v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Lewis & Clark Cty. ADV-2017-949
30.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Purdue Holdings L.P.; PLP Associates Holdings L.P.; Rosebay Medical Company L.P.; Beacon Company; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; David A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler	AG	Nevada	State of Nevada	State of Nevada v. McKesson Corp, et al.	Dist. Ct. Clark County A-19-796755-B
31.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	AG	New Hampshire	State of New Hampshire	State of New Hampshire v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Merrimack Super. Ct. 217-2017-CV-00402

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 94 of 304

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
32.	Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler	AG	New Hampshire	State of New Hampshire	State of New Hampshire v. Richard S. Sackler, et al.	Merrimack Super. Ct. 217-2019-CV-00617
33.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	AG	New Jersey	Gurbir S. Grewal, Attorney General and Paul Rodriguez, Acting Director of the New Jersey Division of Consumer Affairs	Gurbir S. Grewal, et al. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. NJ Chancery. Div., Essex Cty. ESX-C-245-17
34.	Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Kathe Sackler; Beverly Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler	AG	New Jersey	Gurbir S. Grewal, Attorney General and Paul Rodriguez, Acting Director of the New Jersey Division of Consumer Affairs	Gurbir S. Grewal, et al. v. Richard S. Sackler, et al.	Super. Ct. NJ Chancery. Div., Essex Cty. ESX-C-115-19
35.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	AG	New Mexico	State of New Mexico, ex rel., Hector Balderas, Attorney General	State of New Mexico, ex rel., Hector Balderas, Attorney General v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Santa Fe Dist. D-101-CV-201702541
36.	Richard S. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Jonathan D. Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Theresa Sackler	AG	New Mexico	State of New Mexico, ex rel., Hector Balderas, Attorney General	State of New Mexico, ex rel., Hector Balderas, Attorney General v. Richard S. Sackler, et al.	Santa Fe Dist. D-101-CV-201902399
37.	Mortimer D.A. Sackler	AG	New York	State of Rhode Island, by and through Peter Neronha, Attorney General	State of Rhode Island, by and through Peter Neronha, Attorney General v. Mortimer D.A. Sackler	Sup. Ct. New York Cnty. 154806-2019

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 95 of 304

	Dalatad Danting	Action Type	Stata	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Lost First)	Casa Cantian	Court/Case Number
38.	Related Parties The Purdue Frederick Company Inc; The P.F. Laboratories Inc.; Purdue Holdings L.P.; Rosebay Medical Company L.P.; The Beacon Company; PLP Associates Holdings L.P.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler	Action Type AG	State New York	(Last, First) The People of the State of New York, by Letitia James, Attorney General of the State of New York	Case Caption The People of the State of New York, by Letitia James, Attorney General of the State of New York v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400016-2018
39.	Purdue Pharma Technologies Inc.; The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	AG	North Carolina	State of North Carolina, ex rel. Joshua H. Stein, Attorney General	State of North Carolina, ex rel. Joshua H. Stein, Attorney General v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. Wake Cnty. 18-cv-6051
40.	Richard Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David Sackler	AG	North Carolina	State of North Carolina, ex rel. Joshua H. Stein, Attorney General	State of North Carolina, ex rel. Joshua H. Stein, Attorney General v. Richard Sackler, et al.	Super. Ct. Wake Cnty. 19-cv-12596
41.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	AG	North Dakota	State of North Dakota, ex rel. Wayne Stenehjem, Attorney General	State of North Dakota, ex rel. Wayne Stenehjem, Attorney General v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Dist. Ct. Burleigh Cnty. 08-2018-CV-01300
42.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	AG	Ohio	State of Ohio, ex rel. David Yost, Ohio Attorney General	State of Ohio, ex rel. David Yost, Ohio Attorney General v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Ross Cnty 17CI000261

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 96 of 304

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
43.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Action Type AG	Oregon	State of Oregon, ex rel. Ellen F. Rosenblum, Attorney General for the State of Oregon	State of Oregon, ex rel. Ellen F. Rosenblum, Attorney General for the State of Oregon v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Multnomah Cnty. 18CV40526
44.	Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; David A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler;	AG	Oregon	State of Oregon, ex rel. Ellen F. Rosenblum, Attorney General for the State of Oregon	State of Oregon, ex rel. Ellen F. Rosenblum, Attorney General for the State of Oregon v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Multnomah Cnty. 19CV22185
45.	Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; David A Sackler Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler	AG	Oregon	State of Oregon, ex rel. Ellen F. Rosenblum, Attorney General for the State of Oregon	State of Oregon, ex rel. Ellen F. Rosenblum, Attorney General for the State of Oregon v. Richard S. Sackler, et al.	Cir Ct. Multnomah Cnty. 19CV44161
46.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	AG	Pennsylvania	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania by Attorney General Josh Shapiro	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania by Attorney General Josh Shapiro v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Commonwealth Ct. of PA 257-md-19
47.	Richard Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt	AG	Pennsylvania	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania by Attorney General Josh Shapiro	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania by Attorney General Josh Shapiro v. Richard Sackler, et al.	Commonwealth Ct. of PA 508-md-19
48.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	AG	Puerto Rico	The Commonwealth of Puerto Rico	The Commonwealth of Puerto Rico v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. San Juan SJ2018CV01659

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 97 of 304

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
49.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Richard S. Sackler	AG	Rhode Island	State of Rhode Island, by and through Peter F. Neronha, Attorney General	State of Rhode Island, by and through Peter F. Neronha, Attorney General v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Providence/Bristol County Super. Ct. PC-18-4555
50.	Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; David A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler	AG	Rhode Island	State of Rhode Island, by and through Peter F. Neronha, Attorney General	State of Rhode Island, by and through Peter F. Neronha, Attorney General v. Richard S. Sackler, et al.	Providence/Bristol County Super. Ct. PC-19-9399
51.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	AG	South Carolina	State of South Carolina, ex rel. Alan Wilson Attorney General	State of South Carolina, ex rel. Alan Wilson Attorney General v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Richland Cnty. 2017CP4004872
52.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	AG	South Dakota	State of South Dakota, ex rel. Jason Ravnsborg, South Dakota Attorney General	State of South Dakota, ex rel. Jason Ravnsborg, South Dakota Attorney General v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Hughes Cnty. 32CIV18-000065
53.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	AG	Texas	State of Texas	State of Texas v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77003
54.	The Purdue Frederick Company; Richard Sackler, M.D.; Kathe Sackler, M.D.	AG	Utah	Utah Division of Consumer Protection	In the Matter of Purdue Pharma L.P. et al.	Division of Consumer Protection of the Department of Commerce of the State of Utah DCP Case No. 107102
55.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	AG	Vermont	State of Vermont	State of Vermont v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. Chittenden Civ. Div. 757-9-18-CRCV

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 98 of 304

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
56.	Richard S. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Jonathan D. Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Theresa Sackler	AG AG	Vermont	State of Vermont	State of Vermont v. Richard S. Sackler, et al.	Super. Ct. Chittenden Civ. Div. 469-5-19-CNCV
57.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler	AG	Virginia	Commonwealth of Virginia, ex rel. Mark R. Herring, Attorney General	Commonwealth of Virginia, ex rel. Mark R. Herring, Attorney General v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Tazewell Cnty. CL18-1076
58.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	AG	Washington	State of Washington	State of Washington v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. King Cty. 17-2-25505-0 SEA
59.	Richard Sackler, M.D.	AG	West Virginia	State of West Virginia, ex rel. Patrick Morrisey, Attorney General	State of West Virginia, ex rel. Patrick Morrisey, Attorney General v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Boone Cnty. 19-C-62
60.	Richard S. Sackler	AG	Wisconsin	State of Wisconsin	State of Wisconsin v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Dane Cnty. 2019CX000009
61.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	AG	Wyoming	State of Wyoming, ex rel. Bridget Hill, Attorney General	State of Wyoming, ex rel. Bridget Hill, Attorney General v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	1st Jud. Ct. Laramie Cnty. 190-576
	pal (MDL)	1			1	
62.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Tribal	MDL	Puyallup Tribe of Indians	Puyallup Tribe of Indians v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45660 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
63.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Tribal	MDL	The Blackfeet Tribe of the Blackfeet Indian Reservation	The Blackfeet Tribe of the Blackfeet Indian Reservation v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corp., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:18-op-45749 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 99 of 304

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
64.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Tribal	MDL	The Muscogee (Creek) Nation	The Muscogee (Creek) Nation v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:18-op-45459 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
Trib	oal (State Court)	1	•	-		
65.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Tribal	Oklahoma	Apache Tribe of Oklahoma	Apache Tribe of Oklahoma v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	D. Ct. Caddo Cnty. CJ-2019-69
66.	Richard S. Sackler Jonathan D. Sackler Mortimer D.A. Sackler Kathe A. Sackler Ilene Sackler Leftcourt Beverly Sackler Theresa Sackler David A. Sackler	Tribal	New York	Lac La Ronge Indian Band	Lac La Ronge Indian Band v. Richard S. Sackler, et al.	Sup. Ct. Net York Cnty. 160667-2022
	al Government (MDL)	1	Line	T = 10 1 0		1
67.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Rhodes Technologies, Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals, Inc.; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Stuart D. Baker	Municipality	MDL	Bedford County	Bedford County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:20-op-45184 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
68.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	MDL	Broward County, Florida	Broward County, Florida v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:18-op-45332 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 100 of 304

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
69.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	MDL	Buchanan County, Virginia	Buchanan County, Virginia v. Purdue Pharma, L.P.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45253 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
70.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Rhodes Technologies, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler, M.D.; Kathe A. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler	Municipality	MDL	Cabell County Commission; City of Huntington, West Virginia	Cabell County Commission and City of Huntington, West Virginia v. Purdue Pharma, et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:17-op-45053 (Cabell) N.D. Ohio 1:17-op-45054 (Huntington) Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
71.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	MDL	City of Chicago	City of Chicago v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:17-op-45169 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
72.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	MDL	City of Cleveland	City of Cleveland v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corp., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:18-op-45132 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
73.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	MDL	City of Dothan, Alabama	City of Dothan, Alabama v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45886 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 101 of 304

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
74.	Related Parties Richard Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Jonathan Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Theresa Sackler; The Purdue Frederick Company Inc;	Action Type Municipality	MDL State	(Last, First) City of Henderson, Kentucky	Case Caption City of Henderson, Kentucky, on behalf of themselves and all other similarly situated home rule cities v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:20-op-45062 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
75.	Rhodes Technologies Inc. The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	MDL	The City of Pascagoula, Mississippi	The City of Pascagoula, Mississippi v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45934 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
76.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	MDL	City of Stuart, Florida	City of Stuart, Florida v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:20-op-45124 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
77.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	MDL	The City of Wichita, Kansas	The City of Wichita, Kansas v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45781 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
78.	Beverly Sackler; David A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Jonathan D. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Theresa Sackler	Municipality	MDL	Clinton County, Missouri	Clinton County, Missouri v. Allergan PLC, et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:20-op-45130 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
79.	Jonathan Sackler; Richard Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler;	Municipality	MDL	County of Alameda; City of Costa Mesa; City of Anaheim; City of Santa Ana; City of San Clemente; City of Encinitas; City of La Habra;	County of Alameda, et al. v. Richard Sackler, et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:20-op-45055 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 102 of 304

				Underlying		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	David Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of the Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	Action Type	State	City of La Mesa; City of Oxnard; City of Placentia; The People of the State of California, by and through Alameda County Counsel Donna Ziegler, Costa Mesa City Attorney Kimberly Hall Barlow, and Anaheim City Attorney Robert Fabela, Santa Ana City Attorney Sonia R. Carvalho, San Clemente City Attorney Scott C. Smith, Encinitas City Attorney Leslie Devaney, La Habra City Attorney Richard D. Jones, La Mesa City Attorney Glenn Sabine, Oxnard City Attorney Stephen Fischer, and Placentia City Attorney Christian Bettenhausen	Cast Caption	Courty Case I values
80.	Richard Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt	Municipality	MDL	The County Commissioner of Carroll County Maryland	The County Commissioner of Carroll County Maryland v. Actavis PLC, et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:20-op-45052 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 103 of 304

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
81.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	MDL	The County of Cuyahoga, Ohio; State of Ohio ex rel., Prosecuting Attorney of Cuyahoga County, Michael C. O'Malley	The County of Cuyahoga, Ohio, and State of Ohio ex rel., Prosecuting Attorney of Cuyahoga County, Michael C. O'Malley v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:18-op-45332 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
82.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; The P.F. Laboratories Inc.; Stuart D. Baker	Municipality	MDL	The County of Lake, Ohio	The County of Lake, Ohio v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:18-op-45032 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
83.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	MDL	County of Monroe	County of Monroe v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:18-op-45158 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 104 of 304

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
84.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Peter Boer; Paulo Costa; Cecil Pickett; Ralph Snyderman; Judith Lewent; Craig Landau; John Stewart; Mark Timney; Russell Gasdia	Municipality	MDL	County of Multnomah	County of Multnomah v. Purdue Pharma, LP et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:18-op-45377 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
85.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	MDL	County of Summit, Ohio; Summit County Public Health; The City of Akron; State of Ohio ex rel., Prosecuting Attorney for Summit County, Sherri Bevan Walsh and the Director of Law for the City of Akron, Eve Belfance	County of Summit, Ohio, et al. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:18-op-45090 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 105 of 304

				Underlying		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
86.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; The P.F. Laboratories Inc.; Stuart D. Baker	Municipality	MDL	The County of Trumbull, Ohio	The County of Trumbull, Ohio v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:18-op-45079 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
87.	Richard Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Jonathan Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Theresa Sackler; The Purdue Frederick Company Inc; Rhodes Technologies Inc.	Municipality	MDL	Hardin County Fiscal Court, on behalf of Hardin County; Breckinridge County Fiscal Court, on behalf of Breckinridge County; Green County Fiscal Court, on behalf of Green County; Meade County Fiscal Court, on behalf of Meade County; Ohio County Fiscal Court, on behalf of Ohio County, on behalf of themselves and all other similarly situated counties (Fiscal Courts)	Hardin County Fiscal Court, et al. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:20-op-45063 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 106 of 304

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
88.	Beverly Sackler; David A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Jonathan D. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Theresa Sackler	Municipality	MDL	Pike County, Missouri	Pike County, Missouri v. Allergan PLC, et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:20-op-45131 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
89.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	MDL	Town of Abita Springs, Louisiana	Town of Abita Springs, Louisiana v. Purdue Pharma, Inc. et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:20-op-45006 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
90.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	District Attorney General / Municipality	MDL	Warren Montgomery, Duly Elected 22 nd Judicial District Attorney for the Parishes of St. Tammany and Washington	Warren Montgomery, Duly Elected 22 nd Judicial District Attorney for the Parishes of St. Tammany and Washington v. Purdue Pharma, Inc. et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:20-op-45007 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
91.	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.	Municipality	MDL	Westmoreland County, Virginia; Richmond County, Virginia; Warren County, Virginia; and all other similarly situated	Westmoreland County, Virginia; Richmond County, Virginia; Warren County, Virginia, et al. v. Purdue Pharma LP., et. al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45993 Master Case no. 17-md- 2804
Loca	al Government (Federal Court F	Pending Transfer	to MDL)			
92.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Alabama	Alexander City, Alabama	Alexander City, Alabama v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	M.D. Ala. 3:19-cv-00630
93.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Alabama	City of Ashland, Alabama	City of Ashland, Alabama v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ala. 2:19-cv-01812
94.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Alabama	City of Brent, Alabama	City of Brent, Alabama v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ala. 7:19-cv-01565
95.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Alabama	City of Brundidge, Alabama	City of Brundidge, Alabama v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	M.D. Ala. 2:19-cv-00861
96.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Alabama	City of Center Point, Alabama	City of Center Point, Alabama v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ala. 2:19-cv-01812

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 107 of 304

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
97.	The Purdue Frederick	Municipality	Alabama	City of Chickasaw,	City of Chickasaw, Alabama	S.D. Ala.
,,,	Company Inc.	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	111100011111	Alabama	v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	1:20-cv-00117
98.	The Purdue Frederick	Municipality	Alabama	City of Eufaula,	City of Eufaula, Alabama v.	M.D. Ala.
	Company Inc.			Alabama	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2:19-cv-00862
99.	The Purdue Frederick	Municipality	Alabama	City of Fairfield,	City of Fairfield, Alabama v.	N.D. Ala.
	Company Inc.			Alabama	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2:20-cv-00202
100.	The Purdue Frederick	Municipality	Alabama	City of Geneva,	City of Geneva, Alabama v.	M.D. Ala.
	Company Inc.			Alabama	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	1:19-cv-00763
101.	The Purdue Frederick	Municipality	Alabama	City of Headland,	City of Headland, Alabama	M.D. Ala.
	Company Inc.			Alabama	v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	1:19-cv-00886
102.	The Purdue Frederick	Municipality	Alabama	City of Lanett,	City of Lanett, Alabama v.	M.D. Ala.
	Company Inc.			Alabama	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	3:19-cv-00885
103.	The Purdue Frederick	Municipality	Alabama	City of Leeds, Alabama	City of Leeds, Alabama v.	N.D. Ala.
	Company Inc.			-	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2:20-cv-00201
104.	The Purdue Frederick	Municipality	Alabama	City of Level Plains,	City of Level Plains,	M.D. Ala.
	Company Inc.			Alabama	Alabama v. Purdue Pharma	1:20-cv-00137
					L.P., et al.	
105.	The Purdue Frederick	Municipality	Alabama	City of Linden,	City of Linden, Alabama v.	S.D. Ala.
	Company Inc.			Alabama	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2:20-cv-00129
106.		Municipality	Alabama	City of Luverne,	City of Luverne, Alabama v.	M.D. Ala.
	Company Inc.			Alabama	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2:20-cv-00136
107.	The Purdue Frederick	Municipality	Alabama	City of Oxford,	City of Oxford, Alabama v.	N.D. Ala.
	Company Inc.			Alabama	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	1:19-cv-01401
108.		Municipality	Alabama	City of Pell City,	City of Pell City, Alabama v.	N.D. Ala.
	Company Inc.			Alabama	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	4:20-cv-00203
109.	The Purdue Frederick	Municipality	Alabama	City of Satsuma,	City of Satsuma, Alabama v.	S.D. Ala.
	Company Inc.			Alabama	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	1:20-cv-00127
110.		Municipality	Alabama	City of Uniontown,	City of Uniontown, Alabama	S.D. Ala.
	Company Inc.			Alabama	v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	1:20-cv-00128
111.	The Purdue Frederick	Municipality	Alabama	Coosa County,	Coosa County, Alabama v.	M.D. Ala.
	Company Inc.			Alabama	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2:19-cv-00752
112.	The Purdue Frederick	Municipality	Alabama	Crenshaw County,	Crenshaw County, Alabama	M.D. Ala.
	Company Inc.			Alabama	v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2:19-cv-00753
113.		Municipality	Alabama	Escambia County,	Escambia County, Alabama	S.D. Ala.
	Company Inc.			Alabama	v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	1:20-cv-00134

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 108 of 304

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
114.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Alabama	Geneva County, Alabama	Geneva County, Alabama v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	M.D. Ala. 1:20-cv-00135
115.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Alabama	Perry County, Alabama	Perry County, Alabama v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	S.D. Ala. 2:20-cv-00113
116.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Alabama	Russell County, Alabama	Russell County, Alabama v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	M.D. Ala. 3:19-cv-00646
117.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Alabama	Town of Dauphin Island, Alabama	Town of Dauphin Island, Alabama v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	S.D. Ala. 1:20-cv-00135
118.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Alabama	Town of Faunsdale, Alabama	Town of Faunsdale, Alabama v. Purdue Pharma L.P., etal.	S.D. Ala. 2:20-cv-00142
119.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Alabama	Town of Sweet Water, Alabama	Town of Sweet Water, Alabama v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	S.D. Ala. 2:20-cv-00143
120.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Alabama	Town of Vance, Alabama	Town of Vance, Alabama v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ala. 7:19-cv-01564
121.	Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	Municipality	California	The City and County of San Francisco, California and the People of the State of California, acting by and through San Francisco City Attorney Dennis J. Herrera	The City and County of San Francisco, California and the People of the State of California, acting by and through San Francisco City Attorney Dennis J. Herrera v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Cal. 3:18-ev-07591

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 109 of 304

				Underlying		
				Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
122.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Richard Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler	Municipality	California	City of Costa Mesa and the People of the State of California by and through Costa Mesa City Attorney Kimberly Hall Barlow	City of Costa Mesa and the People of the State of California by and through Costa Mesa City Attorney Kimberly Hall Barlow. Purdue Pharma L.P.	N.D. Cal. 4:19-cv-02320
123.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Richard Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler	Municipality	California	City of Fullerton and the People of the State of California by and through Fullerton City Attorney Richard D. Jones	City of Fullerton and the People of the State of California by and through Fullerton City Attorney Richard D. Jones v. Purdue Pharma L.P.	N.D. Cal. 3:19-cv-02321

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 110 of 304

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
124.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Richard Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler	Municipality	California	City of Irvine and the People of the State of California by and through Irvine City Attorney Jeffrey Melching	City of Irvine and the People of the State of California by and through Irvine City Attorney Jeffrey Melching v. Purdue Pharma L.P.	N.D. Cal. 3:19-cv-02323
125.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Richard Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler	Municipality	California	City of San Clemente and the People of the State of California by and through San Clemente City Attorney Scott C. Smith	City of San Clemente and the People of the State of California, by and through San Clemente City Attorney Scott C. Smith v. Purdue Pharma L.P.	N.D. Cal. 4:19-cv-02326

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 111 of 304

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
126.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Richard Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler	Municipality	California	City of Santa Ana and the People of the State of California, by and through Santa Ana City Attorney Sonia R. Carvalho	City of Santa Ana and the People of the State of California, by and through Santa Ana City Attorney Sonia R. Carvalho v. Purdue Pharma L.P.	N.D. Cal. 3:19-cv-02324
127.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Richard Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler	Municipality	California	City of Westminster and the People of the State of California by and through Westminster City Attorney Richard D. Jones	City of Westminster and the People of the State of California by and through Westminster City Attorney Richard D. Jones v. Purdue Pharma L.P.	N.D. Cal. 3:19-cv-02325

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 112 of 304

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
128.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Richard Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler	Municipality	California	County of Alameda and the People of the State of California by and through County Counsel Donna Ziegler	County of Alameda and the People of the State of California by and through County Counsel Donna Ziegler v. Purdue Pharma L.P.	N.D. Cal. 3:19-ev-02307
129.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt	Municipality	Delaware	City of Dover, a municipal corporation of the State of Delaware; City of Seaford, a municipal corporation of the State of Delaware; Kent County, a political subdivision of the State of Delaware	City of Dover, a municipal corporation of the State of Delaware; City of Seaford, a municipal corporation of the State of Delaware; and Kent County, a political subdivision of the State of Delaware v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	D. Del. 1:19-cv-01749
130.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Florida	City of Ocala, Florida	City of Ocala, Florida v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	M.D. Fla. 5:19-cv-00440

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 113 of 304

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
131.		Municipality Municipality	Hawaii	County of Hawai'i	County of Hawai'i v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	D. Haw. 1:19-ev-00581
132.	Sackler Family The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Raymond Sackler Family; Mortimer Sackler Family; Richard S. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	Municipality /Class Action	Hawaii	County of Kaua'i, a political subdivision of the State of Hawaii, for themselves individually, and on behalf of all similarly situated persons, and on behalf of the general public, as a class	County of Kaua'i, a political subdivision of the State of Hawaii, for themselves individually, and on behalf of all similarly situated persons, and on behalf of the general public, as a class v. CVS Health Corporation, et al.	D. Haw. 1:19-cv-00377

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 114 of 304

Related Parties Action Type State (Last, First) Case Caption Court/Case Number					Underlying		
The Purdue Frederick Company Inc. Municipality Company Inc. City of Owensboro, Kentucky Kentucky Company Inc. Company Inc. Louisiana Com		Dalatad Davidan	A - 4* T	64-4-	Plaintiff(s)	Garage Garatian	Complete Name
Company Inc. Municipality Louisiana Town of Abita Springs, Louisiana	122						
The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	133.		Municipality	Kentucky	2		
Company Inc. Louisiana Louisiana Louisiana Purdue Pharma Inc., et al. Warren Montgomery, Duly Elected 22nd Judicial District Attorney for the Parishes of St. Tammany and Washington Pharma Inc., et al. Warren Montgomery, Duly Elected 22nd Judicial District Attorney for the Parishes of St. Tammany and Washington Pharma Inc., et al. Pharma Inc., et al.	124		3.6	т	-		
Inc., et al. Inc., et al.	134.		Municipality	Louisiana			
The Purdue Frederick Company Inc. Municipality Company Inc. Municipality Company Inc. Louisiana Municipality Company Inc. Louisiana Municipality Parishes of St. Tammany and Washington Pharma Inc., et al.		Company Inc.			Louisiana		2:19-cv-14521
Company Inc. Duly Elected 22nd Judicial District Attorney for the Parishes of St. Tammany and Washington v. Purdue Pharma Inc., et al. Duly Elected 22nd Judicial District Attorney for the Parishes of St. Tammany and Washington v. Purdue Pharma Inc., et al. Duly Elected 22nd Judicial District Attorney for the Parishes of St. Tammany and Washington v. Purdue Pharma Inc., et al. District Attorney for the Parishes of St. Tammany and Washington v. Purdue Pharma Inc., et al. District Attorney for the Parishes of St. Tammany and Washington v. Purdue Pharma Inc., et al. District Attorney for the Parishes of St. Tammany and Washington v. Purdue Pharma Inc., et al. District Attorney for the Parishes of St. Tammany and Washington v. Purdue Pharma Inc., et al. District Attorney for the Parishes of St. Tammany and Washington v. Purdue Pharma Inc., et al. District Attorney for the Parishes of St. Tammany and Washington v. Purdue Pharma Inc., et al. District Attorney for the Parishes of St. Tammany and Washington v. Purdue Pharma Inc., et al. District Attorney for the Parishes of St. Tammany and Washington v. Purdue Pharma Inc., et al. District Attorney for the Parishes of St. Tameany and Washington v. Purdue Pharma Inc., et al. District Attorney for the Parishes of St. Tameany and Washington v. Purdue Pharma Inc., et al. District Attorney for the Parishes of St. Tameany and Washington v. Purdue Pharma Inc., et al. District Attorney for the Parishes of St. Tameany and Washington v. Purdue Pharma Inc., et al. District Attorney for the Parishes of St. Tameany and Washington v. Purdue Pharma Inc., et al. District Attorney for the Parishes of St. Tameany and Washington v. Purdue Pharma Inc., et al. District Attorney for the Parishes of St. Tameany and Washington v. Purdue Pharma Inc., et al. District Attorney for the Parishes of St. Tameany and Washington v. Purdue Pharma Inc., et al. District Attorney for the Parishes of St. Tameany and Washington v. Purdue Pharma Inc., et al. Dis						,	
Judicial District Attorney for the Parishes of St. Tammany and Washington v. Purdue Pharma Inc., et al. Municipality Company inc. The Purdue Frederick Company inc. Municipality Company Inc. Maine Maine City of Rockland, State of Maine v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al. Knox County, State of Maine v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al. Knox County, State of Maine, individually, and on behalf of all others similarly situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al. The Purdue Frederick Company Inc. Municipality Company Inc. Municipality Company Inc. Michigan Charter Township of Harrison V. The Pain Center USA, PLLC, et al. Municipality V. Company Inc. Michigan City of Sterling Heights City of Sterling Heights City of Sterling Heights City of Warren V. The Pain Center USA, PLLC, et al. City of Warren V. The Pain Center USA, PLLC, et al. E.D. Mich. Company Inc. City of Warren V. The Pain Center USA, PLLC, et al. E.D. Mich. Company Inc. City of Warren City of Warren V. The Pain Center USA, PLLC, et al. City of Warren V. The Pain Ce	135.		Municipality	Louisiana			
Attorney for the Parishes of St. Tammany and Washington v. Purdue Pharma Inc., et al. Municipality Company inc. Municipality Company Inc.		Company Inc.					2:19-cv-14516
Parishe's of St. Tammany and Washington v. Purdue Pharma Inc., et al.							
Tammany and Washington Tammany and Washington Total Purdue Frederick Company inc. The Purdue Frederick Company inc. The Purdue Frederick Company Inc. The Purdue Frederick Company Inc. Municipality Company Inc. Municipality Company Inc. Municipality Company Inc. The Purdue Frederick Company Inc. Municipality Company Inc. The Purdue Frederick Municipality Michigan City of Warren City of Warren V. The Pain Center USA, PLLC, et al. The Purdue Frederick Company Inc. The Purdue Frederick Municipality Missouri Camden County, Missouri V. Williams et al. The Purdue Frederick Municipality Missouri St. Francois County V. Williams et al. The Purdue Frederick Municipality Missouri St. Francois County V. Dannie E. Williams, M.D., et deliberation of Maine v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al. The Purdue Frederick Municipality Missouri St. Francois County V. Dannie E. Williams, M.D., et deliberation of Maine v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al. The Purdue Frederick Municipality Missouri St. Francois County V. Dannie E. Williams, M.D., et deliberation of Maine v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al. The Purdue Frederick Municipality Missouri St. Francois County V. Dannie E. Williams, M.D., et deliberation of Ma					Attorney for the		
Washington Washington City of Rockland, State of Maine v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.					Parishes of St.		
The Purdue Frederick Company inc. Municipality Missouri Camden County, Missouri Camden County, Missouri V. Municipality Camden County V. Municipality Company Inc. Municipality Missouri Camden County V. Municipality Company Inc. Municipality Missouri V. Municipality V.					Tammany and	Pharma Inc., et al.	
Company inc. 137. The Purdue Frederick Company Inc. 138. The Purdue Frederick Company Inc. 139. The Purdue Frederick Company Inc. 140. The Purdue Frederick Company Inc. 140. The Purdue Frederick Company Inc. 141. The Purdue Frederick Company Inc. 142. Richard S. Sackler 143. The Purdue Frederick Municipality Missouri Company Inc. 144. The Purdue Frederick Municipality Company Inc. 145. The Purdue Frederick Municipality Michigan City of Warren County, Missouri Company Inc. 146. Company Inc. 147. The Purdue Frederick Municipality Michigan City of Warren County, Missouri Camden County, Missouri Camden County, Missouri V. The Pain Center USA, PLLC, et al. 146. Company Inc. 147. The Purdue Frederick Municipality Missouri Camden County, Missouri V. Missouri V. Missouri V. The Pain Center USA, PLLC, et al. 148. The Purdue Frederick Municipality Missouri St. Francois County V. Dannie E. Williams, M.D., et C. D. Mo. At 19-cv-01722					Washington		
L.P., et al. 137. The Purdue Frederick Company Inc. 138. The Purdue Frederick Company Inc. 139. The Purdue Frederick Company Inc. 140. The Purdue Frederick Company Inc. 141. The Purdue Frederick Company Inc. 142. Richard S. Sackler Company Inc. 143. The Purdue Frederick Company Inc. 144. The Purdue Frederick Company Inc. 145. The Purdue Frederick Company Inc. 146. The Purdue Frederick Company Inc. 147. The Purdue Frederick Company Inc. 148. The Purdue Frederick Company Inc. 149. The Purdue Frederick Company Inc. 140. The Purdue Frederick Company Inc. 141. The Purdue Frederick Company Inc. 142. Richard S. Sackler 143. The Purdue Frederick Municipality Missouri 144. The Purdue Frederick Company Inc. 145. The Purdue Frederick Company Inc. 146. The Purdue Frederick Company Inc. 147. The Purdue Frederick Company Inc. 148. The Purdue Frederick Company Inc. 149. The Purdue Frederick Company Inc. 140. The Purdue Frederick Company Inc. 141. The Purdue Frederick Company Inc. 142. Richard S. Sackler Municipality Missouri Camden County, Missouri V. Missouri Williams et al. 148. The Purdue Frederick Municipality Missouri St. Francois County V. Dannie E. Williams, M.D., et 149. Company Inc. 140. The Purdue Frederick Municipality Missouri St. Francois County V. Dannie E. Williams, M.D., et 149. Company Inc. 140. The Purdue Frederick Purdue Frederick Municipality Missouri St. Francois County V. Dannie E. Williams, M.D., et 140. The Purdue Frederick Purdue Frederick Municipality Missouri St. Francois County V. Dannie E. Williams, M.D., et 149. Company Inc. 140. The Purdue Frederick Purdue Frederick Municipality Missouri St. Francois County V. Dannie E. Williams, M.D., et 140. The Purdue Frederick Purdue F	136.	The Purdue Frederick	Municipality	Maine	City of Rockland, State	City of Rockland, State of	D. Me.
137. The Purdue Frederick Company Inc. Municipality Company Inc. Municipality Situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.		Company inc.			of Maine	Maine v. Purdue Pharma	2:19-cv-00373
Company Inc. Maine Maine, individually, and on behalf of all others similarly situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.						L.P., et al.	
Company Inc. Maine Maine, individually, and on behalf of all others similarly situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	137.	The Purdue Frederick	Municipality	Maine	Knox County, State of	Knox County, State of	D. Me.
Situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.		Company Inc.				Maine, individually, and on	2:19-cv-00371
L.P., et al.		1 0				behalf of all others similarly	
The Purdue Frederick Company Inc. Municipality Missouri Company Inc. Municipality Company						situated v. Purdue Pharma	
Company Inc. 139. The Purdue Frederick Company Inc. 140. The Purdue Frederick Company Inc. 141. The Purdue Frederick Company Inc. 142. Richard S. Sackler 143. The Purdue Frederick Company Inc. 144. The Purdue Frederick Company Inc. 145. The Purdue Frederick Company Inc. 146. The Purdue Frederick Company Inc. 147. The Purdue Frederick Company Inc. 148. Richard S. Sackler 149. Winicipality Municipality Missouri 140. The Purdue Frederick Company Inc. 141. The Purdue Frederick Company Inc. 142. Richard S. Sackler 143. The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; 144. The Purdue Frederick Company Inc. 145. The Purdue Frederick Company Inc. 146. Durdue Pharma L.P., et al. 147. Charter Township of Harrison City of Sterling Heights City of Sterling Heights v. The Pain Center USA, PLLC, et al. 146. City of Warren v. The Pain Center USA, PLLC, et al. 147. Camden County, Missouri v. Missouri 148. The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; 149. Camden County v. Missouri 140. City of Warren v. The Pain Center USA, PLLC, et al. 140. City of Warren v. The Pain Center USA, PLLC, et al. 140. City of Warren v. The Pain Center USA, PLLC, et al. 140. City of Warren v. The Pain Center USA, PLLC, et al. 141. The Purdue Frederick Municipality Missouri 142. Richard S. Sackler 143. The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; 144. Municipality Missouri 145. Francois County v. Dannie E. Williams, M.D., et 145. Francois County v. Dannie E. Williams, M.D., et						L.P., et al.	
139. The Purdue Frederick Company Inc. Municipality Michigan Charter Township of Harrison V. The Pain Center USA, PLLC, et al. 140. The Purdue Frederick Company Inc. Municipality Michigan City of Sterling Heights V. The Pain Center USA, PLLC, et al. 141. The Purdue Frederick Company Inc. Municipality Michigan City of Warren City of Warren V. The Pain Center USA, PLLC, et al. 142. Richard S. Sackler Municipality Missouri Camden County, Missouri V. Missouri Williams et al. 143. The Purdue Frederick Municipality Company Inc.; Municipality Missouri St. Francois County V. Dannie E. Williams, M.D., et 4:19-cv-01722	138.	The Purdue Frederick	Municipality	Maryland	Howard County	Howard County, Maryland v.	D. Md.
Company Inc. Harrison Harrison v. The Pain Center USA, PLLC, et al. 140. The Purdue Frederick Company Inc. Municipality Company Inc. Municipality Michigan City of Sterling Heights City of Sterling Heights v. The Pain Center USA, PLLC, et al. City of Warren City of Warren v. The Pain Center USA, PLLC, et al. City of Warren v. The Pain Center USA, PLLC, et al. 2:19-cv-11685 E.D. Mich. City of Warren v. The Pain Center USA, PLLC, et al. 2:19-cv-11685 City of Warren v. The Pain Center USA, PLLC, et al. Center USA, PLLC, et al. 142. Richard S. Sackler Municipality Missouri Camden County, Missouri Williams et al. 4:19-cv-02930 143. The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Municipality Missouri St. Francois County v. Dannie E. Williams, M.D., et 4:19-cv-01722		Company Inc.				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	1:19-cv-02116
140. The Purdue Frederick Company Inc. 141. The Purdue Frederick Company Inc. 142. Richard S. Sackler 143. The Purdue Frederick Company Inc. 144. The Purdue Frederick Company Inc. 145. Richard S. Sackler 146. Municipality Missouri Camden County, Missouri Williams et al. 147. The Purdue Frederick Municipality Missouri St. Francois County V. Company Inc. 148. Richard S. Sackler 149. Company Inc. 140. Dannie E. Williams, M.D., et 4:19-cv-01722	139.	The Purdue Frederick	Municipality	Michigan	Charter Township of	Charter Township of	E.D. Mich.
140. The Purdue Frederick Company Inc. Municipality City of Sterling Heights City of Warren v. The Pain Center USA, PLLC, et al. City of Warren v. The Pain Center USA, PLLC, et al. City of Warren v. The Pain Center USA, PLLC, et al. City of Warren v. The Pain Center USA, PLLC, et al. City of Warren v. The Pain Center USA, PLLC, et al. City of Warren v. The Pain Center USA, PLLC, et al. City of Warren v. The Pain Center USA, PLLC, et al. City of Warren v. The Pain Center USA, PLLC, et al. City of Warren v. The Pain Center USA, PLLC, et al. City of Warren v. The Pain Center USA, PLLC, et al. City of Warren v. The Pain Center USA, PLLC, et al. City of Warren v. The Pain Center USA, PLLC, et al. City of Warren v. The Pain Center USA, PLLC, et al. City of Warren v. The Pain Center USA, PLLC, et al. City of Warren v. The Pain Center USA, PLLC, et al. City of Warren v. The Pain Center USA, PLLC, et al. City of Warren v. The Pain Center USA, PLLC, et al. City of Warren v. The Pain Center USA, PLLC, et al. City of Warren v. The Pain Center USA, PLLC, et al. City of Warren v. The Pain Center USA, PLCC, et al. City of Warren v. The Pain Center USA, PLCC, et al. City of Warren v. The Pain Center USA, PLCC, et al. City of Warren v. The Pain Center USA, PLCC, et al. City of Warren v. The Pain Center USA, PLCC, et al. City of Warren v. The Pain Center USA, PLCC, et al. City of Warren v. The Pain Center USA, PLCC, et al. City of Warren v. The Pain Center USA, PLCC, et al. City of Warren v. The Pain Center USA, PLCC, et al. City of Warren v. The Pain Center USA, PLCC, et al. City of Warren v. The Pain Center USA, PLCC, et al. City of Warren v. The Pain Center USA, PLCC, et al. City of Warren v. The Pain Center USA, PLCC, et al. City		Company Inc.			Harrison	Harrison v. The Pain Center	2:19-cv-11681
Company Inc. 141. The Purdue Frederick Company Inc. 142. Richard S. Sackler Municipality Missouri Municipality Missouri Municipality Missouri St. Francois County v. Company Inc.; Center USA, PLLC, et al. 2:19-cv-11685 E.D. Mich. 2:19-cv-11687 E.D. Mo. 4:19-cv-02930 St. Francois County v. Dannie E. Williams, M.D., et 4:19-cv-01722						USA, PLLC, et al.	
Company Inc. 141. The Purdue Frederick Company Inc. 142. Richard S. Sackler Municipality Missouri Municipality Missouri Municipality Missouri St. Francois County v. Company Inc.; Center USA, PLLC, et al. 2:19-cv-11685 E.D. Mich. 2:19-cv-11687 E.D. Mo. 4:19-cv-02930 St. Francois County v. Dannie E. Williams, M.D., et 4:19-cv-01722	140.	The Purdue Frederick	Municipality	Michigan	City of Sterling Heights	City of Sterling Heights v.	E.D. Mich.
141. The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.Municipality Company Inc.Michigan MunicipalityCity of Warren Center USA, PLLC, et al. Camden County, Missouri v. Williams et al.E.D. Mich. 2:19-cv-11687142. Richard S. SacklerMunicipalityMissouriCamden County, Missouri v. Williams et al.E.D. Mo. 4:19-cv-02930143. The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.;MunicipalityMissouriSt. Francois County v. Dannie E. Williams, M.D., etE.D. Mo. 4:19-cv-01722		Company Inc.				The Pain Center USA,	2:19-cv-11685
Company Inc. Center USA, PLLC, et al. 2:19-cv-11687 Camden County, Missouri v. Missouri						PLLC, et al.	
Company Inc. Center USA, PLLC, et al. 2:19-cv-11687 Camden County, Missouri v. Missouri	141.	The Purdue Frederick	Municipality	Michigan	City of Warren	City of Warren v. The Pain	E.D. Mich.
142. Richard S. SacklerMunicipalityMissouriCamden County, Missouri v. Missouri v. Williams et al.E.D. Mo. 4:19-cv-02930143. The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.;Municipality MissouriSt. Francois County v. Dannie E. Williams, M.D., et 4:19-cv-01722		Company Inc.	1		_		2:19-cv-11687
Missouri Williams et al. 4:19-cv-02930 143. The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; St. Francois County St. Francois County V. Dannie E. Williams, M.D., et 4:19-cv-01722	142.		Municipality	Missouri	Camden County,		E.D. Mo.
143. The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Municipality Missouri St. Francois County V. Dannie E. Williams, M.D., et 4:19-cv-01722							
Company Inc.; Dannie E. Williams, M.D., et 4:19-cv-01722	143.	The Purdue Frederick	Municipality	Missouri	St. François County	St. François County v.	
		Company Inc.;				Dannie E. Williams, M.D., et	4:19-cv-01722
						1	

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 115 of 304

				Underlying		
	Related Parties	A ation Toma	State	Plaintiff(s)	Casa Cantian	Court/Case Number
144.		Action Type Municipality	State Nevada	(Last, First) Clark County	Case Caption Clark County v. Purdue	D. Nev.
177.	Company Inc.;	Withhelpanty	revada	Clark County	Pharma L.P., et al.	2:19-cv-01616
	Aida B. Maxsam				i narma E.i ., et ai.	2.17 64 01010
145.		Municipality	New	Carroll County	Carroll County v. Teva	D. N.H.
1 13.	Jonathan Sackler;	Widinespairty	Hampshire	Curron County	Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc.,	1:19-cv-01097
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;		Trampomie		et al.	1.13 6. 01037
	David Sackler;					
	Kathe Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	Cecil Pickett;					
	Paulo Costa;					
	Ralph Snyderman;					
	Frank Boer;					
	Judy Lewent;					
	John Stewart;					
	Craig Landau;					
	Mark Timney;					
	Russell Gasdia;					
	Trust for the Benefit of					
	Members of the Raymond					
	Sackler Family;					
	The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.					
146.		Municipality	New	Coos County	Coos County v. Teva	D. N.H.
	Jonathan Sackler;		Hampshire		Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc.,	1:19-cv-01095
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;				et al.	
	David Sackler;					
	Kathe Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	Cecil Pickett; Paulo Costa;					
	Ralph Snyderman;					
	Frank Boer;					
	Judy Lewent;					
	Judy Lewelli,					

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 116 of 304

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	John Stewart;	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		, ,	•	
	Craig Landau;					
	Mark Timney;					
	Russell Gasdia;					
	Trust for the Benefit of					
	Members of the Raymond					
	Sackler Family;					
	The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.					
147.		Municipality	New Jersey	County of Burlington,	County of Burlington, NJ v.	D.N.J.
	Company Inc.;			NJ	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	1:19-cv-13684
	The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals, Inc.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler; Stuart D.					
	Baker; Trust for the Benefit of					
	Members of the Raymond Sackler Family					
148.	ž	Municipality	New Jersey	Cumberland County	Cumberland County v.	D. N.J.
140.	Company Inc.;	iviumcipanty	INEW JEISEY	Cumberiana County	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	D. N.J. 1:19-cv-19134
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.				i urdue Filarilla L.F., et al.	1.17-07-17134
149.	·	Municipality	New Jersey	Township of Brick	Township of Brick v. Purdue	D. N.J.
149.	Company	iviumcipanty	Ticw Jersey	10 wilship of Differ	Pharma Inc., et al.	3:19-cv-17998
	Company		l		i marma me., et al.	J.17-6V-1/990

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 117 of 304

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
150.		Municipality	New York	City of Amsterdam	City of Amsterdam v. Purdue Pharma L.P.	N.D.N.Y. 1:19-cv-00896
	Raymond Sackler Family					
151.	·	Municipality	New York	City of Auburn	City of Auburn v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	E.D.N.Y. 2:18-ev-03800

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 118 of 304

				Underlying		
			a	Plaintiff(s)		
4.50	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
152.		Municipality	New York	City of Ogdensburg	The City of Ogdensburg v.	N.D.N.Y
	Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	8:19-cv-00782
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	Raymond Sackler Family;					
	Mortimer Sackler Family;					
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Trust for the Benefit of					
	Members of the Raymond Sackler Family					
153.		Municipality	New York	City of Poughkeepsie	The City of Poughkeepsie,	S.D.N.Y.
155.	Company Inc.;	/ Class	New Tolk	City of Foughkeepsie	individually, and on behalf	7:19-cv-06800
	The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;	Action			of all others similarly	7.19-CV-00800
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;	7 ICHOH			situated v. Purdue Pharma	
	Raymond Sackler Family;				L.P.	
	Mortimer Sackler Family;					
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Trust for the Benefit of the					
	Raymond Sackler Family					

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 119 of 304

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
154.		Municipality	New York	City of Rochester	City of Rochester v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D.N.Y. 6:19-cv-06490
155.	Sackler Family The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Raymond Sackler Family; Mortimer Sackler Family; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	Municipality	New York	City of Saratoga Springs	The City of Saratoga Springs v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D.N.Y. 1:19-cv-00789

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 120 of 304

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
156.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Ohio	The County of Fayette, Ohio; The State of Ohio ex rel. Prosecuting Attorney of Fayette County, Jess Weade	The County of Fayette, Ohio; The State of Ohio ex rel. Prosecuting Attorney of Fayette County, Jess Weade v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	S.D. Ohio 2:19-cv-04347
157.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Atoka County	Board of County Commissioners of Atoka County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	E.D. Okla. 6:19-ev-00279
158.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Caddo County	Board of County Commissioners of Caddo County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D. Okla. 5:19-ev-00710
159.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Cimarron County	Board of County Commissioners of Cimarron County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D. Okla. 5:19-ev-00776
160.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Coal County	Board of County Commissioners of Coal County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	E.D. Okla. 6:19-cv-00405
161.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Grady County	Board of County Commissioners of Grady County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D. Okla. 5:19-ev-00703
162.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Haskell County	Board of County Commissioners of Haskell County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	E.D. Okla. 6:19-ev-00280
163.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Jackson County	Board of County Commissioners of Jackson County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D. Okla. 5:19-ev-01108

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 121 of 304

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
164.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Jefferson County	Board of County Commissioners of Jefferson County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D. Okla. 5:19-cv-00721
165.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Kay County	Board of County Commissioners of Kay County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D. Okla. 5:19-cv-00884
166.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Latimer County	Board of County Commissioners of Latimer County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	E.D. Okla. 6:19-cv-00282
167.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of LeFlore County	Board of County Commissioners of LeFlore County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	E.D. Okla. 6:19-cv-00362
168.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Lincoln County	Board of County Commissioners of Lincoln County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D. Okla. 5:19-cv-01109
169.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Logan County	Board of County Commissioners of Logan County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D. Okla. 5:19-cv-00984
170.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Love County	Board of County Commissioners of Love County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	E.D. Okla. 6:19-cv-00320
171.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Major County	Board of County Commissioners of Major County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D. Okla. 5:19-cv-00879
172.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Okfuskee County	Board of County Commissioners of Okfuskee County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	E.D. Okla. 6:19-cv-00300

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 122 of 304

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
173.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Oklahoma County	Board of County Commissioners of Oklahoma County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D. Okla. 5:19-ev-00926
174.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Pottawatomie County	Board of County Commissioners of Pottawatomie County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D. Okla. 5:19-ev-00880
175.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Texas County	Board of County Commissioners of Texas County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D. Okla. 5:19-ev-00987
176.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Woods County	Board of County Commissioners of Woods County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D. Okla. 5:19-ev-00878
177.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Woodward County	Board of County Commissioners of Woodward County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D. Okla. 5:19-ev-01110
178.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Oklahoma	City of Anadarko	City of Anadarko v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D. Okla. 5:19-ev-00815
179.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Oklahoma	City of Bethany	City of Bethany v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D. Okla. 5:19-cv-00804
180.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Oklahoma	City of Fort Cobb	City of Fort Cobb v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D. Okla. 5:19-cv-00816
181.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Oklahoma	City of Jenks	City of Jenks v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Okla. 4:19-cv-00380
182.	The Purdue Fredick Company Inc.	Municipality	Oklahoma	City of Seminole	City of Seminole v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	E.D. Okla. 6:19-cv-00291
183.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Oklahoma	City of Shawnee	City of Shawnee v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D. Okla. 5:19-cv-00711

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 123 of 304

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
184.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family;	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Adams County	Adams County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	E.D. Pa. 2:19-cv-04438
	The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Stuart D. Baker					
185.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	City of Allentown, Pennsylvania	City of Allentown, Pennsylvania v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corp., et al.	E.D. Pa. 5:19-cv-03884

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 124 of 304

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
186.		Municipality	Pennsylvania	Delaware County	Delaware County, Pennsylvania v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	E.D. Pa. 2:20-cv-05171
187.		Municipality	Pennsylvania	Lower Makefield Township	Lower Makefield Township v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	E.D. Pa. 2:20-ev-03695

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 125 of 304

				Underlying		
	DI (ID (A 4 TE	G	Plaintiff(s)		
100	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
188.	The Purdue Frederick	Municipality	Puerto Rico	Municipality of	Municipality of Adjuntas,	D. P.R.
	Company Inc.; Richard S.			Adjuntas, Puerto Rico	Puerto Rico v. Purdue	3:20-cv-01291
	Sackler;				Pharma L.P., et al.	
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	Trust for the Benefit of the					
	Raymond Sackler Family;					
	The P.F. Laboratories Inc.;					
	Stuart D. Baker					
189.	The Purdue Frederick	Municipality	Puerto Rico	Municipality of	Municipality of Barceloneta	D.P.R.
	Company Inc.;			Barceloneta, Puerto	Puerto Rico v. Purdue	3:20-cv-01488
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;			Rico	Pharma, L.P. et al	
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	The P.F. Laboratories Inc.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Trust for the Benefit of					
	Members of the Raymond					
	Sackler Family;					
	Stuart D. Baker					

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 126 of 304

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
190.		Municipality	Puerto Rico	Municipality of Cidra, Puerto Rico	Municipality of Cidra, Puerto Rico v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	D. P.R. 3:10-ev-01290
191.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	County of Angelina	County of Angelina v. Allergan PLC, et al.	S.D. Tex. 4:19-cv-03590
192.		Municipality	Texas	County of Burleson	County of Burleson v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	S.D. Tex. 4:19-xc-03845
193.		Municipality	Texas	County of Duval	County of Duval v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	S.D. Tex. 4:19-cv-02504
194.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	County of Freestone	County of Freestone v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	S.D. Tex. 4:19-cv-03983
195.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	County of Jim Hogg	County of Jim Hogg v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	S.D. Tex. 4:19-cv-02816
196.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	County of Kleberg	County of Kleberg v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	S.D. Tex. 4:19-cv-02815
197.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	County of Williamson	County of Williamson v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	S.D. Tex. 4:19-cv-03299
198.		Municipality	Texas	Ellis County	Ellis County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	S.D Tex. 4:19-ev-02256
199.		Municipality	Texas	Rockwall County	Rockwall County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	S.D. Tex. 4:19-cv-02181

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 127 of 304

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
200.	Jonathan Sackler; Richard Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David Sackler; John Stewart; Mark Timney; Craig Landau;	Municipality	Virginia	Amherst County, Virginia	Amherst County, Virginia v. Mallinckrodt PLC, et al.	W.D. Va. 6:19-cv-00077
201.	Russell Gasdia Jonathan Sackler; Richard Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David Sackler; John Stewart; Mark Timney; Craig Landau; Russell Gasdia	Municipality	Virginia	Botetourt County, Virginia	Botetourt County, Virginia v. Mallinckrodt PLC, et al.	W.D. Va. 7:19-cv-00759
202.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Virginia	Charlotte County	Charlotte County, Virginia v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D. Va. 4:19-cv-00029
203.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Virginia	City of Emporia	City of Emporia, Virginia v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	E.D. Va. 3:19-cv-00513
204.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Virginia	City of Fredericksburg	City of Fredericksburg, Virginia v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	E.D. Va. 3:19-cv-00457

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 128 of 304

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
205.	The Purdue Frederick	Municipality	Virginia	City of Portsmouth	City of Portsmouth v.	E.D. Va.
	Company Inc.;				McKesson Corporation, et al.	2:19-cv-00331
	Richard Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	Kathe Sackler;					
	Jonathan Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	David Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt					
206.	The Purdue Frederick	Municipality	Virginia	City of Radford	City of Radford v. Purdue	W.D. Va.
	Company Inc.				Pharma L.P., et al.	7:19-cv-00525
207.	The Purdue Frederick	Municipality	Virginia	City of Waynesboro,	The City of Waynesboro,	W.D. Va.
	Company Inc.;			Virginia	Virginia v. Purdue Pharma	5:19-cv-00058
	Richard Sackler;				L.P., et al.	
	Beverly Sackler;					
	David Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Jonathan Sackler;					
	Kathe Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	John Stewart;					
	Mark Timney;					
	Craig Landau;					
	Russell Gasdia;					
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.					
208.	The Purdue Frederick	Municipality	Virginia	Culpapar County	Culpapar County Virginia	W.D. Va.
208.		Municipality	v irgiiiia	Culpeper County	Culpeper County, Virginia v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	3:19-cv-00037
	Company Inc.				Furdue Pharma L.P., et al.	3:19-cv-0003/

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 129 of 304

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
209.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Jonathan Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Theresa Sackler; John Stewart; Mark Timney; Craig Landau; Russell Gasdia; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.	Municipality	Virginia	Cumberland County	Cumberland County, Virginia v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D. Va. 6:19-ev-00054
210.		Municipality	Virginia	Greensville County	Greensville County, Virginia v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	E.D. Va. 3:19-cv-00459
211.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Virginia	Loudoun County	Loudoun County, Virginia v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	E.D. Va. 1:19-cv-00778
212.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Jonathan Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Theresa Sackler; John Stewart; Mark Timney; Craig Landau; Russell Gasdia; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.	Municipality	Virginia	Patrick County	Patrick County, Virgina v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D. Va. 4:19-cv-00032

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 130 of 304

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
213.		Municipality	Virginia	Prince George County	Prince George County,	E.D. Va.
	Company Inc.				Virginia v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	3:19-cv-00458
214.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Jonathan Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Theresa Sackler; John Stewart; Mark Timney; Craig Landau; Russell Gasdia; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.	Municipality	Virginia	Shenandoah County	Shenandoah County, Virginia v. Purdue Pharma, L.P., et al.	W.D. Va. 5:19-cv-00056
215.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Virginia	Wise County Board of Supervisors	Wise County Board of Supervisors v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corporation, et al.	W.D. Va. 2:19-cv-00039
Trib	al (Federal Court Pending Trans	fer to MDL)				
216.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Tribal	Oklahoma	Citizen Potawatomi Nation	Citizen Potawatomi Nation v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D. Okla. 5:19-cv-00955
217.		Tribal	Oklahoma	Delaware Nation	Delaware Nation v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D. Okla. 5:19-cv-00956
218.		Tribal	Oklahoma	Pawnee Nation of Oklahoma	Pawnee Nation of Oklahoma v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Okla. 4:19-cv-00556
219.	• •	Tribal	Oklahoma	Sac & Fox Nation	Sac & Fox Nation v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D. Okla. 5:19-cv-00957
220.		Tribal	Oklahoma	The Osage Nation	The Osage Nation v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Okla. 4:19-cv-00485
221.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Tribal	Oklahoma	Thlopthlocco Tribal Town	Thlopthlocco Tribal Town v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Okla. 4:19-cv-00557

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 131 of 304

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
Hos	oital, Individual & Third Party Pa		ourt Pending Trai		•	
222.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Richard Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Jonathan Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Theresa Sackler; John Stewart; Mark Timney; Craig Landau; Russell Gasdia; Joe Coggins; Lyndsie Fowler; Mitchell "Chip" Fisher; Rebecca Sterling; Vanessa Weatherspoon; Chris Hargrave; Brandon Hassenfuss; Joe Read	Hospital	Alabama	The DCH Health Care Authority; The Healthcare Authority for Baptist Health, an affiliate of UAB Health System; Medical West Hospital Authority, an affiliate of UAB Health System; Evergreen Medical Center, LLC; Gilliard Health Services, Inc.; Crestwood Healthcare, L.P.; Triad of Alabama, LLC; QHG of Enterprise, Inc.; Affinity Hospital, LLC; Gadsden Regional Medical Center, LLC; Foley Hospital Corporation; The Health Care Authority of Clarke County, Alabama; BBH PBMC, LLC; BBH, WBMC, LLC; BBH SBMC, LLC; BBH CBMC, LLC; BBH CBMC, LLC; BBH BMC, LLC;	The DCH Health Care Authority; The Healthcare Authority for Baptist Health, an affiliate of UAB Health System; Medical West Hospital Authority, and affiliate of UAB Health System; Evergreen Medical Center, LLC; Gilliard Health Services, Inc.; Crestwood Healthcare, L.P.; Triad of Alabama, LLC; QHG of Enterprise, Inc.; Affinity Hospital, LLC; Gadsden Regional Medical Center, LLC; Foley Hospital Corporation; The Health Care Authority of Clarke County, Alabama; BBH PBMC, LLC; BBH, WBMC, LLC; BBH SBMC, LLC; BBH CBMC, LLC; BBH BMC, LLC v. Purdue Pharma, et al.	S.D. Ala. 1:19-cv-00756
223.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Hospital	Florida	West Volusia Hospital Authority	West Volusia Hospital Authority v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	M.D. Fla. 6:19-cv-01765
224.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.;	Hospital	Kentucky	Bowling Green-Warren County Community	Bowling Green-Warren County Community Hospital	W.D. Ky. 1:19-cv-00148

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 132 of 304

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	Richard Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Jonathan Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Theresa Sackler; John Stewart; Mark Timney; Craig Landau; Russell Gasdia; Tessa Rios; Amy K. Thompson			Hospital Corporation; The Medical Center at Clinton County, Inc.; The Medical Center at Franklin, Inc.; Arh Tug Valley Health Services, Inc. f/k/a Highlands Hospital Corporation; Baptist Healthcare System, Inc.; Baptist Health Madisonville, Inc.; Baptist Health Richmond, Inc.; Grayson County Hospital Foundation, Inc.; The Harrison Memorial Hospital, Inc.; Saint Elizabeth Medical Center, Inc.; St. Claire Medical Center, Inc.; Taylor County Hospital District Health Facilities Corporation	Corporation; The Medical Center at Clinton County, Inc.; The Medical Center at Franklin, Inc.; Arh Tug Valley Health Services, Inc. f/k/a Highlands Hospital Corporation; Baptist Healthcare System, Inc.; Baptist Health Madisonville, Inc.; Baptist Health Richmond, Inc.; Grayson County Hospital Foundation, Inc.; The Harrison Memorial Hospital, Inc.; Saint Elizabeth Medical Center, Inc.; St. Claire Medical Center, Inc.; and Taylor County Hospital District Health Facilities Corporation v. Purdue Pharma, L.P., et al.	
225.	Richard Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt	Individual	Massachusetts	Hickey, Thomas	Thomas Hickey v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	D. Mass. 1:19-cv-11806

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 133 of 304

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
226.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Wrongful Death	Mississippi	Carr, Gary	Gary Carr, individually as next friend and on behalf of all wrongful death beneficiaries of Luther Greer, deceased v. Charles Elliott, M.D., et al.	N.D. Miss. 3:19-cv-00246
227.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Stuart D. Baker	Third Party Payor	New York	Allied Security Health & Welfare Fund	Allied Security Health & Welfare Fund v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	E.D.N.Y. 2:20-cv-03442

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 134 of 304

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
228.		Third Party Payor	New York	Local 713 I.B.O.T.U. Welfare Fund	Local 713 I.B.O.T.U. Welfare Fund v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	E.D.N.Y. 2:20-ev-03446
229.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Stuart D. Baker	Third Party Payor	New York	Southern Tier Building Trades Benefit Plan	Southern Tier Building Trades Benefit Plan v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D.N.Y. 1:20-cv-00992

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 135 of 304

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
230.	D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Marianna Sackler	Individual	New York	Wallace, Charles Kenneth, Sr.; Hillis, Ronald	Wallace et al v. All Personal Liability Carriers- Underwriters of Land et al.	S.D.N.Y. 1:22-cv-08022
231.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Stuart D. Baker	Third Party Payor	Pennsylvania	I-Kare Treatment Center, LLC	I-Kare Treatment Center, LLC v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	E.D. Pa. 2:19-cv-03899

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 136 of 304

				Underlying		
	DI (ID (A 4 TE	Gt. 4	Plaintiff(s)		
222	Related Parties The Purdue Frederick	Action Type	State	(Last, First) Laborers' District	Case Caption Laborers' District Council	Court/Case Number
232.		Third Party	Pennsylvania	Council Building &		E.D. Pa. 2:20-cv-04804
	Company Inc.; Richard S. Sackler;	Payor		Construction Health &	Building & Construction Health & Welfare Fund v.	2:20-60-04804
	Jonathan D. Sacklers;			Welfare Fund	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;			wellare Fund	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	Trust for the Benefit of					
	Members of the Raymond					
	Sackler Family;					
	The P.F. Laboratories Inc.;					
	Stuart D. Baker					
233.	Richard Sackler,	Individual	Pennsylvania	Paul Hartman	Paul Hartman v. Richard	E.D.Pa.
	David Sackler, Ilene		-		Sackler, et al.	2:21-cv-02001
	Sackler Lefcourt,					
	Jonathan Sackler,					
	Kathe Sackler,					
	Mortimer Sackler					
	Theresa Sackler, Peter					
	Boer, Judith Lewent,					
	Cecil Pickett, Paulo					
	Costa, Ralph					
	Snyderman, John					
	Stewart, Mark					
	Timney, Craig					
	Landau, and Russell					
	Gasdia					

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 137 of 304

				Underlying		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
234.		Third Party Payor	Pennsylvania	Public Service Insurance Company	Public Service Insurance Company v. Janssen Pharmaceuticals et al.	E.D. Pa. 2:19-cv-05904
	Stuart D. Baker					
235.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sacklers; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; The P.F. Laboratories Inc.; Stuart D. Baker	Third Party Payor	Pennsylvania	Sheet Metal Workers Local 19 Health Fund	Sheet Metal Workers Local 19 Health Fund v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	E.D. Pa. 2:20-cv-04805
236.		Individual	Rhode Island	Heden, Keith D.	Keith D. Heden v. Purdue Pharmaceuticals LLC, et al.	D. R.I. 2:19-cv-00586

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 138 of 304

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
237.	Rhodes Technologies, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler, M.D.; Kathe A. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler	Class Action	Tennessee	Rhodes, Roger; Silvers, Anthony; Spradlen, Lea Anne	Roger Rhodes, Anthony Silvers, and Lea Anne Spradlen, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated v. Rhodes Technologies, Inc., et al.	M.D. Tenn. 3:19-cv-00885
238.	The Purdue Frederick Company; Richard Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Jonathan Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Theresa Sackler; John Stewart; Mark Timney; Craig Landau; Russell Gasdia; Andrew T. Stokes	Hospital	Tennessee	Takoma Regional Hospital, Inc. f/k/a Takoma Hospital, Inc.; Amisub (SFH), Inc.; Baptist Womens Health Center, LLC; Campbell County Hma, LLC; Clarksville Health System, G.P.; Cleveland Tennessee Hospital Company, LLC; Cocke County Hma, LLC; Dickenson Community Hospital; Hawkins County Memorial Hospital f/k/a Hawkins County Memorial Hospital; Jefferson County HMA, LLC; Johnston Memorial Hospital, Inc.; Lebanon HMA, LLC f/k/a Lebanon HMA, Inc.;	Takoma Regional Hospital, Inc. f/k/a/ Takoma Hospital, Inc., et al., v. Purdue Pharma, L.P., et al.	E.D. Tenn. 2:19-cv-00157

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 139 of 304

			Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
			Lexington Hospital		
			Corporation;		
			Metro Knoxville HMA,		
			LLC;		
			Mountain States Health		
			Alliance f/k/a Johnson		
			City Medical Center		
			Hospital, Inc.;		
			Northeast Tennessee		
			Community Health		
			Centers, Inc.;		
			Norton Community		
			Hospital, Saint Francis		
			Hospital — Bartlett,		
			Inc. f/k/a Tenet Health		
			System Bartlett, Inc.;		
			Shelbyville Hospital		
			Company, LLC f/k/a		
			Shelbyville Hospital		
			Corporation;		
			Smyth County		
			Community Hospital;		
			Tullahoma HMA, LLC		
			f/k/a Tullahoma HMA,		
			Inc.;		
			Wellmont Health		
			System F/K/A		
			Brmc/Hvhmc, Inc.		

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 140 of 304

				Underlying		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
239.	The Purdue Frederick	Neonatal	West Virginia	Tilley, Mary	Mary Tilley, as next friend of	S.D. W. Va.
237.	Company, Inc.;	Abstinence	vi est viiginia	11110), 11141)	K.B. Tilley, a minor child	2:19-cv-00566
	Richard S. Sackler;	Syndrome			under the age of 18 v. Purdue	
	Jonathan D. Sackler;	("NAS")			Pharma L.P., et al.	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;				· ·	
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	Trust for the Benefit of					
	Members of the Raymond					
	Sackler Family;					
2.40	The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.	T 1' ' 1 1	****	D I C		W. D. W.
240.	The Purdue Frederick	Individual	Wisconsin	Petty, Larry, Sr.;	Larry Petty, Sr., et al. v.	W.D. Wisc.
	Company Inc.; Richard Sackler			Petty, Elizabeth	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	3:20-cv-00078
I						
	al Government (State Court)	M1:4	A	D-111 - 1 C'4-	D-111 - 1 C'+ A11	M.1. G. to Co. Ct
241.	The Purdue Frederick	Municipality	Arizona	Bullhead City	Bullhead City v. Allergan PLC, et al.	Mohave Cnty. Super. Ct. S8015cv201900591
	Company Inc.; Richard Sackler;				PLC, et al.	S8013CV201900391
	Theresa Sackler;					
	Kathe Sackler;					
	Jonathan Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	David Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt					

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 141 of 304

				Underlying		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
242.	The Purdue Frederick	Municipality	Arizona	City of Glendale	City of Glendale v. Allergan	Maricopa Cnty. Super. Ct.
	Company Inc.;	1 2			PLC, et al.	Case No. CV2019-010792
	Richard Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	Kathe Sackler;					
	Jonathan Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	David Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt					
243.		Municipality	Arizona	City of Prescott	City of Prescott v. Allergan	Yavapai Cnty. Super. Ct.
	Frederick Company, Inc.;				PLC, et al.	P1300cv201900393
	Richard Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	Kathe Sackler;					
	Jonathan Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	David Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt					
244.		Municipality	Arizona	City of Surprise	City of Surprise v. Allergan	Maricopa Cnty. Super. Ct.
	Company Inc.;				PLC, et al.	Case No. CV2019-003439
	Richard Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	Kathe Sackler;					
	Jonathan Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	David Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt					

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 142 of 304

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
245.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt	Municipality	Arizona	County of Apache	County of Apache v. Allergan PLC, et al.	Apache Cnty. Super. Ct. Case No. S0100cv201900101
246.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt	Municipality	Arizona	County of La Paz	County of La Paz v. Allergan PLC, et al.	La Paz Cnty. Super. Ct. Case No. S1500cv201900053
247.		Municipality	Arizona	Pinal County	Pinal County v. Allergan PLC, et al.	Sup. Ct. Pinal Cnty. S1100CV201901448
248.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.	Prosecuting Attorney	Arkansas	State of Arkansas, ex rel. Scott Ellington; County of Arkansas; County of Ashley; County of Baxter; County of Benton; County of Boone; County of Bradley; County of Calhoun;	State of Arkansas, ex rel. Scott Ellington v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct., Crittenden Cnty. CV-2018-268

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 143 of 304

			Underlying		
			Plaintiff(s)		
Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
			County of Chicot;		
			County of Clark;		
			County of Clay;		
			County of Cleburne;		
			County of Columbia;		
			County of Conway;		
			County of Craighead;		
			County of Crawford;		
			County of Cross;		
			County of Dallas;		
			County of Desha;		
			County of Faulkner;		
			County of Franklin;		
			County of Fulton;		
			County of Garland;		
			County of Grant;		
			County of Greene;		
			County of Hempstead;		
			County of Hot Spring;		
			County of Howard;		
			County of		
			Independence;		
			County of Izard;		
			County of Jackson;		
			County of Johnson;		
			County of Lafayette;		
			County of Lawrence;		
			County of Lee;		
			County of Lincoln;		
			County of Little River;		
			County of Logan;		
			County of Lonoke;		
			County of Madison;		
			County of Miller;		
			County of Mississippi;		
			County of Monroe;		

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 144 of 304

			Underlying		
			Plaintiff(s)		
Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
			County of		
			Montgomery;		
			County of Ouachita;		
			County of Perry;		
			County of Phillips;		
			County of Pike;		
			County of Poinsett;		
			County of Polk;		
			County of Pope;		
			County of Prairie;		
			County of Randolph;		
			County of St. Francis;		
			County of Saline;		
			County of Scott;		
			County of Searcy;		
			County of Sebastian;		
			County of Sevier;		
			County of Sharp;		
			County of Stone;		
			County of Union;		
			County of Van Buren;		
			County of Washington;		
			County of White;		
			County of Woodruff;		
			County of Yell;		
			County of Carroll;		
			County of Newton;		
			County of Cleveland;		
			City of Little Rock;		
			City of Fort Smith;		
			City of Springdale;		
			City of Jonesboro;		
			City of North Little		
			Rock;		
			City of Conway;		
			City of Rogers;		
			City of Pine Bluff;		

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 145 of 304

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
249.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Memebers of the Raymond Sackler Family; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler	Municipality	California	City of Bentonville; City of Hot Springs; City of Benton; City of Texarkana; City of Sherwood; City of Jacksonville; City of Monticello City of El Monte, and The People of the State of California, by and through El Monte City Attorney Rick Olivarez	City of El Monte, and The People of the State of California, by and through El Monte City Attorney Rick Olivarez v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	El Monte Cnty. Super. Ct. 19STCV10532

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 146 of 304

				Underlying		
	DI (ID (A 4* TE	G. A	Plaintiff(s)		
250.	Related Parties Beverly Sackler; David A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Jonathan D. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; P.F. Laboratories; PLP Associates Holdings; Purdue Holdings L.P.; Richard S. Sackler; Rosebay Medical Company L.P.; The Beacon Company; The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Theresa Sackler	Action Type Municipality	State California	City of Santa Ana; City of San Clemente; City of Encinitas; City of La Habra; City of La Mesa; City of Oxnard; City of Placentia; The People of the State of California, by and through Santa Ana City Attorney Sonia R. Carvalho, San Clemente City Attorney Scott C. Smith, Encinitas City Attorney Glenn Sabine, La Habra City Attorney Richard D. Jones, La Mesa City Attorney Glenn Sabine, Oxnard City Attorney Stephen Fischer, and Placentia City Attorney Christian Bettenhausen	Case Caption City of Santa Ana, et al. v. Actavis Pharma, Inc., et al.	Super. Ct. Orange Cnty. 30-2019-01101802
251.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Memebers of the Raymond Sackler Family; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler	Municipality	California	County of Kern, and The People of the State of California, by and through Kern County Counsel Margo Raison	County of Kern, and The People of the State of California, by and through Kern County Counsel Margo Raison v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Kern Cnty. Super. Ct. BCV-19-100861

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 147 of 304

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
252.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	California	The People of the State of California, acting by and through Santa Clara County Counsel James R. Williams, Orange County District Attorney Tony Rackauckas, Los Angeles County Counsel Mary C. Wickham, and Oakland City Attorney Barbara J. Parker	The People of the State of California, acting by and through Santa Clara County Counsel James R. Williams, Orange County District Attorney Tony Rackauckas, Los Angeles County Counsel Mary C. Wickham, and Oakland City Attorney Barbara J. Parker v. Purdue Pharam L.P., et al.	Orange County Super. Ct. 30-2014-00725287-CU-BT-CXC (Short version: 14-725287)
253.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Connecticut	City of Ansonia; The City of Danbury; The City of Derby; The City of Norwalk	The City of Ansonia, The City of Danbury, The City of Derby, and The City of Norwalk v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Hartford State Super. Ct. HHD-CV-18-6098036-S
254.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Connecticut	City of Bridgeport; The Borough of Naugatuck; The Town of Southbury; The Town of Woodbury; The Town of Fairfield; The Town of Beacon Falls; The City of Milford; The Town of Oxford; The City of West Haven; The Town of North Haven; The Town of Thomaston;	The City of Bridgeport, et al. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Hartford State Super. Ct. HHD-CV-18-6088462-S

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 148 of 304

				Underlying		
	Related Parties	A ation Tyme	State	Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	Related Farties	Action Type	State	The City of Torrington;	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
				The City of Portington, The City of Bristol;		
				The Town of East		
				Hartford;		
				The Town of		
				Southington;		
				The Town of		
				Newtown; The City of		
				Shelton; The Town of		
				Tolland		
255.	The Purdue Frederick	Municipality	Connecticut	City of New Britain	City of New Britain v.	Hartford State Super. Ct.
	Company Inc.			-	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	HHD-CV-18-6087132-S
256.	The Purdue Frederick	Municipality	Connecticut	City of New Haven	City of New Haven v.	Hartford State Super. Ct.
	Company Inc.				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	HHD-CV-17-6086134-S
257.	The Purdue Frederick	Municipality	Connecticut	City of New London	The City of New London v.	Hartford State Super. Ct.
	Company Inc.				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	HHD-CV-18-6094421-S
258.	The Purdue Frederick	Municipality	Connecticut	City of Waterbury	City of Waterbury v. Purdue	Hartford State Super. Ct.
	Company Inc.				Pharma L.P., et al.	HHD-CV-17-6088121-S
259.		Municipality	Connecticut	Town of Stratford;	The Town of Stratford; The	Hartford State Super. Ct.
	Company Inc.			The Town of Berlin;	Town of Berlin; The Town	HHD-CV-18-6099290-S
				The Town of	of Middlebury; The Town of	
				Middlebury;	Seymour; The Town of	
				The Town of Seymour;	Prospect; The Town of	
				The Town of Prospect;	Wolcott; The Town of	
				The Town of Wolcott; The Town of	Bethlehem; The Town of	
				Bethlehem;	New Milford; The Town of	
				The Town of New	Roxbury; and The Town of Coventry v. Purdue Pharma	
				Milford;	L.P., et al.	
				The Town of Roxbury;	L.1 ., et al.	
				The Town of Coventry		
260.	The Purdue Frederick	Municipality	Connecticut	Town of Wallingford	Town of Wallingford v.	Hartford State Super. Ct.
	Company Inc.			a contract of the same state of the	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	HHD-CV-18-6094422-S
261.	The Purdue Frederick	Municipality	Illinois	City of Burbank	The City of Burbank v.	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty.
	Company Inc.	, ,			Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2018L012659
262.	The Purdue Frederick	Municipality	Illinois	City of Countryside	The City of Countryside v.	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty.
	Company Inc.				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2018L012640

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 149 of 304

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
263.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Illinois	City of Granite City, Illinois	City of Granite City, Illinois v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corp., et al.	Cir. Ct. Madison Cnty. 2018-L-00587 Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-010351
264.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Illinois	City of Sesser	City of Sesser v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2019-L-008147
265.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Illinois	County of Lake; Michael Nerheim, Lake County State's Attorney; Mark C. Curran, Jr., Lake County Sheriff; Dr. Howard Cooper, Lake County Coroner; The County of Lake in the Name of the People of the State of Illinois	County of Lake, et al. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-003728
266.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois and Boone County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois and Boone County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Boone Cnty. 2018-L-000007 Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-004539
267.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Bureau County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois and Bureau County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-004542
268.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois and Champaign County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois and Champaign County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Champaign Cnty. 2018-L-000006 Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-005935
269.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Cook County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois and Cook County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Cook County 2017-L-013180

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 150 of 304

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
270.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and DeKalb County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and DeKalb County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. DeKalb Cnty. 2018-L-000072 Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-013655
271.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and DuPage County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois and DuPage County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-004542
272.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Henry County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Henry County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Henry Cnty. 2018-L-000016 Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-012690
273.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Jersey County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Jersey County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-003908
274.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Kane County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois and Kane County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Kankakee Cnty. 2017-L-000104 Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-004538
275.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Kankakee County	The People of the State of Illinois, and Kankakee County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Kankakee Cnty. 2017-L-000104 Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-004538
276.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Kendall County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Kendall County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Kendall Cnty. 2018-L-000078 Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-012741

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 151 of 304

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
277.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Sutart D. Baker	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and LaSalle County	The People of the State of Illinois and LaSalle County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. LaSalle Cnty. 2019-L-000052 Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2019-L-008722
278.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Macon County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Macon County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-002916
279.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Macoupin County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Macoupin County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Macoupin Cnty. 2018-L-000030 Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-013247
280.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and McHenry County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and McHenry County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-002948

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 152 of 304

	Dalated Dauties	Action Type	Stata	Underlying Plaintiff(s)	Casa Cantian	Count/Cogo Number
281.	Related Parties The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Sutart D. Baker	Action Type Municipality	State Illinois	(Last, First) The People of the State of Illinois, and McLean County, Illinois	Case Caption The People of the State of Illinois and McLean County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al.	Cir. Ct. McLean Cnty. 2019-L-0000108
282.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Piatt County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Piatt County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Piatt Cnty. 2018-L-000007 Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-012689
283.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Will County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Will County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-004546
284.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Illinois	Village of Bedford Park	Village of Bedford Park v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-008819
285.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Illinois	Village of Bridgeview	Village of Bridgeview v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-009526
286.		Municipality	Illinois	Village of Evergreen Park	Village of Evergreen Park v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-012652
287.		Municipality	Illinois	Village of Hodgkins	Village of Hodgkins v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-009848
288.		Municipality	Illinois	Village of Lyons	Village of Lyons v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-008746
289.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Illinois	Village of Summit	Village of Summit v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-008803

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 153 of 304

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
290.	Rhodes Technologies, Inc.	Municipality Municipality	Louisiana	City of Vineland	City of Vineland v. Teva Pharmaceutical Industries, Ltd. et al.	Super. Ct. Cumberland Cnty. CUM-L-00422-22
291.	The Purdue Frederick Company; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Jonathan D. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Richard S. Sackler; David Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler	Municipality	Maryland	Anne Arundel County	Anne Arundel County, Maryland v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Anne Arundel Cnty. C-02-CV-18-000021
292.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt	Municipality	Maryland	Mayor & City Council of Baltimore	Mayor & City Council of Baltimore v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Baltimore City 25C1800515
293.		Municipality	Massachusetts	City of Boston; The Boston Public Health Commission; The Boston Housing Authority	City of Boston, The Boston Public Health Commission, The Boston Housing Authority v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 1884CV02860B

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 154 of 304

				Underlying		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
294.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler;	Municipality	Massachusetts	City of Cambridge	City of Cambridge v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. Middlesex Cnty. 19-1044
	David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt					
295.		Municipality	Massachusetts	City of Chicopee	City of Chicopee v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. Hampden Cnty. 1979CV00074
296.		Municipality	Massachusetts	City of Framingham	City of Framingham v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. Middlesex Cnty. 18-3483

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 155 of 304

				Underlying		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
297.	The Purdue Frederick	Municipality	Massachusetts	City of Gloucester	City of Gloucester v. Purdue	Super. Ct. Essex Cnty.
	Company Inc.			-	Pharma L.P., et al.	1877CV01773
	Richard Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	Kathe Sackler;					
	Jonathan Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	David Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt					
298.	The Purdue Frederick	Municipality	Massachusetts	City of Haverhill	City of Haverhill v. Purdue	Super. Ct. Essex Cnty.
	Company Inc.			-	Pharma L.P., et al.	1899CV01762A
	Richard Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	Kathe Sackler;					
	Jonathan Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	David Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt					
299.	The Purdue Frederick	Municipality	Massachusetts	City of Salem	City of Salem v. Purdue	Super. Ct. Essex Cnty.
	Company Inc.				Pharma L.P., et al.	1899CV01767A
	Richard Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	Kathe Sackler;					
	Jonathan Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	David Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt					

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 156 of 304

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
300.	The Purdue Frederick	Municipality	Massachusetts	City of Worcester	City of Worcester v. Purdue	Super. Ct. Suffolk Cnty.
	Company Inc.;				Pharma L.P., et al.	No. 1984CV000543
	Richard Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	Kathe Sackler;					
	Jonathan Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	David Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt					
301.		Municipality	Massachusetts	Town of Canton	Town of Canton v. Purdue	Super. Ct. Norfolk Cnty.
	Company Inc.				Pharma L.P., et al.	18-1582
	Richard Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	Kathe Sackler;					
	Jonathan Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	David Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt					
302.		Municipality	Massachusetts	Town of Lynnfield	Town of Lynnfield v. Purdue	Super. Ct. Essex Cnty.
	Company Inc.				Pharma L.P., et al.	1899CV01769D
	Richard Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	Kathe Sackler;					
	Jonathan Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	David Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt					

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 157 of 304

				Underlying		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
303.	The Purdue Frederick	Municipality	Massachusetts	Town of Natick	Town of Natick v. Purdue	Super. Ct. Middlesex
	Company Inc.;				Pharma L.P., et al.	Cnty.
	Richard Sackler;					19-646
	Theresa Sackler;					
	Kathe Sackler;					
	Jonathan Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	David Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt					
304.	The Purdue Frederick	Municipality	Massachusetts	Town of Randolph	Town of Randolph v. Purdue	Super. Ct. Norfolk Cnty.
	Company Inc;				Pharma L.P., et al.	1982CV00400
	Richard Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	Kathe Sackler;					
	Jonathan Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	David Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt					
305.		Municipality	Massachusetts	Town of Springfield	Town of Springfield v.	Super. Ct. Hampden Cnty.
	Company Inc.				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	18-938
	Richard Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	Kathe Sackler;					
	Jonathan Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	David Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt					

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 158 of 304

	DI (ID C	A 41 TE	G	Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
206	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
306.		Municipality	Massachusetts	Town of Wakefield	Town of Wakefield v.	Super. Ct. Middlesex
	Company Inc.				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cnty.
	Richard Sackler;					18-3458
	Theresa Sackler;					
	Kathe Sackler;					
	Jonathan Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	David Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt					
307.	Beverly Sackler;	Municipality	Missouri	Polk County, Missouri	Polk County, Missouri v.	Cir. Ct. Polk Cnty.
	David A. Sackler;				Allergan PLC, et al.	1922-CC11660
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler					

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 159 of 304

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
308.		Municipality	Missouri	Jefferson County; Butler County; Cape Girardeau County; Christian County; City of Independence; City of Joplin; Crawford County; Dent County; Dunklin County; Franklin County; Franklin County; Greene County; Iron County; Jasper County; Madison County; Perry County; Ste. Genevieve County; Stone County; Taney County; Texas County; Washington County	Jefferson County, et al. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	22nd Judicial Circuit Court, St. Louis City 1922-CC00203
309.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; David A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; PLP Associates Holdings L.P.; Rosebay Medical Company L.P.; Beacon Company; Aida B. Maxsam	Municipality	Nevada	City of Henderson	City of Henderson v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Eighth Jud. Dist Ct. Clark Cnty. A-19-800695-B Dept. 11

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 160 of 304

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
310.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; David A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; PLP Associates Holdings L.P.; Rosebay Medical Company L.P.; Beacon Company; Aida B. Maxsam	Municipality	Nevada	City of Las Vegas	City of Las Vegas v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Eighth Jud. Dist Ct. Clark Cnty. A-19-800697-B Dept. 27
311.		Municipality	Nevada	City of North Las Vegas	City of North Las Vegas v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Eighth Jud. Dist Ct. Clark Cnty. A-19-800699-B Dept. 11
312.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Aida B. Maxsam	Municipality	Nevada	City of Reno	City of Reno v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Eighth Jud. Dist Ct. Washoe Cnty. CV18-01895

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 161 of 304

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
313.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt	Municipality	New Jersey	City of Trenton	City of Trenton v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. NJ, Mercer Cnty. MER-L001167-19
314.		Municipality	New Jersey	County of Ocean, New Jersey	County of Ocean, New Jersey v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. NJ, Ocean Cnty. OCN-L-001474-19

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 162 of 304

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
315.		Municipality	New York	City of Albany	City of Albany v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400004/2019
316.		Municipality	New York	City of Alma, GA; Village of Amityville, NY; Town of Babylon, NY; Village of Babylon, NY; Village of Babylon, NY; Bacon County, GA; City of Bayonne, NJ; Village of Bellport, NY; City of Blackshear, GA; Town of Brookhaven, NY; City of Brunswick, GA; Chatham County, GA; Town of Clarkstown, NY;	City of Alma, GA, et al. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400031/2019

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 163 of 304

			Underlying		
DI (ID (G	Plaintiff(s)		
Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
			City of Clifton, NJ;		
			Town of Clinton, NJ;		
			Dade County, GA;		
			City of Demorest, GA; East Hampton Village,		
			NY;		
			Village of East		
			Rockaway, NY;		
			City of Elizabeth, NJ;		
			Village of Farmingdale,		
			NY;		
			Village of Floral Park,		
			NY;		
			Village of Garden City,		
			NY;		
			Village of Greenport,		
			NY;		
			Town of Haverstraw,		
			NY;		
			Town of Hempstead,		
			NY;		
			Town of Huntington,		
			NY;		
			Village of Island Park,		
			NY;		
			Village of Islandia,		
			NY;		
			Town of Islip, NY;		
			Village of Lake Grove,		
			NY;		
			Village of Lawrence,		
			NY;		
			Village of Lindenhurst,		
			NY;		
			Village of Lloyd		
			Harbor, NY;		

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 164 of 304

				Underlying		
	DI (ID (A 4 TE	G	Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First) City of Long Beach,	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
				NY;		
				Village of Lynbrook,		
				NY;		
				Village of Massapequa		
				Park, NY;		
				Village of Mill Neck,		
				NY;		
				Village of Millerton,		
				NY;		
				Village of New Hyde		
				Park, NY;		
				Village of Nissequoge,		
				NY;		
				Town of North		
				Hempstead, NY;		
				Village of Northport,		
				NY;		
				Village of Old		
				Westbury, NY;		
				Town of Orangetown,		
				NY;		
				Town of Oyster Bay,		
				NY;		
				Borough of Paramus, NJ;		
				Village of Patchogue, NY;		
				Pierce County, GA;		
				City of Pooler, GA;		
1				Village of Poquott, NY;		
				Village of Port		
				Washington North,		
				NY;		
				City of Richmond Hill,		
				GA;		

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 165 of 304

Deleted Deuties	A ation Toma	Chaha	Underlying Plaintiff(s)	Care Continu	Count/Coop Number
Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
			Town of Riverhead,		
			NY;		
			Village of Saltaire, NY;		
			Town of Smithtown,		
			NY;		
			Town of Southampton,		
			NY;		
			Town of Southold, NY;		
			Village of Stewart		
			Manor, NY;		
			Village of Suffern, NY;		
			Village of Valley		
			Stream, NY;		
			Village of the Branch,		
			NY;		
			Town of Wappinger,		
			NY;		
			Village of Wappinger		
			Falls, NY;		
			Village of West		
			Hampton Dunes, NY;		
			Village of West		
			Haverstraw, NY;		
			Village of Westbury,		
			NY;		
			Village of Hempstead,		
			NY		

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 166 of 304

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
317.	Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; David A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler;	Municipality	New York	The City of Buffalo	The City of Buffalo v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Erie Cnty. 811359-2019
	Stuart D. Baker					
318.	The Purdue Frederick Company; The P.F. Laboratories; PRA Holdings, Inc.; Pharmaceutical Research Associates, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Coventry Technologies L.P.; PLP Associates Holdings L.P.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Beverly Sackler, Richard S. Sackler, and Jonathan D. Sackler, as Trustees under Trust Agreement dated November 5, 1974	Municipality	New York	City of Ithaca	City of Ithaca v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty 400002/2018

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 167 of 304

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
319.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	New York	City of Mount Vernon	The City of Mount Vernon v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400016/2019
320.	The Purdue Frederick	Municipality	New York	City of New York	City of New York v. Purdue	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty
320.	Company Inc.;	Municipanty	New York	City of New York	Pharma L.P., et al.	40006/2018
	The P.F. Laboratories Inc.;				rnama L.r., et al.	400000/2018
	Rhodes Technologies Inc;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Trust for the Benefit of					
	Members of the Raymond					
	Sackler Family					
321.		Municipality	New York	City of Plattsburgh	City of Plattsburgh v. Purdue	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty.
	Company Inc.;				Pharma L.P., et al.	400003/2019
	The P.F. Laboratories Inc.;					
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Trust for the Benefit of					
	Members of the Raymond					
	Sackler Family;					
	Stuart D. Baker					

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 168 of 304

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
322.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Stuart D. Baker	Municipality	New York	City of Schenectady	City of Schenectady v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400005/2019
323.		Municipality	New York	City of Troy	City of Troy v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400006/2019

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 169 of 304

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
324.		Municipality	New York	City of Yonkers	City of Yonkers v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400020/2019
325.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker;	Municipality	New York	County of Broome	County of Broome v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400002/2017

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 170 of 304

				Underlying		
	B		g, ,	Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	Trust for the Benefit of					
	Members of the Raymond					
226	Sackler Family	3.6 1.4	37 1	G + 5G #	TI C + CC +	
326.		Municipality	New York	County of Cattaraugus	The County of Cattaraugus	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty.
227	Company Inc. The Purdue Frederick	M11'	New York	G t- CG	v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	400027/2019
327.		Municipality	New York	County of Cayuga	The County of Cayuga v.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400013/2019
328.	Company Inc. The Purdue Frederick	Municipality	New York	County of Chautauqua	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al. The County of Chautauqua	Sup. Ct. Chautauqua Cnty.
328.	Company Inc.	Municipanty	New York	County of Chautauqua	v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	KI-2018-57
329.	The Purdue Frederick	Municipality	New York	County of Chenango	The County of Chenango v.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty.
329.	Company Inc.	Municipanty	New York	County of Chenango	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	400021/2019
330.		Municipality	New York	County of Clinton	The County of Clinton v.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty
330.	Company Inc.;	Withincipanity	New Tolk	County of Chilton	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	400003/2018
	The P.F. Laboratories;				Turdue Tharma E.T., et al.	400003/2018
	PRA Holdings, Inc.;					
	Pharmaceutical Research					
	Associates, Inc.;					
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	Coventry Technologies L.P.;					
	PLP Associates Holdings L.P.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Beverly Sackler, Richard S.					
	Sackler, and Jonathan D.					
	Sackler, as Trustees under					
	Trust Agreement dated					
	November 5, 1974					

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 171 of 304

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
331.		Municipality	New York	County of Columbia	County of Columbia v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400015/2018
332.		Municipality	New York	County of Cortland	County of Cortland v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400019/2018

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 172 of 304

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
333.		Municipality	New York	County of Dutchess	County of Dutchess v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400005/2017
334.	,	Municipality	New York	County of Erie	County of Erie v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400003/2017
335.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	New York	County of Essex	County of Essex v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty 400019/2019

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 173 of 304

				Underlying		
	Dalata I Daniela	A -4* T	St-t-	Plaintiff(s)	Cara Caratian	Carrell Carrell Name Is an
336.	Related Parties The Purdue Frederick	Action Type Municipality	State New York	(Last, First) County of Franklin	Case Caption County of Franklin v. Purdue	Court/Case Number Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty
330.	Company Inc.;	Municipanty	New Tolk	County of Frankini	Pharma L.P., et al.	400012/2018
	The P.F. Laboratories;				I Harma E.i ., et al.	400012/2010
	PRA Holdings, Inc.;					
	Pharmaceutical Research					
	Associates, Inc.;					
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	Coventry Technologies L.P.;					
	PLP Associates Holdings L.P.					
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Beverly Sackler, Richard S.					
	Sackler, and Jonathan D. Sackler, as Trustees under					
	Trust Agreement dated					
	November 5, 1974					
337.	·	Municipality	New York	County of Fulton	County of Fulton v. Purdue	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty.
337.	Company Inc.;	Withinerpairty	New Tork	County of Fution	Pharma L.P., et al.	400018/2018
	The P.F. Laboratories Inc.;				Thaina E.i., et al.	100010/2010
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 174 of 304

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	Stuart D. Baker; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	Tredon Type	State	(Zast, Trot)	Cust cupitor	Court Case Number
338.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories; PRA Holdings, Inc.; Pharmaceutical Research Associates, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Coventry Technologies L.P.; PLP Associates Holdings L.P.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Beverly Sackler, Richard S. Sackler, and Jonathan D. Sackler, as Trustees under Trust Agreement dated November 5, 1974	Municipality	New York	County of Genesee	County of Genesee v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400011/2018
339.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler;	Municipality	New York	County of Greene	County of Greene v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400008/2018

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 175 of 304

				Underlying		
			-	Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Trust for the Benefit of					
	Members of the Raymond					
	Sackler Family					
340.	The Purdue Frederick	Municipality	New York	County of Hamilton	County of Hamilton v.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty
	Company Inc.;				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	400005/2018
	The P.F. Laboratories;					
	PRA Holdings, Inc.;					
	Pharmaceutical Research					
	Associates, Inc.;					
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	Coventry Technologies L.P.;					
	PLP Associates Holdings L.P.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Beverly Sackler, Richard S.					
	Sackler, and Jonathan D.					
	Sackler, as Trustees under					
	Trust Agreement dated					
	November 5, 1974					

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 176 of 304

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
341.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond	Municipality	New York	County of Herkimer	County of Herkimer v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400008/2019
342.	Sackler Family The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Stuart D. Baker	Municipality	New York	County of Lewis	County of Lewis v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400007/2019
343.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	New York	County of Livingston	County of Livingston v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400013/2018

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 177 of 304

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
344.	The Purdue Frederick	Municipality	New York	County of Madison	County of Madison v.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty.
	Company Inc.				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	400028/2019
345.	The Purdue Frederick	Municipality	New York	County of Monroe	County of Monroe v. Purdue	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty.
	Company Inc.;				Pharma L.P., et al.	400017/2018
	The P.F. Laboratories Inc.;					
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Trust for the Benefit of					
	Members of the Raymond					
	Sackler Family					
346.	The Purdue Frederick	Municipality	New York	County of Montgomery	The County of Montgomery	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty.
	Company Inc.;				v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	400009/2019
	The P.F. Laboratories Inc.;					
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Trust for the Benefit of					
	Members of the Raymond					
	Sackler Family					

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 178 of 304

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
347.		Municipality	New York	County of Nassau	County of Nassau v. Purdue	Sup. Ct. Suffolk County
	Company Inc.;				Pharma L.P., et al.	400008/2017
	The P.F. Laboratories;					
	PRA Holdings, Inc.;					
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	Pharmaceutical Research					
	Associates, Inc.;					
	Coventry Technologies L.P.;					
	PLP Associates Holdings L.P.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Beverly Sackler, Richard S.					
	Sackler, and Jonathan D.					
	Sackler, as Trustees under					
	Trust Agreement dated					
	November 5, 1974					
348.		Municipality	New York	County of Niagara	County of Niagara v. Purdue	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty.
	Company Inc.;				Pharma L.P., et al.	400012/2017
	The P.F. Laboratories;					
	PRA Holdings, Inc.;					
	Pharmaceutical Research					
	Associates, Inc.;					
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	Coventry Technologies L.P.;					
	PLP Associates Holdings L.P.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 179 of 304

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Beverly Sackler, Richard S.					
	Sackler, and Jonathan D.					
	Sackler, as Trustees under					
	Trust Agreement dated					
2.40	November 5, 1974	3.6	37 37 1	G	TT C CO	G G G C II G
349.		Municipality	New York	County of Ontario	The County of Ontario v.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty.
	Company Inc.;				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	400001-2019
	The P.F. Laboratories Inc.;					
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Trust for the Benefit of					
	Members of the Raymond					
	Sackler Family					

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 180 of 304

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
350.		Municipality	New York	County of Orange	County of Orange v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400004/2017
351.	,	Municipality	New York	County of Oswego	County of Oswego v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400007/2018
352.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	New York	County of Otsego	County of Otsego v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400023/2019

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 181 of 304

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
353.		Municipality	New York	County of Putnam	County of Putnam v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400014/2019
354.	Company Inc. The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories; PRA Holdings, Inc.; Pharmaceutical Research Associates, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Coventry Technologies L.P.; PLP Associates Holdings; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Beverly Sackler, Richard S. Sackler, and Jonathan D. Sackler, as Trustees under Trust Agreement dated	Municipality	New York	County of Rensselaer	Pharma L.P., et al. County of Rensselaer v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	400014/2019 Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400011/2017

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 182 of 304

				Underlying		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
355.		Municipality	New York	County of Saratoga	County of Saratoga v.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty
	Company Inc.;				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	400009/2018
	The P.F. Laboratories;					
	PRA Holdings, Inc.;					
	Pharmaceutical Research					
	Associates, Inc.;					
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	Coventry Technologies L.P.;					
	PLP Associates Holdings L.P.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Beverly Sackler, Richard S.					
	Sackler, and Jonathan D.					
	Sackler, as Trustees under					
	Trust Agreement dated					
	November 5, 1974					
356.	,	Municipality	New York	County of Schenectady	County of Schenectady v.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty.
	Company Inc.;	1 ,			Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	400009/2017
	The P.F. Laboratories;					
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 183 of 304

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	Stuart D. Baker; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	retion Type	State	(1343), 1 1131)	Case Caption	Courty Case Number
357.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories; PRA Holdings, Inc.; Pharmaceutical Research Associates, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Coventry Technologies L.P.; PLP Associates Holdings L.P.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Stuart D. Baker; Beverly Sackler, Richard S. Sackler, and Jonathan D. Sackler, as Trustees under Trust Agreement dated November 5, 1974	Municipality	New York	County of Schoharie	County of Schoharie v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400010/2017
358.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories; PRA Holdings, Inc.; Pharmaceutical Research Associates, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Coventry Technologies L.P.;	Municipality	New York	County of Schuyler	County of Schuyler v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400014/2018

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 184 of 304

				Underlying		
	Related Parties	A ation Tyme	State	Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	PLP Associates Holdings L.P.;	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Beverly Sackler, Richard S.					
	Sackler, and Jonathan D.					
	Sackler, as Trustees under					
	Trust Agreement dated					
	November 5, 1974					
359.		Municipality	New York	County of Seneca	County of Seneca v. Purdue	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty.
	Company Inc.;			•	Pharma L.P., et al.	400006/2017
	The P.F. Laboratories Inc.;					
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Trust for the Benefit of					
	Members of the Raymond					
	Sackler Family					

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 185 of 304

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
360.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	Municipality	New York	County of St. Lawrence	County of St. Lawrence v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk County 400002/2019
361.		Municipality	New York	County of Steuben	County of Steuben v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty 400004/2018

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 186 of 304

				Underlying		
	Dalata I Daniela	A - 42 T	CALA	Plaintiff(s)	Com Continu	Count/County
	Related Parties Beverly Sackler, Richard S.	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	Sackler, and Jonathan D.					
	Sackler, as Trustees under					
	Trust Agreement dated					
	November 5, 1974					
362.	The Purdue Frederick	Municipality	New York	County of Suffolk	County of Suffolk v. Purdue	Sup. Ct. Suffolk County
	Company Inc.;				Pharma L.P., et al.	400001/2017
	The P.F. Laboratories;					
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Trust for the Benefit of					
	Members of the Raymond					
	Sackler Family					
363.	-	Municipality	New York	County of Sullivan	County of Sullivan v. Purdue	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty.
	Company Inc.;				Pharma L.P., et al.	400007/2017
	The P.F. Laboratories;					
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 187 of 304

				Underlying		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	Trust for the Benefit of	rection Type	State		case caption	Court case (valide)
	Members of the Raymond					
	Sackler Family					
364.	The Purdue Frederick	Municipality	New York	County of Tioga	County of Tioga v. Purdue	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty.
	Company Inc.				Pharma L.P., et al.	400022/2019
365.	The Purdue Frederick	Municipality	New York	County of Tompkins	County of Tompkins v.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty
	Company Inc.;				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	400001/2018
	The P.F. Laboratories;					
	PRA Holdings, Inc.;					
	Pharmaceutical Research					
	Associates, Inc.;					
	Coventry Technologies L.P.;					
	PLP Associates Holdings L.P.;					
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals L.P.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Beverly Sackler, Richard S.					
	Sackler, and Jonathan D.					
	Sackler, as Trustees under					
	Trust Agreement dated					
	November 5, 1974					

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 188 of 304

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
366.		Municipality	New York	County of Ulster	County of Ulster v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400011-2019
367.		Municipality	New York	County of Warren	County of Warren v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400030/2019
368.		Municipality	New York	County of Washington	County of Washington v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400010/2019

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 189 of 304

				Underlying		
	Dalada I Bandha	A -4* T	64-4-	Plaintiff(s)	Com Continu	Complete Name Land
369.	Related Parties The Purdue Frederick	Action Type Municipality	State New York	(Last, First) County of Westchester	Case Caption County of Westchester v.	Court/Case Number Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty.
309.	Company Inc.;	WithinCipality	New Tolk	County of Westerlester	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	400010/2018
	The P.F. Laboratories;				i didde i naima E.i ., et ai.	400010/2010
	PRA Holdings, Inc.;					
	Pharmaceutical Research					
	Associates, Inc.;					
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	Coventry Technologies L.P.;					
	PLP Associates Holdings L.P.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Beverly Sackler, Richard S.					
	Sackler, and Jonathan D. Sackler, as Trustees under					
	Trust Agreement dated					
	November 5, 1974					
370.	·	Municipality	New York	County of Wyoming	County of Wyoming v.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty.
370.	Company Inc.;	Withinerpairty	New Tork	County of Wyoming	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	400013/2018
	The P.F. Laboratories Inc.;				i didde i naima E.i ., et ai.	100013/2010
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 190 of 304

	Deleted Deuties	Action True	Stata	Underlying Plaintiff(s)	Casa Cantian	Count/Coss Number
	Stuart D. Baker; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
371.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	New York	Town of Amherst	Town of Amherst v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Erie Cnty. 803887-2018
372.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	New York	Town of Cheektowaga	Town of Cheektowaga v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Erie Cnty. 806151-2018
373.		Municipality	New York	Town of Lancaster	Town of Lancaster v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Erie Cnty. 809160-2018
374.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	New York	Town of Tonawanda	Town of Tonawanda v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Erie Cnty. 810783-2018
375.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	New York	The Town of Wappinger, New York	The Town of Wappinger, New York v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Dutchess Cnty. 2019-54549
376.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	New York	The Village of Wappingers Falls, New York	The Village of Wappingers Falls, New York v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Dutchess Cnty. 2019-53838
377.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Ohio	The County of Medina, Ohio; The State of Ohio ex rel. Prosecuting Attorney of Medina County, S. Forrest Thompson	The County of Medina, Ohio; The State of Ohio ex rel. Prosecuting Attorney of Medina County, S. Forrest Thompson v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Medina Cnty. 19-CIV-0838
378.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Cleveland County	Board of County Commissioners of Cleveland County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	D. Ct. Cleveland Cnty. CJ-2019-592
379.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Greer County	Board of County Commissioners of Greer County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	D. Ct. Greer Cnty. CJ-2019-12
380.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Hughes County	Board of County Commissioners of Hughes County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	D. Ct. Hughes Cnty. CJ-2019-36

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 191 of 304

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
381.		Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of McCurtain County	Board of County Commissioners of McCurtain County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	D. Ct. McCurtain Cnty. CJ-2019-54
382.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Noble County	Board of County Commissioners of Noble County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	D. Ct. Noble Cnty. CJ-2019-05
383.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Oklahoma	City of Burns Flat	City of Burns Flat v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	D. Ct. Washita Cnty. CJ-2019-29
384.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Armstrong County	Armstrong County, PA v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Armstrong Cty. 2017-1570-CV
385.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Beaver County, Pennsylvania	Beaver County, Pennsylvania v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Beaver Cnty 11326-2017
386.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Bucks County	Bucks County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Bucks Cnty. No. 2018-03144
387.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Cambria County, Pennsylvania	Cambria County, Pennsylvania v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Cambria Cnty 2017-4131
388.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Craig Landau; John Stewart; Mark Timney	Municipality	Pennsylvania	City of Lock Haven	City of Lock Haven v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Clinton Cnty. 1126-2018
389.		Municipality	Pennsylvania	City of Philadelphia	City of Philadelphia v. Allergan PLC, et al.	C.P. Philadelphia January Term, 2018 No. 02718

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 192 of 304

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
390.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	City of Pittsburgh	City of Pittsburgh v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Allegheny Cnty. 18-006153
391.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Clearfield County, Pennsylvania	Clearfield County, Pennsylvania v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Clearfield Cnty. 2018-1484-CD
392.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Clinton County	Clinton County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Clinton Cnty. 752-2018
393.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, acting by and through John T. Adams, the District Attorney of Berks County	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, acting by and through John T. Adams, the District Attorney of Berks County v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corp., et al.	C.P. Berks Cnty. 19-18232
394.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, acting by and through Francis T. Chardo, the District Attorney of Dauphin County	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, acting by and through Francis T. Chardo, the District Attorney of Dauphin County v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corp., et al.	C.P. Dauphin Cnty., 12th Jud. Dist. 2019-CV-7795-CV
395.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, acting by and through Jack Daneri, the District Attorney of Erie County	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, acting by and through Jack Daneri, the District Attorney of Erie County v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corp., et al.	C.P. Erie Cnty., 6th Jud. Dist. 12837-19
396.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, acting by and through Terence P. Houck, the District Attorney of Northampton County	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, acting by and through Terence P. Houck, the District Attorney of Northampton County v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corp., et al.	C.P. Northampton County C-48-CV-2020-3440

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 193 of 304

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
397.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Commonwealth of PA, acting by James Martin; People of Lehigh County and Lehigh County, PA	Commonwealth of PA, acting by James Martin; People of Lehigh County and Lehigh County, PA v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Lehigh Cnty. 2018-C-0716
398.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, acting by and through John W. Peck, the District Attorney of Westmoreland County	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, acting by and through John W. Peck, the District Attorney of Westmoreland County v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corp., et al.	C.P. Westmoreland Cnty. 2561-2020
399.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, acting by and through Deborah S. Ryan, the District Attorney of Chester County	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, acting by and through Deborah S. Ryan, the District Attorney of Chester County v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corp., et al.	C.P. Chester Cnty. 2020-04553
400.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies, Inc.; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Stuart D. Baker; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer S.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Davis A. Sackler; The P.G. Laboratories	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, Acting by and through Ryan P. Sayers, the District Attorney of Clearfield County	District Attorney of Clearfield County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al	C.P. Clearfield Cnty. 2020-1056-CO

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 194 of 304

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
401.	The Purdue Frederick	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Commonwealth of	Commonwealth of	C.P. Delaware Cnty.
	Company Inc.			Pennsylvania, Acting	Pennsylvania, Acting by and	CV-2020-002026
				by and through Jack	through Jack Stollsteimer,	
				Stollsteimer, the	the District Attorney of	
				District Attorney of	Delaware County v.	
				Delaware County	AmerisourceBergen	
402.	The Purdue Frederick	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Commonwealth of	Commonwealth of	C.P. Bucks Cnty.
	Company Inc.			Pennsylvania, Acting	Pennsylvania, Acting by and	2020-00639
				by and through	through Matthew D.	
				Matthew D. Weintraub,	Weintraub, the District	
				the District Attorney of	Attorney of Bucks County v.	
				Bucks County	AmerisourceBergen Drug	
					Corp., et al.	
403.	The Purdue Frederick	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Commonwealth of PA,	Commonwealth of PA,	C.P. Delaware Cnty.
	Company Inc.			acting by and through	acting by and through	CV-2017-008095
				Philadelphia District	Philadelphia District	
				Attorney Lawrence S.	Attorney Lawrence S.	Phila. Ct. Com. Pl.
				Krasner	Krasner v. Purdue Pharma	January Term 2018, No.
					L.P., et al.	05594
404.	The Purdue Frederick	Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of Allegheny	County of Allegheny v.	C.P. Allegheny Cnty.
	Company Inc.				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	18-006155
405.	The Purdue Frederick	Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of Bradford	County of Bradford v.	C.P. Bradford Cnty.
	Company Inc.				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-CV-0059

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 195 of 304

				Underlying		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Plaintiff(s)	Case Cantion	Court/Case Number
406.	Related Parties The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family, The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.,	Action Type Municipality	State Pennsylvania	(Last, First) County of Carbon	Case Caption County of Carbon v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Court/Case Number C.P. Carbon Cnty. No. 18-0990
	Stuart D. Baker					
407.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of Clarion	County of Clarion v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Clarion Cnty. 285-CD-2018
408.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of Cumberland	County of Cumberland v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Cumberland 2018-02147
409.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of Erie	County of Erie v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Erie Cnty. 11577-18
410.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of Fayette	County of Fayette v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Fayette County 2017-2676
411.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of Greene	County of Greene v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Greene Cnty. 791-2017
412.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of Monroe	County of Monroe v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Monroe Cnty. 3972-CV-18
413.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of Tioga	County of Tioga v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Tioga Cnty. 563-CV-2018
414.		Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of Washington	County of Washington v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Washington Cnty. 2017-6268
415.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of Westmoreland	County of Westmoreland v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Westmoreland Cnty. 2017-5975
416.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of York	County of York v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. York Cnty. 2017-SU-003372

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 196 of 304

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
417.	The Purdue Frederick	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Dauphin County, PA	Dauphin County, PA v.	C.P. Dauphin Cnty.
	Company Inc.				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-CV-716
418.	The Purdue Frederick	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Franklin County	Franklin County v. Purdue	C.P. Franklin Cnty.
	Company Inc.				Pharma L.P., et al.	2019-2445
419.	The Purdue Frederick	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Lackawanna County,	Lackawanna County,	C.P. Lackawanna Cnty.
	Company Inc.			Pennsylvania	Pennsylvania v. Purdue	17-cv-5156
					Pharma L.P., et al.	
420.	The Purdue Frederick	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Lawrence County,	Lawrence County,	C.P. Beaver Cnty.
	Company Inc.			Pennsylvania	Pennsylvania v. Purdue	11180-2017
					Pharma L.P., et al.	
421.	The Purdue Frederick	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Mahoning Township	Mahoning Township v.	C.P. Philadelphia Cnty.
	Company Inc.				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	180603466
422.	The Purdue Frederick	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Mercer County	Mercer County v. Purdue	C.P. Mercer Cnty.
	Company inc.				Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-1596
423.	The Purdue Frederick	Municipality	Pennsylvania	The Municipality of	The Municipality of	C.P. Montgomery Cnty.
	Company Inc.			Norristown and The	Norristown and The	2019-12178
				Township of West	Township of West Norriton	
				Norriton	v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	
424.	The Purdue Frederick	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Newtown Township	Newtown Township v.	C.P. Bucks Cnty.
	Company Inc.				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2019-03043
425.	The Purdue Frederick	Municipality	Pennsylvania	People of Northampton	People of Northampton	C.P. Northampton County
	Company Inc.			County and	County and Northampton	C48-cv-2017-11557
				Northampton County,	County, PA v. Purdue	
				PA	Pharma L.P., et al.	
426.	The Purdue Frederick	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Pike County, Pa.	Pike County, Pa. v. Purdue	C.P. Pike Cnty.
	Company Inc.				Pharma L.P., et al.	No. 602-2018
427.	The Purdue Frederick	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Schuylkill County, Pa.	Schuylkill County, Pa. v.	C.P. Schuylkill Cnty.
	Company Inc.				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	S-1241-18
428.	The Purdue Frederick	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Wampum Borough	Wampum Borough v. Purdue	C.P. Philadelphia Cnty.
	Company Inc.				Pharma L.P., et al.	July Term 2018 No. 01963

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 197 of 304

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
429.	The Purdue Frederick	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Warrington Township	Warrington Township v.	C.P. Bucks Cnty.
	Company Inc.;				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2019-04956
	Richard Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	Kathe Sackler;					
	Jonathan Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	David Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt					
430.		Municipality	South Carolina	City of Charleston	City of Charleston v. Purdue	C.P. Charleston Cnty.
	Company Inc.;				Pharma L.P., et al.	2019-CP-10-04294
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	P.F. Laboratories Inc.;					
	Leavis Sullivan;					
	Leigh Varnadore;					
	Paul Kitchin;					
	Beth Taylor;					
	Mark Waldrop;					
	Michael Madden;					
	Wendy Kay;					
	Jeffrey Ward;					
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Trust for the Benefit of					
	Members of the Raymond					
	Sackler Family;					
<u> </u>	Stuart D. Baker					
431.		Municipality	South Carolina	City of North	City of North Charleston v.	C.P. Charleston Cnty.
	Company Inc.;			Charleston	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2019-CP-10-03978

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 198 of 304

			Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
Rhodes Technologies Inc.;					
Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
P.F. Laboratories Inc.;					
Leavis Sullivan;					
Leigh Varnadore;					
Paul Kitchin;					
Beth Taylor;					
Mark Waldrop;					
Michael Madden;					
Wendy Kay;					
Jeffrey Ward;					
Richard S. Sackler;					
Jonathan D. Sackler;					
Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
Kathe A. Sackler;					
Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
Beverly Sackler;					
Theresa Sackler;					
David A. Sackler;					
Trust for the Benefit of					
Members of the Raymond					
Sackler Family;					
Stuart D. Baker					

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 199 of 304

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
432.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Stuart D. Baker; Leavis Sullivan; Beth Taylor; Leigh Varnadore; Paul Kitchin; Wendy Kay; Michael Madden; Jeffrey Ward;	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Abbeville	County of Abbeville v. Rite Aid of South Carolina, Inc., et al.	C.P. Abbeville Cnty. 2019-CP-01-00154
433.	Mark Waldrop The Purdue Frederick	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Aiken	County of Aiken v. Rite Aid	C.P. Aiken Cnty.
733.	Company Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceutical Inc.; P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler;	viamorpanty	South Carollila	County of Aircii	of South Carolina, Inc., et al.	2019-CP-02-01086

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 200 of 304

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit Members					
	of the Raymond Sackler					
	Family;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Leavis Sullivan;					
	Beth Taylor;					
	Leigh Varnadore;					
	Paul Kitchin;					
	Wendy Kay;					
	Michael Madden;					
	Jeffrey Ward;					
	Mark Waldrop					
434.	The Purdue Frederick	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Allendale	County of Allendale v. Rite	C.P. Allendale Cnty.
	Company Inc.;	1 3		,	Aid of South Carolina, Inc.	2018-CP-03-00125
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;				et al.	
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Trust for the Benefit Members					
	of the Raymond Sackler					
	Family; Stuart D. Baker;					
	Stuart D. Baker; Leavis Sullivan;					
	Beth Taylor;					
	Leigh Varnadore;					
	Paul Kitchin;					
	Wendy Kay;					
	Michael Madden;					
	Jeffrey Ward;					

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 201 of 304

				Underlying		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	Mark Waldrop					
435.		Municipality	South Carolina	County of Anderson	County of Anderson v. Rite Aid of South Carolina, Inc., et al.	C.P. Anderson Cnty. 2018-CP-04-01108
436.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler;	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Bamberg	County of Bamberg v. Rite Aid of South Carolina, et al.	C.P. Bamberg Cnty. 2018-CP-05-00189

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 202 of 304

				Underlying		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	Theresa Sackler;	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	David A. Sackler;					
	Trust for the Benefit Members					
	of the Raymond Sackler					
	Family;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Leavis Sullivan;					
	Beth Taylor;					
	Leigh Varnadore;					
	Paul Kitchin;					
	Wendy Kay;					
	Michael Madden;					
	Jeffrey Ward;					
	Mark Waldrop					
437.		Municipality	South Carolina	County of Barnwell	County of Barnwell v. Rite	C.P. Barnwell Cnty.
	Company Inc.;				Aid of South Carolina, et al.	2018-CP-06-00329
	P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;					
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Trust for the Benefit Members					
	of the Raymond Sackler					
	Family;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Leavis Sullivan;					
	Beth Taylor;					
	Leigh Varnadore;					
	Paul Kitchin;					
	Wendy Kay;					
	Michael Madden;					

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 203 of 304

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	Jeffrey Ward;			(======================================		
	Mark Waldrop					_
438.		Municipality	South Carolina	County of Beaufort	County of Beaufort v. Rite	C.P. Beaufort Cnty.
	Company Inc.;				Aid of South Carolina, Inc.,	2018-CP-07-01245
	P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;				et al.	
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Trust for the Benefit Members					
	of the Raymond Sackler					
	Family;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Leavis Sullivan;					
	Beth Taylor; Leigh Varnadore;					
	Paul Kitchin;					
	Wendy Kay;					
	Michael Madden;					
	Jeffrey Ward;					
	Mark Waldrop					

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 204 of 304

				Underlying		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
439.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc; P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Trust for the Benefit Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Stuart D. Baker; Leavis Sullivan; Beth Taylor; Leigh Varnadore; Paul Kitchin; Wendy Kay; Michael Madden; Jeffrey Ward;	Action Type Municipality	South Carolina	County of Calhoun	County of Calhoun v. Rite Aid of South Carolina Inc., et al.	C.P. Calhoun Cnty. 2019-CP-09-00065
440.	Mark Waldrop The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler;	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Cherokee	County of Cherokee v. Rite Aid of South Carolina, Inc., et al.	C.P. Cherokee Cnty. 2018-CP-11-00503

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 205 of 304

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	David A. Sackler;					
	Trust for the Benefit Members					
	of the Raymond Sackler					
	Family;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Leavis Sullivan;					
	Beth Taylor;					
	Leigh Varnadore;					
	Paul Kitchin;					
	Wendy Kay;					
	Michael Madden;					
	Jeffrey Ward;					
4.41	Mark Waldrop	3.5	0 1 0 1	0	G	GP Cl + C 11 C +
441.		Municipality	South Carolina	County of Chesterfield	County of Chesterfield v.	C.P. Chesterfield Cnty.
	Company Inc.;				Rite Aid of South Carolina,	2018-CP-13-00410
	P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;				Inc., et al.	
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	,					
	Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Trust for the Benefit Members					
	of the Raymond Sackler					
	Family;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Leavis Sullivan;					
	Beth Taylor;					
	Leigh Varnadore;					
	Paul Kitchin;					
	Wendy Kay;					
	Michael Madden;					
	Jeffrey Ward;					

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 206 of 304

				Underlying		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	Mark Waldrop	itetion Type	State	(Lusty 1 Hst)	Cuse Custion	Source Susce I (units et
442.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Stuart D. Baker; Leavis Sullivan; Beth Taylor; Leigh Varnadore; Paul Kitchin; Wendy Kay; Michael Madden; Jeffrey Ward; Mark Waldrop	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Clarendon	County of Clarendon v. Rite Aid of South Carolina, Inc., et al.	C.P. Clarendon Cnty. 2019-CP-14-00236
443.		Municipality	South Carolina	County of Colleton	County of Colleton v.Rite Aid of South Carolina, Inc., et al.	C.P. Colleton Cnty. 2018-CP-15-00438

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 207 of 304

				Underlying		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	Theresa Sackler;	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	David A. Sackler;					
	Trust for the Benefit Members					
	of the Raymond Sackler					
	Family;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Leavis Sullivan;					
	Beth Taylor;					
	Leigh Varnadore;					
	Paul Kitchin;					
	Wendy Kay;					
	Michael Madden;					
	Jeffrey Ward;					
	Mark Waldrop					
444.	The Purdue Frederick	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Dillon	County of Dillon v. Rite Aid	C.P. Dillon Cnty.
	Company Inc.;			-	of South Carolina, Inc., et al.	2019-CP-17-00213
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Trust for the Benefit Members					
	of the Raymond Sackler					
	Family;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Leavis Sullivan;					
	Beth Taylor;					
	Leigh Varnadore;					
	Paul Kitchin;					
	Wendy Kay;					
	Michael Madden;					

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 208 of 304

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	Jeffrey Ward;				•	
	Mark Waldrop					
445.	The Purdue Frederick	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Dorchester	County of Dorchester v. Rite	C.P. Dorchester Cnty.
	Company Inc.;				Aid of South Carolina, Inc.,	2018-CP-18-01122
	P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;				et al.	
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Trust for the Benefit Members					
	of the Raymond Sackler					
	Family;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Leavis Sullivan;					
	Beth Taylor;					
	Leigh Varnadore;					
	Paul Kitchin;					
	Wendy Kay;					
	Michael Madden;					
	Jeffrey Ward;					
116	Mark Waldrop The Purdue Frederick	Manialatie	Carrella Carrella	C	Country of Education Dis	CD E46-11C-+-
446.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.;	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Edgefield	County of Edgefield v. Rite Aid of South Carolina, Inc.,	C.P. Edgefield Cnty. 2019-CP-19-00120
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;				et al.	2019-CP-19-00120
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;				Ct ai.	
	P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 209 of 304

				Underlying		
				Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Trust for the Benefit Members					
	of the Raymond Sackler					
	Family;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Leavis Sullivan;					
	Beth Taylor;					
	Leigh Varnadore;					
	Paul Kitchin;					
	Wendy Kay;					
	Michael Madden;					
	Jeffrey Ward;					
	Mark Waldrop					
447.	The Purdue Frederick	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Fairfield	County of Fairfield v. Rite	C.P. Fairfield Cnty.
	Company Inc.;				Aid of South Carolina, Inc.,	2018-CP-20-00272
	P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;				et al.	
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Trust for the Benefit Members					
	of the Raymond Sackler					
	Family;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Leavis Sullivan;					
	Beth Taylor;					
	Leigh Varnadore;					
	Paul Kitchin;					
	Wendy Kay;					

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 210 of 304

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	Michael Madden;					
	Jeffrey Ward;					
1.10	Mark Waldrop			a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a	2 22	
448.		Municipality	South Carolina	County of Florence	County of Florence v. Rite	C.P. Florence Cnty.
	Company Inc.;				Aid of South Carolina, Inc.,	2019-CP-21-01213
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;				et al.	
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler;					
	Trust for the Benefit Members					
	of the Raymond Sackler					
	Family;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Leavis Sullivan;					
	Beth Taylor;					
	Leigh Varnadore;					
	Paul Kitchin;					
	Wendy Kay;					
	Michael Madden;					
	Jeffrey Ward;					
	Mark Waldrop					

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 211 of 304

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
449.	The Purdue Frederick	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Greenwood	County of Greenwood v.	C.P. Cherokee Cnty.
	Company Inc.;				Rite Aid of South Carolina,	2018-CP-24-00775
	P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;				Inc., et al.	
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Trust for the Benefit Members					
	of the Raymond Sackler					
	Family;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Leavis Sullivan;					
	Beth Taylor;					
	Leigh Varnadore;					
	Paul Kitchin;					
	Wendy Kay;					
	Michael Madden;					
	Jeffrey Ward;					
	Mark Waldrop					
450.		Municipality	South Carolina	County of Hampton	County of Hampton v. Rite	C.P. Hampton Cnty.
	Company Inc.;				Aid of South Carolina, Inc.,	2018-CP-25-00258
	P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;				et al.	
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 212 of 304

				Underlying		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	David A. Sackler;	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	Trust for the Benefit Members					
	of the Raymond Sackler					
	Family;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Leavis Sullivan;					
	Beth Taylor;					
	Leigh Varnadore;					
	Paul Kitchin;					
	Wendy Kay;					
	Michael Madden;					
	Jeffrey Ward;					
451	Mark Waldrop	3.6 1.	G 41 G 11	C + CII	C (CII D') A'1	GD II G
451.		Municipality	South Carolina	County of Horry	County of Horry v. Rite Aid of South Carolina, Inc., et al.	C.P. Horry Cnty. 2019-CP-26-02684
	Company Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.;				of South Caronna, Inc., et al.	2019-CP-20-02084
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Trust for the Benefit Members					
	of the Raymond Sackler					
	Family;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Leavis Sullivan;					
	Beth Taylor;					
	Leigh Varnadore;					
	Paul Kitchin;					
	Wendy Kay;					
	Michael Madden;					
	Jeffrey Ward;					

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 213 of 304

				Underlying		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	Mark Waldrop	Tietion Type	State	(Lusty 1 Hst)	cuse cuption	Courty Cuse I (unitsel
452.		Municipality	South Carolina	County of Jasper	County of Jasper v. Rite Aid of South Carolina, Inc., et al.	C.P. Jasper Cnty. 2018-CP-27-00332
453.		Municipality	South Carolina	County of Kershaw	County of Kershaw v. Rite Aid of South Carolina, et al.	C.P. Kershaw Cnty. 2018-CP-28-00553

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 214 of 304

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Trust for the Benefit Members					
	of the Raymond Sackler					
	Family;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Leavis Sullivan;					
	Beth Taylor;					
	Leigh Varnadore;					
	Paul Kitchin;					
	Wendy Kay;					
	Michael Madden;					
	Jeffrey Ward;					
	Mark Waldrop					
454.	The Purdue Frederick	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Lancaster	County of Lancaster v. Rite	C.P. Lancaster Cnty.
	Company Inc.;				Aid of South Carolina Inc.,	2019-CP-29-00540
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;				et al.	
	Rhodes Pharmaceutical Inc.;					
	P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Trust for the Benefit Members					
	of the Raymond Sackler Family;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Leavis Sullivan;					
	Beth Taylor;					
	Leigh Varnadore;					
	Paul Kitchin;					
	Wendy Kay;					
	Michael Madden;					
	whenaet wadden;					

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 215 of 304

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	Jeffrey Ward;					
155	Mark Waldrop	3.6	9 1 9 1	G OT	G CI Di	G.D.I.
455.		Municipality	South Carolina	County of Laurens	County of Laurens v. Rite	C.P. Laurens Cnty.
	Company Inc.;				Aid of South Carolina, Inc.,	2018-CP-30-00606
	P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;				et al.	
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Trust for the Benefit Members					
	of the Raymond Sackler					
	Family;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Leavis Sullivan;					
	Beth Taylor;					
	Leigh Varnadore;					
	Paul Kitchin;					
	Wendy Kay;					
	Michael Madden;					
	Jeffrey Ward;					
	Mark Waldrop					

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 216 of 304

				Underlying		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Plaintiff(s) (Last First)	Case Cantion	Court/Case Number
456.	Related Parties The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Trust for the Benefit Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Stuart D. Baker; Leavis Sullivan; Beth Taylor; Leigh Varnadore; Paul Kitchin; Wendy Kay; Michael Madden; Jeffrey Ward;	Action Type Municipality	State South Carolina	(Last, First) County of Lee	Case Caption County of Lee v. Rite Aid of South Carolina, Inc., et al.	Court/Case Number C.P. Lee Cnty. 2018-CP-31-00207
	Mark Waldrop					
457.		Municipality	South Carolina	County of Lexington	County of Lexington v. Rite Aid of South Carolina, Inc., et al.	C.P. Lexington Cnty. 2018-CP-32-02207

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 217 of 304

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Stuart D. Baker; Leavis Sullivan; Beth Taylor; Leigh Varnadore; Paul Kitchin; Wendy Kay; Michael Madden;	Action Type	State	(Zusi, Trist)	Cuse Cuption	Court Course realists
	Jeffrey Ward;					
458.	Mark Waldrop The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Trust for the Benefit Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Stuart D. Baker; Leavis Sullivan; Beth Taylor; Leigh Varnadore; Paul Kitchin; Wendy Kay; Michael Madden; Jeffrey Ward;	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Marion	County of Marion v. Rite Aid of South Carolina, Inc., et al.	C.P. Marion Cnty. 2019-CP-33-00299

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 218 of 304

				Underlying		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	Mark Waldrop	inclion Type	State	(Eust) I II st)	cuse cupiton	our course i vanisei
459.		Municipality	South Carolina	County of McCormick	County of McCormick v. Rite Aid of South Carolina, Inc., et al.	C.P. McCormick Cnty. 2019-CP-35-00031
460.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler;	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Oconee	County of Oconee v. Rite Aid of South Carolina, Inc., et al.	C.P. Oconee Cnty. 2018-CP-37-00458

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 219 of 304

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Trust for the Benefit Members					
	of the Raymond Sackler					
	Family;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Leavis Sullivan;					
	Beth Taylor;					
	Leigh Varnadore;					
	Paul Kitchin;					
	Wendy Kay;					
	Michael Madden;					
	Jeffrey Ward;					
	Mark Waldrop					
461.		Municipality	South Carolina	County of Orangeburg	County of Orangeburg v.	C.P. Orangeburg Cnty.
	Company Inc.;				Rite Aid of South Carolina,	2018-CP-38-00841
	P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;				Inc., et al.	
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit Members					
	of the Raymond Sackler					
	Family;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Leavis Sullivan;					
	Beth Taylor;					
	Leigh Varnadore;					
	Paul Kitchin;					
	Wendy Kay;					
	Michael Madden;					

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 220 of 304

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	Jeffrey Ward;					
	Mark Waldrop					
462.	The Purdue Frederick	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Pickens	County of Pickens v. Rite	C.P. Pickens Cnty.
	Company Inc.;				Aid of South Carolina, Inc.,	18-CP-39-00675
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;				et al.	
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Trust for the Benefit Members					
	of the Raymond Sackler					
	Family;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Leavis Sullivan;					
	Beth Taylor;					
	Leigh Varnadore;					
	Paul Kitchin;					
	Wendy Kay;					
	Michael Madden;					
	Jeffrey Ward;					
	Mark Waldrop					

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 221 of 304

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
463.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Stuart D. Baker; Leavis Sullivan; Beth Taylor; Leigh Varnadore; Paul Kitchin; Wendy Kay; Michael Madden;	Action Type Municipality	State South Carolina	County of Saluda	Case Caption County of Saluda v. Rite Aid of South Carolina, Inc., et al.	Court/Case Number C.P. Clarendon Cnty. 2019-CP-41-00111
	Jeffrey Ward; Mark Waldrop					
464.	•	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Sumter	County of Sumter v. Rite Aid of South Carolina, Inc., et al.	C.P. Sumter Cnty. 2019-CP-43-00891

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 222 of 304

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	David A. Sackler;					
	Trust for the Benefit Members					
	of the Raymond Sackler					
	Family;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Leavis Sullivan;					
	Beth Taylor;					
	Leigh Varnadore;					
	Paul Kitchin;					
	Wendy Kay;					
	Michael Madden;					
	Jeffrey Ward;					
	Mark Waldrop					
465.		Municipality	South Carolina	County of Union	County of Union v. Rite Aid	C.P. Union Cnty.
	Company Inc.;				of South Carolina, Inc., et al.	2018-CP-44-00288
	P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;					
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Trust for the Benefit Members					
	of the Raymond Sackler					
	Family;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Leavis Sullivan;					
	Beth Taylor;					
	Leigh Varnadore;					
	Paul Kitchin;					
	Wendy Kay; Michael Madden;					
	· ·					
	Jeffrey Ward;					

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 223 of 304

				Underlying		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	Mark Waldrop				•	
466.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Trust for the Benefit Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Stuart D. Baker; Leavis Sullivan; Beth Taylor; Leigh Varnadore; Paul Kitchin; Wendy Kay; Michael Madden; Jeffrey Ward;	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Williamsburg	County of Williamsburg v. Rite Aid of South Carolina, Inc., et al.	C.P. Williamsburg Cnty. 2018-CP-45-00276
467.	Mark Waldrop The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler;	Municipality	South Carolina	County of York	County of York v. Rite Aid of South Carolina, Inc., et al.	C.P. York Cnty. 2018-CP-46-02446

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 224 of 304

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Trust for the Benefit Members					
	of the Raymond Sackler					
	Family;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Leavis Sullivan;					
	Beth Taylor;					
	Leigh Varnadore;					
	Paul Kitchin;					
	Wendy Kay;					
	Michael Madden;					
	Jeffrey Ward;					
	Mark Waldrop					
468.		Municipality	South Carolina	Greenville County	Greenville County v. Rite	C.P. Greenville Cnty.
	Company Inc.;				Aid of South Carolina, Inc.,	2018-CP-23-01294
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;				et al.	
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Trust for the Benefit Members					
	of the Raymond Sackler					
	Family; Stuart D. Baker;					
	Stuart D. Baker; Leavis Sullivan;					
	Beth Taylor;					
	Leigh Varnadore; Paul Kitchin;					
	Wendy Kay; Michael Madden;					
	whenael wadden;					

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 225 of 304

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	Jeffrey Ward;					
1.60	Mark Waldrop	3.5 1.1 11				
469.	The Purdue Frederick	Municipality	South Carolina	Spartanburg County	Spartanburg County v. Rite	C.P. Spartanburg Cnty.
	Company Inc.;				Aid of South Carolina, Inc.,	2018-CP-42-00760
	P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;				et al.	
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Trust for the Benefit Members					
	of the Raymond Sackler					
	Family;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Leavis Sullivan;					
	Beth Taylor;					
	Leigh Varnadore;					
	Paul Kitchin;					
	Wendy Kay;					
	Michael Madden;					
	Jeffrey Ward;					
	Mark Waldrop					

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 226 of 304

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
470.		Municipality	South Carolina	Town of Mount Pleasant	Town of Mount Pleasant v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Charleston Cnty. 2019-CP-10-04302
	Stuart D. Baker					
471.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	District Attorney General / Municipality	Tennessee	Barry Staubus, in his official capacity as the District Attorney General for the Second Judicial District and on behalf of all political subdivisions therein; Tony Clark, in his official capacity as the District Attorney General for the First	Barry Staubus, et al. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Sullivan Cnty. No. C-41916

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 227 of 304

				Underlying		
	D 1 / 1D /	A 4 . T	64.4	Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First) Judicial District and on	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
				behalf of all political		
				subdivisions therein;		
				,		
				Dan Armstrong, in his		
				official capacity as the		
				District Attorney		
				General for the Third		
				Judicial District and on		
				behalf of all political subdivisions therein;		
				subdivisions mereni,		
				Baby Doe, by and		
				through his Guadian		
				Ad Litem		
472.	The Purdue Frederick	District	Tennessee	Bryant C. Dunaway, in	Bryant C. Dunaway, et al. v.	Cir. Ct. Cumberland Cnty.
	Company Inc.;	Attorney		his official capacity as	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	CCI-2018-CV-6331
	Richard Sackler	General /		the District Attorney		
		Municipality		General for the		
				Thirteenth Judicial District, TN and on		
				behalf of all political		
				subdivisions therein,		
				Including Clay County,		
				City of Celine,		
				Cumberland County,		
				City of Crab Orchard,		
				City of Crossville,		
				Town of Pleasant Hill, Dekalb County, Town		
				of Alexandria, Town of		
				Dowelltown, Town of		
				Liberty, City of		
				Smithville, Overton		
				County, Town of		
				Livingston, Pickett		
				County, Town of		

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 228 of 304

			Underlying		
			Plaintiff(s)		
Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
			Byrdstown, Putnam		
			County, City of		
			Algood, Town of		
			Baxter, City of		
			Cookeville, Town of		
			Monterey, White		
			County, Town of		
			Doyle, City of Sparta;		
			Jenning H. Jones, in his		
			official capacity as the		
			District Attorney		
			General for the		
			Sixteenth Judicial		
			District, TN and on		
			behalf of all political		
			subdivisions therein,		
			including Cannon		
			County, Town of		
			Auburntown, Town of		
			Woodbury, Rutherford		
			County, City of		
			Eaglevill, City of La		
			Vergne, City of		
			Murfreesboro, Town of		
			Smyrna;		
			Robert J. Carter, in his		
			official capacity as the		
			District Attorney		
			General for the		
			Seventeenth Judicial		
			District, TN and on		
			behalf of all political		
			subdivisions therein,		
			including Bedord		
			County, Town of Bell		
			Buckle, Town of		

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 229 of 304

			Underlying		
			Plaintiff(s)		
Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
			Normandy, City of	-	
			Shelbyville, Town of		
			Wartrace, Lincoln		
			County, City of		
			Ardmore, City of		
			Fayetteville, Town of		
			Petersburg, Marshall		
			County, Town of		
			Chapel Hill, Town of		
			Cornersville, City of		
			Lewisburg, Moore		
			County, City of		
			Lynchburg;		
			Brent A. Cooper, in his		
			official capacity as the		
			District Attorney		
			General for the		
			Twenty-Second		
			Judicial District, TN		
			and on behalf of all		
			political subdivisions		
			therein, including Giles		
			County, City of Elkton,		
			Town of Lynnville,		
			City of Minor Hill, City		
			of Pulaski, Lawrence		
			County, Town of		
			Ethridge, City of Iron		
			City, City of		
			Lawrenceburg, City of		
			Loretto, City of St.		
			Joseph, Maury County,		
			City of Columbia, City		
			of Mount Pleasant, City		
			of Spring Hill, Wayne		
			County, City of Clifton,		

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 230 of 304

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
473.	The Purdue Frederick	District	Tennessee	City of Collinwood, City of Waynesboro; Lisa S. Zavogiannis, in her official capacity as the District Attorney General for the Thirty- First Judicial District, TN and on behalf of all political subdivisions therein, including Van Buren County, Town of Spencer, Warren County, Town of Centertown, City of McMinnville, Town of Morrison, Town of Viola; Baby Doe, by and through his Mother Jared Effler, in his	Jared Effler, et al. v. Purdue	Eastern Section at
	Company Inc.	Attorney General / Municipality		official capacity as the District Attorney General for the Eigth Judicial District, TN; Charme Allen, in her official capacity as the District Attorney General for the Sixth Judicial District; Dave Clark, in his official capacity as the District Attorney	Pharma L.P., et al.	Knoxville Court of Appeals No. E2018-01994-COA- R3-CV

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 231 of 304

				Underlying		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
		Action Type	State	General for the Seventh Judicial District, TN; Russell Johnson, in his official capacity as the District Attorney General for the Ninth Judicial District, TN; Stephen Crump, in his official capacity as the District Attorney General for the Tenth Judicial District, TN; Jimmy Dunn, in his official capacity as the District Attorney General for the Fourth Judicial District, TN; Mike Taylor, in his official capacity as the District Attorney General for the Fourth Judicial District, TN; Mike Taylor, in his official capacity as the District Attorney General for the Twelfth Judicial District, TN Baby Doe #1; Baby Doe #2	Cast Capiton	Court y Case I value
474.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Tennessee	Shelby County, by the Shelby Board of Commissioners	Shelby County, by the Shelby Board of Commissioners v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Shelby Cnty. No. CT-004500-17
475.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	City of Houston, Texas	City of Houston, Texas v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2019-43219
476.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	County of Bee	County of Bee v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-76897

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 232 of 304

			g	Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
477.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Texas	County of Bexar	County of Bexar v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77066
478.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	County of Burnet	County of Burnet v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77090
479.		Municipality	Texas	County of Cameron	County of Cameron v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77093
480.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	County of Cass	County of Cass v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-76905
481.	Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	County of Cooke	County of Cooke v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-76907
482.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	County of Coryell	County of Coryell v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Coryell Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77097
483.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	County of Dallas	County of Dallas v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77098
484.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	County of Delta	County of Delta v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corp., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77093
485.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	County of Dimmit	County of Dimmit v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-76905
486.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	County of Ector	County of Ector v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-76907
487.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	County of El Paso	County of El Paso v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Coryell Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77097
488.	Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	County of Falls	County of Falls v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77098
489.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	County of Fannin	County of Fannin v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77093
490.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	County of Grayson	County of Grayson v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-76994
491.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	County of Harrison	County of Harrison v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77108
492.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	County of Hidalgo	County of Hidalgo v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77109
493.		Municipality	Texas	County of Hopkins	County of Hopkins v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77111

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 233 of 304

				Underlying		
				Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
494.		Municipality	Texas	County of Houston	County of Houston v. Purdue	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct.
	Company Inc.				Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-77021
495.	The Purdue Frederick	Municipality	Texas	County of Kendall	County of Kendall v. Purdue	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct.
	Company				Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-77023
496.	The Purdue Frederick	Municipality	Texas	County of Kerr	County of Kerr v. Purdue	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct.
	Company Inc.				Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-77114
497.	The Purdue Frederick	Municipality	Texas	County of Liberty	County of Liberty v. Purdue	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct.
	Company Inc.				Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-77116
498.	The Purdue Frederick	Municipality	Texas	County of Limestone	County of Limestone v.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct.
	Company Inc.				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-77025
499.	The Purdue Frederick	Municipality	Texas	County of Marion	County of Marion v. Purdue	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct.
	Company Inc.				Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-77026
500.	The Purdue Frederick	Municipality	Texas	County of McMullen	County of McMullen v.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct.
	Company Inc.				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-77067
501.	The Purdue Frederick	Municipality	Texas	County of Milam	County of Milam v. Purdue	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct.
	Company Inc.				Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-77141
502.	The Purdue Frederick	Municipality	Texas	County of	County of Nacogdoches v.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct.
	Company Inc.			Nacogdoches	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-77027
503.	The Purdue Frederick	Municipality	Texas	County of Nueces;	County of Nueces and	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct.
	Company;			Nueces County	Nueces County Hospital	2018-77083
	The Purdue Frederick			Hospital District	District v. Purdue Pharma	
	Company Inc.				L.P., et al.	
504.	The Purdue Frederick	Municipality	Texas	County of Orange	County of Orange v. Purdue	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct.
	Company Inc.				Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-77036
505.		Municipality	Texas	County of Panola	County of Panola v. Purdue	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct.
	Company Inc.				Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-77037
506.		Municipality	Texas	County of Parker	County of Parker v. Purdue	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct.
	Company Inc.				Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-77143
507.		Municipality	Texas	County of Potter	County of Potter v. Purdue	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct.
	Company Inc.				Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-77039
508.		Municipality	Texas	County of Robertson	County of Robertson v.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct.
	Company Inc.				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-77043
509.	1110 1 01 000 1 1 0 0 0 1 1 0 1	Municipality	Texas	County of San Patricio	County of San Patricio v.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct.
	Company;				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-77075
	The Purdue Frederick					
	Company Inc.					

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 234 of 304

				Underlying		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
510.		Municipality	Texas	County of Shelby	County of Shelby v. Purdue	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct.
310.	Company Inc.	Withhelpanty	Texas	County of Shelby	Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-77062
511.	1 7	Municipality	Texas	County of Travis	County of Travis v. Purdue	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct.
	Company Inc.	1 ,		•	Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-77144
512.	The Purdue Frederick	Municipality	Texas	County of Trinity	County of Trinity v. Purdue	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct.
	Company Inc.				Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-77080
513.	The Purdue Frederick	Municipality	Texas	County of Van Zandt	County of Van Zandt v.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct.
	Company Inc.				AmerisourceBergen Drug	2018-77150
514	Th. D. 1. F. 1. 1. 1.	M1-111	Т	Complete CW-11	Corp., et al.	Haria Cata Diat Ct
514.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	County of Waller	County of Waller v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77153
515.	,	Municipality	Texas	County of Wood	County of Wood v. Purdue	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct.
313.	Company Inc.	Withincipanty	Texas	County of Wood	Pharma L.P., et al.	2018-77081
516.		Municipality	Texas	Johnson County	Johnson County v. Purdue	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct.
	Company Inc.				Pharma, L.P. et al.	2018-87346
517.	The Purdue Frederick	Municipality	Utah	Cache County, Utah;	Cache County, Utah; Rich	1st Dist. Ct. Cache Cnty.
	Company Inc.;			Rich County, Utah	County, Utah v. Purdue	190100112
	Richard S. Sackler;				Pharma L.P., et al.	
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Trust for the Benefit of the					
	Raymond Sackler Family;					
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals, Inc.;					
	The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.					
518.		Municipality	Utah	Davis County	Davis County v. Purdue	2nd Dist. Ct. Davis Cnty.
	Company				Pharma L.P., et al.	180700870
519.		Municipality	Utah	Grand County	Grand County v. Purdue	7th Jud. Dist. Ct. Grand
	Company				Pharma L.P., et al.	Cnty.
			L			180700040

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 235 of 304

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
520.		Municipality	Utah	Iron County	Iron County v. Purdue	5th Jud. Dist. Ct. Iron
	Company				Pharma L.P., et al.	Cnty. 180500149
521.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Utah	Millard County	Millard County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	4th Jud. Dist. Ct. Millard Cnty.
	1 9				,	180700044
522.	The Purdue Frederick	Municipality	Utah	Salt Lake County	Salt Lake County v. Purdue	3rd Jud. Dist. Ct. Salk
	Company Inc.				Pharma L.P., et al.	Lake Cnty. 180902421
523.		Municipality	Utah	San Juan County	San Juan County v. Purdue	7th Jud. Dist. Ct. Grand
	Company				Pharma L.P., et al.	Cnty. 180700040
524.		Municipality	Utah	Sanpete County	Sanpete County v. Purdue	6th Jud. Dist. Ct. Sanpete
	Company				Pharma L.P., et al.	Cnty. 180600095
525.	The Purdue Frederick	Municipality	Utah	Sevier County;	Sevier County, Utah, Juab	6th Jud. Dis. Ct. Sevier
	Company Inc.; Richard S. Sackler;			Juab County; Emery County;	County, Utah, Emery County, Utah, Wayne	Cnty. 190600050
	Jonathan D. Sackler;			Wayne County;	County, Utah, and Piute	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;			Piute County	County, Utah v. Purdue	
	Kathe A. Sackler;				Pharma L.P., et al.	
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Stuart D. Baker; Trust for the Benefit of the					
	Raymond Sackler Family;					
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.					

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 236 of 304

				Underlying		
	Deleted Denties	A ation Toma	State	Plaintiff(s)	Casa Cantian	Court/Case Number
526.	Related Parties The Purdue Frederick	Action Type Municipality	Utah	(Last, First) Summit County, Utah	Case Caption Summit County, Utah v.	3rd Dist. Ct. Summit Cnty.
320.	Company Inc.;	withincipanty	Otali	Summit County, Otan	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	180500119
	Richard S. Sackler;				Turduc I harma E.I ., et al.	180300119
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Trust for the Benefit of the					
	Raymond Sackler Family;					
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.					
527.	The Purdue Frederick	Municipality	Utah	Tooele County, Utah	Tooele County, Utah v.	3rd Dist. Ct. Tooele Cnty.
	Company Inc.;			-	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	180300423
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Stuart D. Baker;					
	Trust for the Benefit of the					
	Raymond Sackler Family;					
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.					

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 237 of 304

				Underlying		
	Deleted Danties	Action Tyme	State	Plaintiff(s)	Cose Contion	Count/Cose Number
528.	Related Parties The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Trust for the Benefit of the Raymond Sackler Family; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals, Inc.;	Action Type Municipality	State Utah	Uintah County, Utah; Duscesne County, Utah; Daggett County, Utah; Tri-County Health Department	Case Caption Uintah County, Utah; Duscesne County, Utah; Daggett County, Utah; and Tri-County Health Department v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	8th Dist. Ct. Uintah Cnty. 180800056
529.	The P.F. Laboratories, Inc. The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Trust for the Benefit of the Raymond Sackler Family; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.	Municipality	Utah	Wasatch County, Utah	Wasatch County, Utah v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	4th Dist. Ct. Wasatch Cnty. 180500079

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 238 of 304

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
530.		Municipality	Utah	Washington County, Utah; Kane County, Utah; Beaver County, Utah; Garfield County, Utah	Washington County, Utah; Kane County, Utah; Beaver County, Utah; Garfield County, Utah v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	5th Dist. Ct. Washington Cnty. 190500179
531.	Company Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Trust for the Benefit of the Raymond Sackler Family; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.	Municipality	Utah	Weber County, Utah	Weber County, Utah v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2nd Dist. Ct. Weber Cnty. 180903087
532.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Virginia	City of Martinsville, Virginia	City of Martinsville, Virginia v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Martinsville Cnty. CL18000240-00

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 239 of 304

	Dalatad Dautia	A ation Toma	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s)	Core Continu	Court/Case Number
533.	Related Parties The Purdue Frederick Company Inc. John Stewart; Craig Landau; Mark Timney; Russell Gasdia; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals L.P.	Action Type Municipality	State Virginia	(Last, First) The County Board of Arlington County, Virginia	Case Caption The County Board of Arlington County, Virginia v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Arlington Cnty. CL19001081-00
534.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Virginia	Dinwiddie County, Virginia	Dinwiddie County, Virginia v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Dinwiddie Cnty. CL19-317
535.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Virginia	Mecklenburg County	Mecklenburg County, Virginia v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Mecklenburg County CL19000558-00
536.	Purdue Frederick Company Inc., The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Washington	City of Seattle	City of Seattle v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	King County Superior Court 17-2-25504-1SEA
537.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc. Mark Radcliffe; Mark Ross; Patty Carnes	Municipality	West Virginia	Brooke County Commission; Hancock County Commission; Harrison County Commission; Lewis County Commission; Marshall County Commission; Ohio County Commission; Tyler County Commission; Wetzel County Commission	Brooke County Commission, Hancock County Commission, Harrison County Commission, Lewis County Commission, Marshall County Commission, Ohio County Commission, Tyler County Commission, and Wetzel County Commission v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Marshall Cnty. 17-C-248H 17-C-249H 17-C-250H 17-C-251H 17-C-252H 17-C-253H 17-C-254H 17-C-255H Consolidated before MLP In re Opioid Litigation , Cir. Ct. Kanawha County 19-C-9000
538.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Patty Carnes; Carol Debord;	Municipality	West Virginia	The County Commission of Mason County;	The County Commission of Mason County; The County Commission of Barbour County; Mayor Chris Tatum	Cir. Ct. Marshall County 19-C-4H 19-C-5H 19-C-6H

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 240 of 304

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	Jeff Waugh;			The County	on behalf of The Village of	19-C-7H
	Shane Cook;			Commission of	Barboursville; The County	19-C-8H
	Mark Ross			Barbour County;	Commission of Taylor	19-C-9H
				Mayor Chris Tatum on	County; The County	G PL LL C NGD
				behalf of The Village	Commission of Webster	Consolidated before MLP
				of Barboursville;	County; and Mayor Don E.	In re Opioid Litigation,
				The County Commission of Taylor	McCourt, on behalf of the Town of Addison a/k/a The	Cir. Ct. Kanawha County 19-C-9000
				County;	Town of Webster Springs v.	19-0-9000
				The County	Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	
				Commission of	Turduc I harma E.i ., et al.	
				Webster County;		
				Mayor Don E.		
				McCourt, on behalf of		
				the Town of Addison		
				a/k/a The Town of		
				Webster Springs		
539.		Municipality	West Virginia	Mayor Peggy Knotts	Mayor Peggy Knotts Barney,	Cir. Ct. Marshall Cnty.
	Company Inc.;			Barney, on behalf of	on behalf of the City of	19-C-151
	Richard S. Sackler;			the City of Grafton;	Grafton, and Mayor Philip	19-C-152
	Jonathan D. Sackler;			Mayor Philip Bowers,	Bowers, on behalf of the City	G PL LL C NGD
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;			on behalf of the City of	of Philippi v. Purdue Pharma	Consolidated before MLP
	Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;			Philippi	L.P., et al.	In re Opioid Litigation, Cir. Ct. Kanawha County
	David A. Sackler;					19-C-9000
	Beverly Sackler;					19-C-9000
	Theresa Sackler;					
	Trust for the Benefit of the					
	Mortimer Sackler Family;					
	Trust for the Benefit of the					
	Raymond Sackler Family;					
	Mark Ross;					
	Patty Carnes;					
	Carol DeBord;					
	Jeff Waugh;					
	Shane Cook					

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 241 of 304

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
540.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Mark Radcliffe; Mark Ross; Patty Carnes	Municipality	West Virginia	Monongalia County Commission; Marion County Commission; Doddridge County Commission; Randolph County Commission; Upshur County Commission	Monongalia County Commission; Marion County Commission; Doddridge County Commission; Randolph County Commission; and Upshur County Commission v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Marshall Cnty. 18-C-222H 18-C-233H 18-C-234H 18-C-235H 18-C-236H Consolidated before MLP In re Opioid Litigation, Cir. Ct. Kanawha County 19-C-9000
541.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Mark Radcliffe; Mark Ross; Patty Carnes; Jeff Waugh; Carol Debord; Amanda Bias Hayes; Doug Powers	Municipality	West Virginia	Roane County Commission; The City of Spencer; Jackson County Commission; The City of Ripley; The Town of Ravenswood; Wood County Commission; The City of Williamstown; Wirt County Commission; The Town of Elizabeth; Pleasants County Commission; City of St. Mary's; Ritchie County Commission; Town of Harrisville	Roane County Commission; The City of Spencer; Jackson County Commission; The City of Ripley; The Town of Ravenswood; Wood County Commission; The City of Williamstown; Wirt County Commission; The Town of Elizabeth; Pleasants County Commission; City of St. Mary's; Ritchie County Commission; Town of Harrisville v. Mylan Pharmaceuticals Inc., et al.	Cir. Ct. Marshall Cnty. 19-C-96-108H
	pital, Treatment Center (MDL)					
542.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Hospital	MDL	Danville Regional Medical Center, LLC	Danville Regional Medical Center, LLC v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45788 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 242 of 304

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
543.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Richard Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Jonathan Sackler; Kathe Sackler; John Stewart; Mark Timney; Craig Landau;	Treatment Center	MDL	Foundation on the Rock Ministries; New Life Church of God d/b/a Project New Start, Inc.; Rankin Baptist Association d/b/a Crossroads Counseling Center	Foundation on the Rock Ministries, et al. v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corp., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:20-op-45110 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
	Russell Gasdia; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Theresa Sackler					
544.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc., Richard Sackler, Beverly Sackler, David Sackler, Ilene Sackler Lefcourt, Jonathan Sackler, Kathe Sackler, John Stewart, Mark Timney, Craig Landau, Russell Gasdia, Mortimer D.A. Sackler, and Theresa Sackler	Hospital	MDL	Map to Health d/b/a/ Recovery Unplugged	Map to Health v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:21-op-45093

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 243 of 304

				Underlying		
				Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
545.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc., Richard Sackler, Beverly Sackler, David Sackler, Ilene Sackler Lefcourt, Jonathan Sackler, Kathe Sackler, John Stewart, Mark Timney, Craig Landau, Russell Gasdia, Mortimer D.A. Sackler, and Theresa Sackler	Hospital	MDL	MS. Center for Police & Sheriff's d/b/a Joyce's Hope Home and Lifestream Behavioral Center, Inc. d/b/a LifeStream Behavioral Hospital; d/b/a Addictions Receiving Facility; d/b/a Anthony House; d/b/a Adult Choice; and d/b/a Connections, Recovery & Support	MS. Center for Police & Sheriff's v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:21-op-45075
546.		Treatment Center	MDL	New Orleans Mission, Inc.	New Orleans Mission, Inc. v. McKesson Corp. et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:18-op-46030 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
547.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.	Hospital	MDL	Triad Health Systems, Inc.	Triad Health Systems, Inc., and all other similarly situated Federally Qualified Healthcare Systems and Clinics v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45780 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
548.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Hospital	MDL	West Boca Medical Center, Inc.	West Boca Medical Center, Inc. v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corp., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:18-op-45530 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 244 of 304

				Underlying		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
Hos	pital, Individual, Third Party Pay			(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case (Valide)
549.	i e	Hospital	Alabama	Mobile County Board of Health; Family Oriented Primary Health Care Clinic	Mobile County Board of Health and Family Oriented Primary Health Care Clinic v. Richard Sackler, et al.	Cir. Ct. Mobile Cnty. 02-CV-2019-902806
550.		Hospital	Arizona	Kingman Hospital, Inc.; Arizona Spine and Joint Hospital LLC; Bullhead City Hospital Corporation; Carondelet St. Joseph's Hospital; Holy Cross Hospital, Inc.; Hospital Development of West Phoenix, Inc.; Northwest Hospital, LLC; Oro Valley Hospital, LLC;	Kingman Hospital, Inc.; Arizona Spine and Joint Hospital LLC; Bullhead City Hospital Corporation; Carondelet St. Joseph's Hospital; Holy Cross Hospital, Inc.; Hospital Development of West Phoenix, Inc.; Northwest Hospital, LLC; Oro Valley Hospital, LLC; Oasis Hospital; Orthopedic and Medical Specialty Company, LLC; St. Mary's Hospital of Tucson; VHS Acquisition	Mohave Cnty. Sup. Ct. S8015cv201900563

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 245 of 304

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
				Oasis Hospital; Orthopedic and Surgical Specialty Company, LLC; St. Mary's Hospital of Tucson; VHS Acquisition Subsidiary Number 1, Inc.; VHS Arrowhead, Inc.	Subsidiary Number 1, Inc.; and VHS Arrowhead, Inc. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	
551.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Hospital	Arizona	Tucson Medical Center	Tucson Medical Center v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. Pima County C20184991
552.	1 /	Hospital	Florida	Florida Health Sciences Center, Inc.; North Broward Hospital District; Halifax Hospital Medical Center, Bayfront HMA Medical Center, LLC; CGH Hospital, Ltd.; Citrus HMA, LLC; Central Florida Health; Crestview Hospital Corporation; Delray Medical Center, Inc.; Flagler Hospital, Inc.; Good Samaritan Medical Center, Inc.; Haines City HMA, LLC; Hernando HMA, LLC; Hialeah Hospital, Inc.; HMA Santa Rosa Medical Center, LLC; Key West HMA, LLC; Lake Shore HMA, LLC; Lake Wales Hospital Corporation;	Florida Health Sciences Center, Inc., et al. v. Richard Sackler, et a.	Cir. Ct. Broward Cnty. 19-018882

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 246 of 304

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
				Larkin Community Hospital Palm Springs Campus, LLC; Larkin Community Hospital, Inc; Larkin Community Hospital Behavioral Service, Inc.; Leesburg Regional Medical Center, Inc.; Lifemark Hospitals of Florida, Inc.; Live Oak HMA, LLC; Naples HMA, LLC; North Shore Medical Center, Inc.; Osceolasc LLC; Palm Beach Gardens Community Hospital, Inc.; Port Charlotte HMA, LLC; Punta Gorda HMA, LLC; St. Mary's Medical Center, Inc.; Starke HMA, LLC; The Villages Tri- County Medical Center, Inc.; and		
553.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Beverly Sackler; David A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Jonathan D. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Richard S. Sackler;	University	Louisiana	Venice HMA, LLC The Board of Supervisors for Louisiana State University and Agricultural and Mechanical College	The Board of Supervisors for Louisiana State University and Agricultural and Mechanical College v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corp., et al.	19th Jud. Dist. Ct. East Baton Rouge Parish C-694318 24

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 247 of 304

				Underlying		
	Doloted Danties	Action Type	State	` '	Casa Cantian	Count/Coso Number
554.	Related Parties Theresa Sackler; Stuart Baker; Raymond Sackler Trust Nathan C. Grace; Jaclyn P. Gatling; Leslie Roberson	Action Type Hospital	State Mississippi	Plaintiff(s) (Last, First) Singing River Health System; Anderson Regional Health System; Biloxi HMA, LLC d/b/a Merit Health Biloxi; Clarksdale HMA, LLC d/b/a Northwest	Case Caption Singing River Health System; Anderson Regional Health System; Biloxi HMA, LLC d/b/a Merit Health Biloxi; Clarksdale HMA, LLC d/b/a Northwest Mississippi Medical Center;	Court/Case Number Ch. Ct. Jackson Cnty. 30-CH-1:19-cv-01879
				Mississippi Medical Center; Field Memorial Community Hospital	Field Memorial Community Hospital d/b/a Field Health System; Jackson HMA, LLC d/b/a Merit Health Central; Magnolia Regional Health Center; Madison HMA, LLC d/b/a Merit Health Madison; Brandon HMA, LLC d/b/a Merit Health Rankin; Wesley Health System, LLC d/b/a Merit Health Wesley; Natchez Hospital Company, LLC d/b/a Merit Health Natchez; North Sunflower Medical	
					Center; River Oaks Hospital, LLC d/b/a Merit Health River Oaks; Vicksburg Healthcare, LLC d/b/a Merit Health River Region and Merit Health River Region West;	

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 248 of 304

_	, · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		1	
		d/b/a Field Health	Roh, LLC d/b/a Merit Health	
		System;	Women's Hospital;	
		Jackson HMA, LLC	Tippah County Hospital;	
		d/b/a Merit Health	Alliance Healthcare System;	
		Central;	Memorial Hospital at	
		Magnolia Regional	Gulfport;	
		Health Center;	Delta Regional Medical	
		Madison HMA, LLC	Center;	
		d/b/a Merit Health	Progressive Medical	
		Madison;	Management of Batesville	
		Brandon HMA, LLC	d/b/a Panola Medical Center;	
		d/b/a Merit Health	Boa Vida Hospital of	
		Rankin;	Abderdeen, MS, LLC v.	
		Wesley Health System,	Nathan C. Grace, et al.	
		LLC d/b/a Merit Health		
		Wesley;		
		Natchez Hospital		
		Company, LLC d/b/a		
		Merit Health Natchez;		
		North Sunflower		
		Medical Center;		
		River Oaks Hospital,		
		LLC d/b/a Merit Health		
		West;		
		Alliance Healthcare		
		Center;		
		River Oaks; Vicksburg Healthcare, LLC d/b/a Merit Health River Region and Merit Health River Region West; Roh, LLC d/b/a Merit Health Women's Hospital; Tippah County Hospital; Alliance Healthcare System; Memorial Hospital at Gulfport; Delta Regional Medical		

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 249 of 304

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
				Progressive Medical Management of Batesville d/b/a Panola Medical Center; Boa Vida Hospital of Abderdeen, MS, LLC		
555.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories Inc.; Purdue Holdings L.P.; Rosebay Medical Company L.P.; The Beacon Company; PLP Associates Holdings L.P.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; David A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Estate of Dr. Richard Sackler; Estate of Mortimer Sackler; Estate of Raymond Sackler	Individual	New Jersey	Hill, Fredrick	Fredrick Hill v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super Ct. NJ Camden Cnty. L-003693-19
556.		Individual	New Jersey	Perez, Francisco	Francisco Perez, individually, as administrator and administrator ad prosequendum for the Estate of Tanny Robles-Perez, Fransheka Robles Gomez, Sarai Perez Robles, a minor, by Francisco Perez, his guardian ad litem v. Fred S. Revordero, M.D., et al.	Super. Ct. NJ Passaic Cnty. PAS-L-003289-18

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 250 of 304

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
557.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Estate	New York	The Estate of Justine Maria Aliotta; Aliotta, Joanne individually and as administratrix of the Estate of Justine Marie Aliotta, deceased; Torres Julissa Cecelia, an infant by her guardian Joanne Aliotta, on behalf of themselves and Plaintiff Class Consisting of all other persons in New York so situated	The Estate of Justine Maria Aliotta, et al. v. Purdue Pharma Inc., et al.	Sup. Ct. Richmond Cnty. 152057-2019
558.	Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David Sackler	Estate	North Carolina	Leysen, Patty Carol, Administrator of the Estate of Brian Keith Johnston	Patty Carol Leysen, et al. v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corp., et al.	Sup. Ct. Davidson Cnty. 20-cvs-112
559.	Jonathan Sackler; Richard Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David Sackler	Estate	North Carolina	Stevens, Susan K., Administratrix of the Estate of Toria Capri Stevens	Susan Stevens, et al. v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corp., et al.	Sup. Ct. Forsyth Cnty. 20-cvs-352

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 251 of 304

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
560.	Related Parties Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Jonathan Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Richard Sackler; Theresa Sackler	Estate	State North Carolina	(Last, First) Williams, Sonji B., Administratrix of the Estate of Tyler Michael Wain Williams	Case Caption Sonji B. Williams, Administratrix of the Estate of Tyler Michael Wain Williams v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corp., et al.	Super. Ct. Gaston Cnty. 19-cvs-5192
561.		Individual	Oregon	Walker, Rosemary	Walker v. Purdue Pharmaceuticals L.P., et al.	Or. Cir. Ct., Marion Cnty. 23CV3393
562.		Third Party Payor	Pennsylvania	AFSCME District Council 33 Health & Welfare Fund	AFSCME District Council 33 Health & Welfare Fund v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Philadelphia 180302569

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 252 of 304

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
563.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Third Party Payor	Pennsylvania	AFSCME District Council 47 Health & Welfare Fund	AFSCME District Council 47 Health & Welfare Fund v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Philadelphia 180302255
564.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Third Party Payor	Pennsylvania	Bricklayers and Allied Craftworkers Local Union No. 1 of PA/DE Health and Welfare Fund	Bricklayers and Allied Craftworkers Local Union No. 1 of PA/DE Health and Welfare Fund v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Philadelphia 180302256
565.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Stuart D. Baker	Third Party Payor	Pennsylvania	Carpenters Health & Welfare Fund of Philadelphia & Vicinity	Carpenters Health & Welfare of Philadelphia & Vicinity v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Philadelphia 180302264
566.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Estate	Pennsylvania	Davidson, Karen A., Individually, and Administratrix of the Estate of John C. Davidson, deceased	Karen Davidson, et al. v. Ignacio Badiola, M.D., et al.	C.P. Philadelphia Cnty. 200100381
567.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Third Party Payor	Pennsylvania	International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers Local 728 Family Healthcare Plan	International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers Local 728 Family Healthcare Plan v. Allergan, PLC, et al.	C.P. Philadelphia No. 003872

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 253 of 304

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
568.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Third Party Payor	Pennsylvania	International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers Local 98 Health & Welfare Fund and International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers Local 89 Sound and Communication Health & Welfare Fund	International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers Local 98 Health & Welfare Fund and International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers Local 89 Sound and Communication Health & Welfare Fund v. Endo Pharmaceuticals Inc., et al.	C.P. Philadelphia Cnty. 002063
569.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Third Party Payor	Pennsylvania	International Union of Painters and Allied Trades, District Council No. 21 Welfare Fund	International Union of Painters and Allied Trades, District Council No. 21 Welfare Fund v. Allergan PLC, et al.	C.P. Philadelphia Cnty. 001983
570.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Third Party Payor	Pennsylvania	Iron Workers District Council of Philadelphia and Vicinity, Benefit Fund	Iron Workers District Council of Philadelphia and Vicinity, Benefit Fund v. Abbott Laboratories, Inc.	C.P. Philadelphia Cnty. 180502442
571.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Third Party Payor	Pennsylvania	Philadelphia Federation of Teachers Health and Welfare Fund	Philadelphia Federation of Teachers Health and Welfare Fund v. Endo Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.	C.P. Philadelphia Cnty. 180403891
572.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Third Party Payor	Pennsylvania	Southeastern Pennsylvania Transportation Authority	Southeastern Pennsylvania Transportation Authority v. Endo Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.	C.P. Philadelphia Cnty. March Term 2018 No. 02923
573.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Third Party Payor	Pennsylvania	Steamfitters Local 449 Medical & Benefit Fund	Steamfitters Local 449 Medical & Benefit Fund v. Allergan PLC, et al.	C.P. Philadelphia Cnty. 19091412
574.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Third Party Payor	Pennsylvania	The Trustees of the Unite Here Local 634 Health & Welfare Fund	The Trustees of the Unite Here Local 634 Health & Welfare Fund v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Philadelphia Cnty. 180401123

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 254 of 304

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
575.	Company Inc.	Third Party Payor	Pennsylvania	UFCW Local 23 and Employers Health Fund	UFCW Local 23 and Employers Health Fund v. Endo Pharmaceuticals, Inc.	C.P. Philadelphia Cnty. 180403485
576.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Third Party Payor	Pennsylvania	Western Pennsylvania Electrical Employees Insurance Trust Fund	Western Pennsylvania Electrical Employees Insurance Trust Fund v. Endo Pharmaceuticals Inc., et al.	C.P. Philadelphia Cnty. 181002038
577.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Jonathan Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Mortimer Sackler; Theresa Sackler; John Stewart; Mark Timney; Craig Landau; Russell Gasdia	Hospital	Texas	Dallas County Hospital District d/b/a Parkland Health & Hospital System; Palo Pinto County Hospital District a/k/a Palo Pinto General Hospital; Guadalupe Valley Hospital a/k/a Guadalupe Regional Medical Center; VHS San Antonio Partners, LLC d/b/a Baptist Medical Center, Mission Trail Baptist Hospital, North Central Baptist Hospital, Northeast Baptist Hospital, and St. Luke's Baptist Hospital; Nacogdoches Medical Center; Resolute Hospital Company, LLC d/b/a Resolute Health; The Hospitals of Providence East Campus;	Dallas County Hospital District d/b/a Parkland Health & Hospital System; Palo Pinto County Hospital District a/k/a Palo Pinto General Hospital; Guadalupe Valley Hospital a/k/a Guadalupe Regional Medical Center; VHS San Antonio Partners, LLC d/b/a Baptist Medical Center, Mission Trail Baptist Hospital, North Central Baptist Hospital, Northeast Baptist Hospital, Northeast Baptist Hospital Hospital; Nacogdoches Medical Center; Resolute Hospital Company, LLC d/b/a Resolute Health; The Hospitals of Providence East Campus; The Hospitals of Providence Sierra Campus; The Hospitals of Providence Transmountain Campus;	Dallas Cnty. Dist. Ct. DC-19-13794

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 255 of 304

			Underlying		
		_	Plaintiff(s)		
Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
			The Hospitals of	VHS Brownsville Hospital	
			Providence Memorial	Company, LLC d/b/a	
			Campus;	Valley Baptist Medical	
			The Hospitals of	Center - Brownsville; VHS	
			Providence	Harlingen Hospital	
			Sierra Campus;	Company, LLC d/b/a Valley	
			The Hospitals of	Baptist Medical Center;	
			Providence	Armc, L.P. d/b/a Abilene	
			Transmountain	Regional Medical Center;	
			Campus;	College Station Hospital, LP;	
			VHS Brownsville	Granbury Hospital	
			Hospital Company,	Corporation d/b/a Lake	
			LLC d/b/a	Granbury Medical Center;	
			Valley Baptist Medical	Navarro Hospital, L.P.	
			Center - Brownsville;	d/b/a Navarro Regional	
			VHS Harlingen	Hospital; Brownwood	
			Hospital Company,	Hospital, L.P. d/b/a	
			LLC d/b/a Valley	Brownwood Regional	
			Baptist Medical Center;	Medical Center; Victoria of	
			Armc, L.P. d/b/a	Texas, L.P. d/b/a Detar	
			Abilene Regional	Hospital	
			Medical Center;	Navarro and Detar Hospital	
			College Station	North; Laredo Texas	
			Hospital, LP;	Hospital Company, L.P.	
			Granbury Hospital	d/b/a Laredo Medical Center;	
			Corporation d/b/a Lake	San Angelo Hospital, L.P.	
			Granbury Medical	d/b/a San Angelo	
			Center; Navarro	Community Medical Center;	
			Hospital, L.P.	Cedar Park Health System,	
			d/b/a Navarro Regional	L.P.	
			Hospital;	d/b/a Cedar Park Regional	
			Brownwood Hospital,	Medical Center; NHCI of	
			L.P. d/b/a Brownwood	Hillsboro, Inc. d/b/a Hill	
			Regional Medical	Regional Hospital; Longview	
			Center; Victoria of	Medical Center, L.P. d/b/a	
			Texas, L.P. d/b/a Detar	Longview Regional Medical	
			Hospital Navarro and	Center; and Piney Woods	

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 256 of 304

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
		Action Type	State	Detar Hospital North; Laredo Texas Hospital Company, L.P. d/b/a Laredo Medical Center; San Angelo Hospital, L.P. d/b/a San Angelo Community Medical Center; Cedar Park Health System, L.P. d/b/a Cedar Park Regional Medical Center; NHCI of Hillsboro, Inc. d/b/a Hill Regional Hospital; Longview Medical Center, L.P. d/b/a Longview Regional Medical Center; Piney Woods Healthcare System, L.P. d/b/a Woodland Heights Medical Center	Healthcare System, L.P. d/b/a Woodland Heights Medical Center v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Courty Case Intumber
578.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard D. Sackler	Third Party Payor	Texas	Fire and Police Retirement Health Care Fund, San Antonio	Fire and Police Retirement Health Care Fund, San Antonio v. Richard D. Sackler, et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-33724
579.	The Purdue Frederick Company; Richard Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Jonathan Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler;	Hospital	West Virginia	West Virginia University Hospitals Inc.; Appalachian Regional Healthcare, Inc.; Bluefield Hospital Company, LLC; Broaddus Hospital Association;	West Virginia University Hospitals Inc.; Appalachian Regional Healthcare, Inc.; Bluefield Hospital Company, LLC; Broaddus Hospital Association; Camden-Clark Memorial Hospital Corporation; Charleston Area Medical Center, Inc.;	Civ. Action Nos. 19-C-69 through 19-C-88 and 19- C-134 through 19-C-139 Consolidated before MLP In re Opioid Litigation, Cir. Ct. Kanawha County 19-C-9000

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 257 of 304

			Underlying		
			Plaintiff(s)		
Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
Theresa Sackler;			Camden-Clark	The Charles Town General	
John Stewart;			Memorial Hospital	Hospital; City Hospital, Inc.;	
Mark Timney;			Corporation;	Community Health	
Craig Landau;			Charleston Area	Association d/b/a Jackson	
Russell Gasdia;			Medical Center, Inc.;	General Hospital; Davis	
Mark Radcliffe;			The Charles Town	Memorial Hospital; Grafton	
Mark Ross;			General Hospital;	City Hospital, Inc.; Grant	
Patty Carnes			City Hospital, Inc.;	Memorial Hospital;	
			Community Health	Greenbrier VMC, LLC;	
			Association d/b/a	Monongalia County General	
			Jackson General	Hospital Company; Oak Hill	
			Hospital;	Hospital Corporation d/b/a	
			Davis Memorial	Plateau Medical Center;	
			Hospital;	Potomac Valley Hospital of	
			Grafton City Hospital,	W. Va., Inc.; Preston	
			Inc.;	Memorial Hospital	
			Grant Memorial	Corporation; Princeton	
			Hospital;	Community Hospital	
			Greenbrier VMC, LLC;	Association, Inc.; Reynolds	
			Monongalia County	Memorial Hospital Inc.; St.	
			General Hospital	Joseph's Hospital of	
			Company;	Buckhannon, Inc.;	
			Oak Hill Hospital	Walgreens Boots Alliance,	
			Corporation d/b/a	Inc.; Stonewall Jackson	
			Plateau Medical	Memorial Hospital	
			Center; Potomac Valley	Company; United Hospital	
			Hospital of W. Va.,	Center, Inc.; Webster County	
			Inc.;	Memorial Hospital, Inc.;	
			Preston Memorial	Wetzel County Hospital	
			Hospital Corporation;	Association; Williamson	
			Princeton Community	Memorial Hospital, LLC.;	
			Hospital Association,	and Braxton County	
			Inc.;	Memorial Hospital, Inc. v.	
			Reynolds Memorial	Purdue Pharma Inc., et al.	
			Hospital Inc.;		
			St. Joseph's Hospital of		
			Buckhannon, Inc.;		

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 258 of 304

				Underlying		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	Related Farties	Action Type	State	Stonewall Jackson	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
				Memorial Hospital		
				Company;		
				United Hospital Center,		
				Inc.;		
				Webster County		
				Memorial Hospital,		
				Inc.;		
				Wetzel County		
				Hospital Association;		
				Williamson Memorial		
				Hospital, LLC.;		
				Braxton County		
				Memorial Hospital, Inc.		
Esta	te, Individual, Third Party Payor	(MDL)			I	
580.	The Purdue Frederick	NAS	MDL	A.M.H.	A.M.H., individually and as	N.D. Ohio
	Company, Inc.;				next friend or guardian of	1:19-op-45052
	Richard S. Sackler;				minor Baby C.E., and on	Master Case No. 17-md-
	Jonathan D. Sackler;				behalf of all others similarly	2804
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;				situated v. Purdue Pharma	
	Kathe A. Sackler;				L.P., et al.	
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;					
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					
	Trust for the Benefit of					
	Members of the Raymond					
	Sackler Family;					
	The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.					

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 259 of 304

				Underlying		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Plaintiff(s)	Cose Cention	Court/Case Number
581.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	(Last, First) Alexander, Melba	Melba Alexander, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby B.H.R. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45502 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
582.	2	NAS	MDL	Ambrosio, Melissa	Melissa Ambrosio, individually and as next friend of Baby G.A., on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:18-op-45375 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 260 of 304

				Underlying		
	Dalated Danties	A ation Tame	Ctata	Plaintiff(s)	Casa Cantian	Count/Coss Number
583.	Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler;	NAS	MDL MDL	(Last, First) Artz, Jennifer	Case Caption Jennifer Artz, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby I.A.A., on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45459 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
	David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family					
584.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Atkinson, Sandra	Sandra Atkinson, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby L.C. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45531 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 261 of 304

				Underlying		
	Dalatad Dantias	A ation Toma	64040	Plaintiff(s)	Casa Cantian	Count/Coss Normhou
585.	Related Parties The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of	NAS	MDL MDL	(Last, First) Berzinski, April	April Berzinski, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby A.Z. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45503 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
586.	Members of the Raymond Sackler Family The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Brant, Shelby L.	Shelby L. Brant, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby L.A.Z., on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45494 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 262 of 304

				Underlying		
	Dalatad Dantias	A ation Toma	64040	Plaintiff(s)	Casa Cantian	Count/Coss Normhou
587.	Related Parties The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond	NAS	MDL MDL	(Last, First) Brumbarger, Brandi	Case Caption Brandi Brumbarger, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby J.B.B., on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45469 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
588.	Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Carlson, Desiree	Desiree Carlson, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby J.S., on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45487 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 263 of 304

				Underlying		
	Doloted Danties	Action Type	Stata	Plaintiff(s)	Casa Cantian	Court/Cogo Number
589.	Related Parties The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	Action Type NAS	MDL MDL	(Last, First) Chancey, Musette	Musette Chancey, individually and as next friend and guardian of Babies D.C.1. and D.C.2. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45533 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
590.	,	NAS	MDL	Cherry, Angela	Angela Cherry, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby Z.C.T., on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45490 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 264 of 304

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
591.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Third Party Payor	MDL	Cleveland Bakers and Teamsters Health and Welfare Fund and Pipe Fitters Local Union No. 120 Insurance Fund	Cleveland Bakers and Teamsters Health and Welfare Fund and Pipe Fitters Local Union No. 120 Insurance Fund v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.,	N.D. Ohio 1:18-op-45432 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
592.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Collier, Jessica	Jessica Collier, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby A.P. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45506 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 265 of 304

				Underlying		
	Deleted Danties	Action Type	Stata	Plaintiff(s)	Casa Cantian	Court/Cogo Number
593.	Related Parties The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond	NAS	MDL MDL	(Last, First) Cruz, Gloria	Case Caption Gloria Cruz, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby C.E.L., on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45466 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
594.	Sackler Family The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Delancey, Christina	Christina Delancey, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby A.J.W., on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45480 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 266 of 304

				Underlying		
	Deleted Danties	Action Type	Stata	Plaintiff(s)	Casa Cantian	Court/Coso Number
595.	Related Parties The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond	NAS	MDL MDL	(Last, First) DeMaro, Samantha	Case Caption Samantha DeMaro, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby J.W.L.B., on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45465 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
596.	Sackler Family The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Dixon, Deborah	Deborah Dixon, as next friend and guardian of baby S.E.T. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45511 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 267 of 304

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
597.		NAS	MDL	Doe, John	John Doe, by and through Jane Doe, his parent and natural guardian, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:18-op-46318 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
598.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Doyle, Erin	Erin Doyle, individually and as Mother and Custodian of Baby D.F., on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated v. Actavis LLC, et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:18-op-46327 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
599.		Third Party Payor	MDL	Drywall Tapers Insurance Fund	Drywall Tapers Insurance Fund v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45810 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 268 of 304

				Underlying		
	Dalata I Danta	A - 40 To	64-4-	Plaintiff(s)	Garage Garage	Court/Case Number
600.	Related Parties The Purdue Frederick	Action Type NAS	State MDL	(Last, First) Ellis, Esperenza	Case Caption Esperenza Ellis, individually	N.D. Ohio
000.	Company, Inc.;	NAS	MIDL	Ems, Esperenza	and as next friend and	1:19-op-45464
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;				guardian of Baby S.O.D., on	Master Case No. 17-md-
	Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.;				behalf of themselves and all	2804
	The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;				others similarly situated v.	2004
	Richard S. Sackler;				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	
	Jonathan D. Sackler;				1 01 000 1 11011110 211 1, 01 021	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Trust for the Benefit of					
	Members of the Raymond					
	Sackler Family					
601.		NAS	MDL	Flach, Brittany	Brittany Flach, individually	N.D. Ohio
	Company, Inc.;				and as next friend and	1:19-op-45488
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;				guardian of Babies A.B. and	Master Case No. 17-md-
	Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.;				G.B., on behalf of	2804
	The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;				themselves and all others	
	Richard S. Sackler;				similarly situated v. Purdue	
	Jonathan D. Sackler;				Pharma L.P., et al.	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Trust for the Benefit of					
	Members of the Raymond					
	Sackler Family					
	Sackier Faililly					

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 269 of 304

				Underlying		
	DI (ID (A 4 TE	Gt. 4	Plaintiff(s)		
602.	Related Parties The Purdue Frederick	Action Type NAS	State MDL	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number N.D. Ohio
002.	Company, Inc.;	NAS	MDL	Flanagan, Darren and Elena	Darren and Elena Flanagan, individually and as adoptive	1:18-op-45405
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;			Elelia	parents and next friends of	Master Case No. 17-md-
	Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.;				Baby K.L.F., on behalf of	2804
	The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;				themselves and all others	2804
	Richard S. Sackler;				similarly situated v. Purdue	
	Jonathan D. Sackler;				Pharma L.P., et al.	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;				Tharma E.I., et al.	
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Trust for the Benefit of					
	Members of the Raymond					
	Sackler Family					
603.	The Purdue Frederick	NAS	MDL	Gauthier, Krista;	Krista Gauthier, Angela	N.D. Ohio
	Company, Inc.;			Sawyers, Angela;	Sawyers, and Jessica	1:19-op-45478
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;			Springborn, Jessica	Springborn, individually and	Master Case No. 17-md-
	Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.;				as next friends and guardians	2804
	The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;				of Babies D.L.D., M.A.S.,	
	Richard S. Sackler;				and N.S., on behalf of	
	Jonathan D. Sackler;				themselves and all others	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;				similarly situated v. Purdue	
	Kathe A. Sackler;				Pharma L.P., et al.	
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Trust for the Benefit of					
	Members of the Raymond					
	Sackler Family					

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 270 of 304

				Underlying		
	Deleted Danties	Action Tyme	Stata	Plaintiff(s)	Cose Contian	Count/Cose Number
604.	Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond	NAS	MDL MDL	(Last, First) Gauthier, Mechelle	Mechelle Gauthier, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby B.L. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45514 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
605.	Sackler Family The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Gibson, Amanda	Amanda Gibson, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby B.A. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45515 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 271 of 304

				Underlying		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
606.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.;	NAS	MDL	Gilson, Jamiee	Jamiee Gilson, as next friend and guardian of Baby M.M.D. v. Purdue Pharma	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45461 Master Case No. 17-md-
	Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler;				L.P., et al.	2804
	Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family					
607.	7	NAS	MDL	Goforth, Rebecca	Rebecca Goforth, individually and as next friend and guardian of Babies A.S. and N.S. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45532 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 272 of 304

				Underlying		
	Dalatad Dantias	A ation Toma	Ctata	Plaintiff(s)	Casa Cantian	Count/Coss Normhou
608.	Related Parties The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of	NAS	MDL MDL	(Last, First) Goldman, Jenni	Jenni Goldman, individually and as next friend and guardian of Babies J.K.W. and M.J.R. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45516 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
609.	Members of the Raymond Sackler Family The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Goss, Heather	Heather Goss, individually and as next friend and guardian of Babies C.B. and V.B. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45518 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 273 of 304

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
610.	Company Inc.	Wrongful Death	Mississippi	Greer, Julia	Julia Greer, individually and as next friend and on behalf of all wrongful death beneficiaries of Rose Carr, deceased v. Charles Elliott, M.D., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-46117 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
611.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Hamawi, Marijha; Lara, Meghan	Marijha Hamawi, individually and as next friend and guardian of Babies K.L.H. and N.A.W.; and Meghan Lara, individually and as next friend and guardian of Babies K.L.H. and N.A.W., on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45477 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 274 of 304

				Underlying		
	DIA ID A	A 4 . TE	Gt. 4	Plaintiff(s)		
(12	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
612.	The Purdue Frederick	NAS	MDL	Hampel, Jessica	Jessica Hampel, individually and as next friend and	N.D. Ohio
	Company, Inc.;					1:19-op-45473 Master Case No. 17-md-
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;				guardian of Baby A.M.H., on behalf of themselves and all	2804
	Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.;					2804
	The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;				others similarly situated v.	
	Richard S. Sackler;				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Trust for the Benefit of					
	Members of the Raymond					
	Sackler Family					
613.	The Purdue Frederick	NAS	MDL	Herring, Courtney	Courtney Herring,	N.D. Ohio
013.	Company, Inc.;	IVAS	MIDL	Herring, Courtiley	individually and as next	1:19-op-45519
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;				friend and guardian of Baby	Master Case No. 17-md-
	Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.;				M.T. v. Purdue Pharma L.P.,	2804
	The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;				et al.	2004
	Richard S. Sackler;				et al.	
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Trust for the Benefit of					
	Members of the Raymond					
	Sackler Family					

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 275 of 304

				Underlying		
	Related Parties	Action Type	Stata	Plaintiff(s)	Casa Cantian	Court/Cosa Number
614.	Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond	NAS	MDL MDL	(Last, First) Howell, Reannan	Reannan Howell, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby N.J.D. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45520 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
615.	Sackler Family The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Hunt, Shannon	Shannon Hunt, Individually and as Next Friend and Guardian of Minor S.J., and on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:18-op-45681 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 276 of 304

				Underlying		
	Deleted Danties	Action Tyme	Stata	Plaintiff(s)	Cose Contion	Count/Casa Number
616.	Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond	Action Type NAS	MDL MDL	(Last, First) Hutchins, Kiana	Kiana Hutchins, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby T.E. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45505 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
617.	Sackler Family The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Ivie, Billie	Billie Ivie, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby A.I., on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45489 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 277 of 304

				Underlying		
	Related Parties	Action Tyme	State	Plaintiff(s)	Casa Cantian	Court/Case Number
618.		NAS	MDL	Johnson, Aracya	Case Caption Aracya Johnson, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby R.H. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45521 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
619.	,	NAS	MDL	Johnson, Jamie	Jamie Johnson, individually and as next friend and guardian of Babies K.D. and J.D. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45504 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 278 of 304

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
620.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Insys Therapeutics	Individual	MDL	Kalayeh, Arjomand	Arjomand Kalayeh vs. Allergan PLC, et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:20-op-45262
621.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Kirk, Krystle	Krystle Kirk, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby B.K. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45509 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 279 of 304

				Underlying		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
622.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Kommer, Elizabeth	Elizabeth Kommer, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby C.K. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45522 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
623.		Third Party Payor	MDL	Laborers Local 1298 of Nassau & Suffolk Counties Welfare Fund	Laborers Local 1298 of Nassau & Suffolk Counties Welfare Fund v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45813 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 280 of 304

				Underlying		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
624.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc., Rhodes Technologies Inc., Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc., The P.F. Laboratories Inc., Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family;	Third Party Payor	MDL	Local 8A-28A Welfare Fund	Local 8A-28A Welfare Fund v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45809 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
625.	Stuart D. Baker The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Lechuga, Niola	Niola Lechuga, individually and as next friend and guardian of Babies Q.H.L. and A.G.L., on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45468 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 281 of 304

				Underlying		
	Deleted Danties	A ation Tyma	Stata	Plaintiff(s)	Casa Cantian	Count/Cogo Number
626.	Related Parties The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of	NAS	MDL MDL	(Last, First) Lively, Carol	Case Caption Carol Lively, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby L.L. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45523 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
627.	Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Lyle, Alyssa	Alyssa Lyle, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby A.W. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45524 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 282 of 304

				Underlying		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
628.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS NAS	MDL	Martin, Kimberly	Kimberly Martin, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby A.M. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45510 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
629.	,	NAS	MDL	Martin, Penny	Penny Martin, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby D.M. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45508 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 283 of 304

				Underlying		
	Dalatad Dantias	A ation Toma	64040	Plaintiff(s)	Casa Cantian	Count/Coss North or
630.	Related Parties The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL MDL	(Last, First) Martinez, Jacquelynn	Case Caption Jacquelynn Martinez, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby J.M., on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45484 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
631.	7	NAS	MDL	Massey, Melanie	Melanie Massey, individually and as next friend and guardian of Babies S.L.M. and K.D.R. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45525 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 284 of 304

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
632.	Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan	Third Party	Massachusetts	Mayflower Municipal	Mayflower Municipal Health	N.D. Ohio
	D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A.	Payor		Health Group	Group v. Johnson &	1:19-op-45897
	Sackler;				Johnson, et al.	Master Case No. 17-md-
	Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler					2804
	Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler; Theresa					
	Sackler; David A. Sackler;					
	Trust for the Benefit of					
	Members of the Raymond					
	Sackler Family;					
	The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;					
	The Purdue Frederick					
	Company Inc.;					
	Stuart D. Baker					
633.		NAS	MDL	McAnany, Samantha	Samantha McAnany,	N.D. Ohio
	Company, Inc.;				individually and as next	1:19-op-45526
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;				friend and guardian of Baby	Master Case No. 17-md-
	Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.;				A.L.M. v. Purdue Pharma	2804
	The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;				L.P., et al.	
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Trust for the Benefit of					
	Members of the Raymond					
	Sackler Family					
	Sackiel Failily					

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 285 of 304

				Underlying		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
634.		NAS NAS	MDL	Means, Corey	Corey Means, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby E.D.J., on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45470 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
635.	,	NAS	MDL	Meinecke, Kjellsi	Kjellsi Meinecke, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby J.B., on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45493 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 286 of 304

				Underlying		
	Dalatad Dantias	A ation Toma	64040	Plaintiff(s)	Casa Cantian	Count/Coss North or
636.	Related Parties The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond	NAS	MDL MDL	(Last, First) Moore, Bobbie Lou	Case Caption Bobbie Lou Moore, individually and as next friend and guardian of minor of minor R.R.C., on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:18-op-46305 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
637.	Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Muffley, Amanda	Amanda Muffley, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby M.S. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45507 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 287 of 304

				Underlying		
	D.L. ID. (A 41 TE	G	Plaintiff(s)		
620	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
638.		NAS	MDL	Ortiz, Maria	Maria Ortiz, individually and	N.D. Ohio
	Company, Inc.;				as next friend and guardian	1:19-op-45492
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;				of Baby A.O., on behalf of	Master Case No. 17-md-
	Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.;				themselves and all others	2804
	The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;				similarly situated v. Purdue	
	Richard S. Sackler;				Pharma L.P., et al.	
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Trust for the Benefit of					
	Members of the Raymond					
	Sackler Family					
639.		Third Party	MDL	Painting Industry	Painting Industry Insurance	N.D. Ohio
	Company Inc.;	Payor		Insurance Fund	Fund v. Purdue Pharma L.P.,	1:19-op-45793
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;				et al.	Master Case No. 17-md-
	Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;					2804
	The P.F. Laboratories Inc.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Trust for the Benefit of					
	Members of the Raymond					
	Sackler Family;					
	Stuart D. Baker					

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 288 of 304

				Underlying		
	Doloted Danties	Action Type	Stata	Plaintiff(s)	Casa Cantian	Court/Cogo Number
640.	Related Parties The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond	Action Type NAS	MDL MDL	(Last, First) Patterson, Gena	Case Caption Gena Patterson, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby F.P. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45534 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
641.	Sackler Family The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Paul, Chloe	Chloe Paul, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby A.R.P., on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45467 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 289 of 304

				Underlying		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
642.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS NAS	MDL	Perkins, Jessica	Jessica Perkins, individually and as next friend and guardian of Babies P.A. and R.A. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45535 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
643.	7	NAS	MDL	Peterson, Sally	Sally Peterson, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby E.A.P., on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45472 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 290 of 304

				Underlying		
	Deleted Dauties	Action Tyme	Stata	Plaintiff(s)	Cose Centien	Count/Cogo Number
644.	Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond	NAS	MDL State	Puckett, Heather	Heather Puckett, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby C.M.B. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45539 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
645.	Sackler Family The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Rees, Deric; Rees, Ceonda	Deric Rees and Ceonda Rees, individually and as next friend and guardian of baby T.W.B. on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated v. McKesson Corp., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:18-op-45252 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 291 of 304

				Underlying		
				Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
646.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Richardson, Waikeisha	Waikeisha Richardson, individually and as next friend and guardian of Babies E.M.1. and E.M.2. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45538 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
647.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	NAS	MDL	Riling, Andrew G.; Riling, Beverly	Andrew G. Riling and Beverly Riling, as next friends of A.P. Riling, a minor under the age of 18 v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45056 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
648.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Roach, Tyler M.	Tyler M. Roach, natural Tutor on behalf of his minor child, Baby K.E.R., and as class representative for all Neonatal Abstinence Syndrome afflicted babies born in Louisiana v. McKesson Corp., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:18-op-45662 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 292 of 304

				Underlying		
	Deleted Danties	Action Tyme	Stata	Plaintiff(s)	Cose Centien	Count/Cogo Number
649.	Related Parties The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of	Action Type NAS	MDL MDL	(Last, First) Rodriguez, Jessica	Jessica Rodriguez, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby M.A.P., on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45463 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
650.	Members of the Raymond Sackler Family The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Salmons, Walter; Salmons, Virginia	Walter and Virginia Salmons, individually and as the next friend or guardian of Minor W.D. and on behalf of all other similarly situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:18-op-45268 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 293 of 304

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
651.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Scully, Jenny	Jenny Scully, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby I.S. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45544 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
652.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	NAS	MDL	Shaffer, Jodi	Jodi Shaffer, individually and as next friend and guardian of minor R.C., on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:18-op-46302 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 294 of 304

				Underlying		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
653.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Shepard, Amy	Amy Shepard, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby E.S. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45536 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
654.	,	NAS	MDL	Shewmake, Shilo	Shilo Shewmake, individually and as next friends and guardians of Babies L.G., A.S., and J.S., on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45482 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 295 of 304

				Underlying		
	DI (ID (A 4° TE	Gr. A	Plaintiff(s)		
(55	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
655.	The Purdue Frederick	NAS	MDL	Shockley, Kayla	Kayla Shockley, individually and as next friend and	N.D. Ohio
	Company, Inc.;					1:19-op-45527
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;				guardian of Baby M.G.L. v.	Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
	Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.;				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2804
	The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of					
	Members of the Raymond					
(5)	Sackler Family The Purdue Frederick	NAC	MDI	G: A1: :	A1: : C:	N.D. Ohio
656.		NAS	MDL	Simonson, Alicia	Alicia Simonson,	
	Company, Inc.;				individually and as next	1:19-op-45479
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;				friend and guardian of Baby	Master Case No. 17-md-
	Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.;				M.S., on behalf of	2804
	The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;				themselves and all others	
	Richard S. Sackler;				similarly situated v. Purdue	
	Jonathan D. Sackler;				Pharma L.P., et al.	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler;					
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
	David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of					
	Members of the Raymond					
	Sackler Family					

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 296 of 304

				Underlying		
	Dalaka J Daniela	A - 40 To	64-4-	Plaintiff(s)	Garage Garage	Complete Name Inc.
657.	Related Parties The Purdue Frederick	Action Type NAS	State MDL	(Last, First) Stewart, Wendy	Case Caption Wendy Stewart, individually	Court/Case Number N.D. Ohio
057.	Company, Inc.;	IVAS	WIDL	Stewart, Welldy	and as next friend and	1:19-op-45481
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;				guardian of Baby K.J.C., on	Master Case No. 17-md-
	Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.;				behalf of themselves and all	2804
	The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;				others similarly situated v.	2004
	Richard S. Sackler;				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	
	Jonathan D. Sackler;				Tarace Tharma 2.1., et al.	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Trust for the Benefit of					
	Members of the Raymond					
	Sackler Family					
658.		NAS	MDL	Taylor, Jessica	Jessica Taylor, individually	N.D. Ohio
	Company, Inc.;				and as next friend and	1:19-op-45528
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;				guardian of Baby D.S. v.	Master Case No. 17-md-
	Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.;				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2804
	The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;					
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Trust for the Benefit of					
	Members of the Raymond					
	Sackler Family					

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 297 of 304

				Underlying		
	Deleted Danties	Action Tyme	Stata	Plaintiff(s)	Cose Centien	Count/Cogo Number
659.	Related Parties The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond	NAS	MDL MDL	(Last, First) Taylor, Lori	Case Caption Lori Taylor, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby M.T. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45529 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
660.	Sackler Family The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Thomas, Jennifer	Jennifer Thomas, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby A.S. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45542 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 298 of 304

				Underlying		
	Deleted Danties	A ation Tyme	Stata	Plaintiff(s)	Cose Centien	Court/Case Number
661.	Related Parties The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond	NAS	MDL MDL	(Last, First) Tindall, Nichole	Nichole Tindall, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby L.M. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45530 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
662.	Sackler Family The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Tuttle, Nicole	Nicole Tuttle, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby A.T., on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45476 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 299 of 304

				Underlying		
	DI (ID (A 4 TE	Gr. A	Plaintiff(s)		
663.	Related Parties The Purdue Frederick	Action Type NAS	State MDL	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number N.D. Ohio
003.		NAS	MDL	Underwood, Taylor Brooke	Taylor Brooke Underwood, individually and as next	1:19-op-45537
	Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.;			BIOOKE	friend and guardian of Baby	Master Case No. 17-md-
	Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.;				C.U. v. Purdue Pharma L.P.,	2804
	The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;				et al.	2804
	Richard S. Sackler;				et al.	
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Trust for the Benefit of					
	Members of the Raymond					
	Sackler Family					
664.	The Purdue Frederick	NAS	MDL	VonCannon, Caroline	Caroline VonCannon,	N.D. Ohio
	Company, Inc.;				individually and as next	1:19-op-45540
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;				friend and guardian of	Master Case No. 17-md-
	Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.;				Babies C.W. and S.W. v.	2804
	The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Trust for the Benefit of					
	Members of the Raymond					
	Sackler Family					

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 300 of 304

	DI (ID (A 41 TE	S	Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
665.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	NAS	MDL	(Last, First) W.E., by and through her guardian and next friend, Pamela Osborne	Case Caption W.E., by and through her guardian and next friend, Pamela Osborne, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated v. Purdue Pharma, L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:18-op-46347 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
666.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Warren, Desirae	Desirae Warren, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby A.W., on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45486 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
667.		NAS	MDL	Watson, Paula	Paula Watson, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby D.M. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45545 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 301 of 304

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	Members of the Raymond Sackler Family					
668.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Weatherwax, Quincy	Quincy Weatherwax, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby L.W., on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45483 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
669.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Whitley, Roxie; Denson, Chris; Denson, Diane; Holland, James; Holland, Teri	Roxie Whitley, individually and as next friend of Baby Z.B.D.; Chris and Diane Denson, individually and as next friends of Baby L.D.L; and James and Teri Holland, individually and as next friends of Babby A.C.H, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:18-op-45598 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 302 of 304

				Underlying		
	Dalada J Dandan	A -4' T	64-4-	Plaintiff(s)	Gama Gamatian	Carrell Carrell Name Is an
670	Related Parties The Purdue Frederick	Action Type NAS	State MDL	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number N.D. Ohio
670.	Company, Inc.;	NAS	MDL	Whittaker, Shelley	Shelley Whittaker, individually and as next	1:19-op-45475
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;				friend and guardian of	Master Case No. 17-md-
	Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.;				Babies E.W., G.L.O., and	2804
	The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;				N.S.G., on behalf of	2804
	Richard S. Sackler;				themselves and all others	
	Jonathan D. Sackler;				similarly situated v. Purdue	
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;				Pharma L.P., et al.	
	Kathe A. Sackler;				Tharma E.i ., et al.	
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Trust for the Benefit of					
	Members of the Raymond					
	Sackler Family					
671.	The Purdue Frederick	NAS	MDL	Whittington, Katherine	Katherine Whittington,	N.D. Ohio
	Company, Inc.;				individually and as next	1:19-op-45541
	Rhodes Technologies Inc.;				friend and guardian of	Master Case No. 17-md-
	Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.;				Babies S.W. and A.W. v.	2804
	The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;				Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	
	Richard S. Sackler;					
	Jonathan D. Sackler;					
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler;					
	Kathe A. Sackler;					
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;					
	Beverly Sackler;					
	Theresa Sackler;					
	David A. Sackler;					
	Trust for the Benefit of					
	Members of the Raymond					
	Sackler Family					

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 303 of 304

				Underlying		
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
672.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Williams, Farrah	Farrah Williams, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby A.W., on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45485 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
673.	3	NAS	MDL	Wood, Rachel	Rachel Wood, Individually and as Next Friend and Guardian of Minor O.W., and on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:18-op-45264 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804

19-08289-shl Doc 634 Filed 12/23/24 Entered 12/23/24 16:56:16 Main Document Pg 304 of 304

				Underlying Plaintiff(s)				
	Related Parties	Action Type	State	(Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number		
674.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Wright, Naomi	Naomi Wright, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby M.W. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45543 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804		
	MDL Appeals							
675.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Class Action	MDL	Hanlon, Amanda; Gardner, Amy	Amanda Hanlon, et al. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	6th Cir. 19-3398		